

1986-1987

THE  
COMMONWEALTH  
LAW REPORTS

CASES DETERMINED IN THE  
HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

EDITOR

J. D. MERRALLS, Q.C.

VOLUME 162

THE LAW BOOK COMPANY LIMITED

1988

**REPORTERS:**

**J. M. BENNETT**

**C. J. CARR**

**J. G. SANTAMARIA**

**R. A. SUNDBERG, Q.C.**

*Barristers-at-Law*

---

Published in Sydney by  
The Law Book Company Limited  
44-50 Waterloo Road, North Ryde, N.S.W.  
389-393 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne, Victoria  
40 Queen Street, Brisbane, Queensland  
6 Sherwood Court, Perth, W.A.

ISSN 0069-7133

© 1988 The Law Book Company Limited

Wholly set up and printed by  
The Law Book Company Limited, Sydney, N.S.W.

JUSTICES OF THE HIGH COURT  
OF AUSTRALIA

DURING THE CURRENCY OF THIS VOLUME

---

---

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR HARRY TALBOT GIBBS, G.C.M.G., K.B.E.,  
CHIEF JUSTICE (retired 5 February 1987).

THE HONOURABLE SIR ANTHONY FRANK MASON, K.B.E.,  
CHIEF JUSTICE (appointed 6 February 1987).

THE HONOURABLE LIONEL KEITH MURPHY (died 21 October 1986).

THE HONOURABLE SIR RONALD DARLING WILSON, K.B.E., C.M.G.

THE HONOURABLE SIR FRANCIS GERARD BRENNAN, K.B.E.

THE HONOURABLE SIR WILLIAM PATRICK DEANE, K.B.E.

THE HONOURABLE SIR DARYL MICHAEL DAWSON, K.B.E., C.B.

THE HONOURABLE JOHN LESLIE TOOHEY (appointed 6 February 1987).

THE HONOURABLE MARY GENEVIEVE GAUDRON (appointed 6 February 1987).

---

ATTORNEY-GENERAL

THE HONOURABLE LIONEL FROST BOWEN, M.P.

## MEMORANDA

---

1986.

October 21 — Death of THE HONOURABLE LIONEL KEITH MURPHY, a Justice of the High Court of Australia.

1987.

February 5 — Retirement of THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR HARRY TALBOT GIBBS, G.C.M.G., K.B.E., from the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia.

February 6 — Appointment of THE HONOURABLE SIR ANTHONY FRANK MASON, K.B.E., to the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia.

— Appointment of THE HONOURABLE JOHN LESLIE TOOHEY, a Judge of the Federal Court of Australia and MARY GENEVIEVE GAUDRON, Q.C., Solicitor-General for the State of New South Wales, to the offices of Justice of the High Court of Australia.

## RETIREMENT OF CHIEF JUSTICE SIR HARRY GIBBS

On Thursday, 5 February 1987, to mark the retirement of the Right Honourable SIR HARRY TALBOT GIBBS G.C.M.G., K.B.E., from the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia, farewell addresses were delivered before the High Court at Canberra by the Honourable L. F. BOWEN M.P., Attorney-General for the Commonwealth, Mr. R. V. GYLES Q.C., President of the Australian Bar Association, Mr. I. D. F. CALLINAN Q.C., President of the Queensland Bar Association, and Mr. D. R. WILLIAMS Q.C., President of the Law Council of Australia.

In his reply, SIR HARRY GIBBS said:—

Mr. Bowen, Mr. Gyles, Mr. Callinan, Mr. Williams, thank you for your generous remarks.

I am grateful to all of you who, by attending here this afternoon, have done honour to the office which I am about to relinquish and, to me. I would wish to acknowledge individually all who are present, but time does not permit me to do so and I am sure that no one will think it invidious if, for special reasons, I mention the names of a few only.

I very much appreciate the presence of four of the six former members of the Court: Sir Garfield Barwick, under whom I served for over ten years; Sir Frank Kitto, whose place on the Court I filled when he retired, and Sir Victor Windeyer and Sir Ninian Stephen, who were my colleagues. Sir Edward McTiernan has sent his apologies. Unfortunately, the state of his health prevents him from being present and Sir Kenneth Jacobs is in England.

It is a particular compliment that the Chief Justice or Chief Judge of every superior court in Australia is present. I also thank, for their presence, the representatives of the governments of the States and of the various associations of lawyers throughout the Commonwealth. I am glad that a number of my former associates, two of whom are now judges, my former tipstaff Mr. Davis, the present members of my staff and last but not least, my wife and some members of my family, are able to be here today.

During the time of my membership of this Court there have been great changes, not only in society generally, but also affecting the Court. Most recent and, perhaps most important, have been the

abolition of all appeals to the Court as of right, and the removal of the right of appeal from Australian courts to the Privy Council.

Besides that, the Court has moved to this fine building in Canberra and so no longer sits regularly in all the capital cities of the States. The Court now has the power to control its own finances and administer its own affairs. A compulsory retiring age has been introduced and after Sir Anthony Mason has been sworn in as Chief Justice tomorrow, all the members of the Court will be obliged to retire at the age of seventy.

Some of these measures have, in theory, enhanced the status of the Court. I say in theory, because its status was already high before they were taken. There can, however, be no doubt that in fact as well as in theory the jurisdiction and organization of the Court have undergone changes more significant than at any time since Federation. It is impossible to predict the effect which all these changes in combination will have on the working of the Court, for reforms, beneficial in themselves, not infrequently have consequences which those who initiated them could not foresee. I hope that none of the changes will reduce the importance of the role of the Court as the final appellate tribunal in all matters of general law.

The exercise by the Court of its functions of interpreting and upholding the Constitution tends to attract the interest of commentators and of the public more than its work in the fields of the general law. The constitutional judgments of the Court are, of course, of very great importance but constitutional cases, nevertheless, form a comparatively small part of the total body of the Court's work and the Court has never regarded constitutional law as standing apart from the rest of the law or as unaffected by its general principles.

The standing which the Court has acquired has been very much due to its achievements in the field of the general law. There has recently been a considerable increase in the volume of cases involving administrative law and the interpretation of statutes. Such litigation is important but it would be regrettable if it occupied so much time that the Court became unable to hear cases of other kinds, particularly those arising under the common law and those affecting the rights of ordinary citizens.

Since the Court has moved to Canberra more public attention has been attracted to its work although that does not mean that its work is better understood. It no doubt makes it easier for some to explain the decisions of the Court if the Justices are regarded as stereotypes and if one is described as a conservative or upholder of State rights and another as a liberal or centralist. Implicit in this approach is the assumption that judges make decisions on the basis of their own preconceived opinions regarding social, economic or political matters.

In truth, the difficulties of decision are sometimes such that two judges may be led to opposite conclusions, although each rigorously applies legal methods and legal principles. Some critics claim that it is quite unreal to say that judicial decisions are guided by strict and complete legalism.

The words of that expression of Sir Owen Dixon have perhaps acquired an unfortunate connotation. No one seriously suggests that there should be a rigid adherence to the very words of previous judgments or that statutes should be construed with unthinking literalism and everyone recognizes that the law must and does develop to meet the needs of the changing times but in that development principle and logic and precedent have their proper place and a judge would fail to perform a judicial function if he or she deserted all three and gave a decision based simply on individual notions of right and wrong. Stability and certainty in the law are virtues, particularly in unsettled times.

Although the High Court is at the apex of the hierarchy of courts in Australia and can set standards for and give guidance to other courts as well as giving authoritative decisions on questions of principle, it should never be forgotten that the strength and efficiency of the judicial system depends very largely on the work of the trial judges and on appellate courts of first remove.

One of the advantages of service on the High Court has been that it has afforded me an opportunity to get to know so many judges throughout Australia who possess all those qualities of dedication, integrity, impartiality and knowledge of the law that judges must have and be seen to have if the courts are to maintain the public confidence upon which their authority rests.

The work of the courts can be effective only if the two branches of the legal profession perform efficiently their respective functions. Barristers and solicitors do have different functions to perform and experience shows that they are performed best if performed separately. Judges in courts, and particularly appellate courts, have occasion to see more directly the work of the Bar than that of the solicitors. While I take this opportunity to acknowledge the assistance that I have derived from the arguments of counsel in the decision of many cases on which I have sat, I do not fail to recognize that the thorough preparation by solicitors is often equally important to the successful presentation of the case. There have been expressed fears that the increasing cost of legal services, both those of barristers and solicitors, may place those services out of the reach of the ordinary citizen who cannot obtain legal aid. It may well be that costs which sometimes appear to be large are in many cases by no means excessive but are made necessary by the conditions of practice today and by economic

conditions in general. Nevertheless, it will be a very sad day if the ordinary citizen is no longer able to obtain the services of the best firms of solicitors and the most eminent of barristers.

This, however, is one of the problems which is facing the legal profession and which I am sure that the Law Council and its various constituent bodies are endeavouring to solve. History shows that rights and freedoms are best protected, indeed can only be fully protected, when the law is administered openly by impartial courts and the parties are represented by lawyers who are competent and independent. The rule of law is a precious inheritance and it can be preserved only if the legal profession in Australia retains its strength and integrity.

I think it will always be true to say that the work of a Chief Justice of this Court is somewhat burdensome and during recent years the Court has faced unprecedented difficulties. Nevertheless I have derived much satisfaction from serving as Chief Justice of the Court. I have enjoyed the friendship of my fellow justices and have had the loyal support of the staff of the Court.

My endeavour has been to maintain the high standards which were set by my eminent predecessors and which have, I think, earned respect for the Court not only in Australia but also elsewhere. I offer my best wishes to my successor, Sir Anthony Mason, to the other members of the Court who are my present colleagues and to the two Justices who will take office tomorrow. I hope that they have many happy and fruitful years on the Bench.

It has been very gratifying to receive from many members of the profession expressions of goodwill on my retirement. I again thank those who have spoken for their kind words and thank you all for your attendance here today.



## SWEARING IN OF SIR ANTHONY MASON AS CHIEF JUSTICE

On Friday, 6 February 1987, in the High Court of Canberra, the Honourable SIR ANTHONY FRANK MASON K.B.E. took the oaths of office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia. Addresses of congratulation were delivered by the Honourable L. F. BOWEN M.P., Attorney-General for the Commonwealth, Mr. R. V. GYLES Q.C., President of the Australian Bar Association and of the New South Wales Bar Association, and Mr. D. R. WILLIAMS Q.C., President of the Law Council of Australia.

In his reply, SIR ANTHONY MASON said:—

Mr. Attorney, Mr. Gyles, Mr. Williams; in taking up the office of Chief Justice I am greatly encouraged by your expressions of confidence, by the generosity of your remarks and by the attendance here of so many members of the judiciary and the legal profession.

It gives me much pleasure to have sitting with us, not only Sir Garfield Barwick and Sir Harry Gibbs, the Chief Justices with whom I have served since I was appointed a Justice of this Court, but also his Excellency the Governor-General, Sir Ninian Stephen, whose judgments, like those of Sir Frank Kitto and Sir Victor Windeyer, who are also sitting with us, have illuminated the law of this country.

I am especially honoured by the presence on this Bench of the Chief Justices of the Supreme Courts of the States and the Territories, the Chief Judge of the Federal Court, Sir Nigel Bowen, who was Attorney-General for the Commonwealth when I was Solicitor-General, and the Chief Judge of the Family Court. And I am glad to see the President and judges of the New South Wales Court of Appeal of which I was once privileged to be a member, my successors in the office of Solicitor-General, Mr. R. J. Ellicott Q.C., Sir Maurice Byers Q.C., now Chairman of the Constitutional Commission and Dr. Gavan Griffith Q.C., as well as the representatives of governments and professional organizations, Mr. John Spender Q.C., representing the Federal Opposition, and last but certainly not least, other members of the Constitutional Commission, including Mr. E. G. Whitlam Q.C.

Since I joined the High Court fourteen and a half years ago its dual role under the Constitution as a constitutional court and as a final court of appeal has been reinforced by the series of changes mentioned by the speakers yesterday. These changes have enabled us to concentrate on that dual role, and to devote our efforts on the

appellate side to the resolution of important cases. With the elimination of the Privy Council appeal, this Court now has the exclusive final responsibility for declaring what is the law for Australia.

The last of these developments will not make much difference to the pattern of our work except in so far as it may generate more commercial work for us. The fact is that some time past we have proceeded on the footing that the High Court is the ultimate court of appeal for this country. The elimination of the Privy Council appeal is none the less a landmark in our legal history. In conformity with our status as an independent nation, it signifies in a perceptible way that henceforth Australian courts generally will be less reliant on English authority in interpreting and declaring State law, as well as federal law.

Our courts have an obligation to shape principles of law that are suited to the conditions and circumstances of Australian society and lead to decisions that are just and fair. In discharging that obligation judges do not exercise unlimited freedom of choice or the freedom of choice that is inherent in the legislative and the political process. For the most part in this Court we are engaged in the activity of interpreting the Constitution and, more commonly, statutes. Although interpretation involves creative elements, judicial creativity designed to promote the interests of justice is exercised within a general framework that takes account of the express provisions, the purpose and the policy of the statute. And even in those cases where the rules in question are common law or judge-made rules, judicial freedom of choice is restrained by our efforts to ensure that judicial development of the law, though responding dynamically to the needs of society, is principled, orderly and evolutionary in character. There is an expectation that the rules by which conduct is to be judged should be reasonably ascertainable or predictable, as well as yielding just and fair results.

In stating the common law for Australia, we now place closer attention to the common law as is reflected in the judicial decisions and academic writings of other countries. Despite differences in principle and technique, courts in countries following the common law tradition inherited from England have achieved a remarkable degree of uniformity in evolving principled answers to common problems.

These differences in principle and technique remind us that controversial legal questions are often finely balanced, leading sometimes to differences of opinion between courts and between judges of the same court. In this Court the scope for divergence of opinion is magnified because we usually sit as a court of seven or five members. But divergence of judicial opinion should be viewed in its true perspective, a perspective which recognizes that, though each Justice

gives individual expression to the law, each of us is only a contributor to the decision-making processes of the Court as an institution, for it is the Court that decides the cases and declares the law.

Nothing that I have said is intended to suggest that the High Court occupies precisely the same position in our system of government as does the Supreme Court in the United States. True it is that this Court is a constitutional court armed with the power of judicial review. But we have no Bill of Rights, let alone a constitutionally entrenched Bill of Rights, which is the mainspring of so much constitutional litigation in the United States and of the Supreme Court's authority to strike down legislation that violates fundamental rights.

Our Constitution was framed with a close eye to the doctrine of parliamentary supremacy. As a community, we still believe that the clash of interests and values involved in the protection of fundamental human rights is better left for resolution by our politicians in Parliament than by judges in giving effect to a general Bill of Rights. So, the doctrine of parliamentary supremacy continues to play an influential part in shaping the multi-faceted inter-relationship which exists between the courts, the Executive and the Parliament in Australia, an inter-relationship that calls for a sensitive appreciation by each branch of the role of other branches in our system of government. For this reason, our constitutional role is necessarily more restricted than that of the Supreme Court of the United States and the Supreme Court of Canada now that Canada has a constitutionally entrenched Bill of Rights.

With the goodwill and co-operation of my colleagues on the Court and of the legal profession I trust that the expectations which have been expressed by the speakers today will be fulfilled.

I thank the speakers for all that they have said and everyone for attending.



The mode of citation of this volume of  
the COMMONWEALTH LAW REPORTS will be as follows:  
162 C.L.R.

---



---

**A TABLE**  
OF THE  
**NAMES OF THE CASES REPORTED**  
IN THIS VOLUME

---

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>A</b></p> <p>Aboriginal Affairs, Minister for v. Peko-Wallsend Ltd. — — 24</p> <p>Alexandra Private Geriatric Hospital Pty. Ltd. v. The Commonwealth — — — — 271</p> <p>AMEV-UDC Finance Ltd. v. Austin — — — — — 170</p> <p>Ankar Pty. Ltd. v. National Westminster Finance (Austra- lia) Ltd. — — — — — 549</p> <p>Arnick Holdings Ltd. v. National Westminster Finance (Austra- lia) Ltd. — — — — — 549</p> <p>Austin; AMEV-UDC Finance Ltd. v. — — — — — 170</p> <p>Australian National Airlines Commission v. Newman — 466</p> <p>Australian Postal Commission; Dao v. — — — — — 317</p> <p>Australian Safeway Stores Pty. Ltd. v. Zaluzna — — — — 479</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>B</b></p> <p>Bahri Kural v. The Queen — — 502</p> <p>Beane, Ex p.; Re Bolton — — 514</p> <p>Bland Investments Pty. Ltd. v. Minister Administering En- vironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 — — 340</p> <p>Bolton, Re; Ex p. Beane — — 514</p> <p>Brougham Investments Pty. Ltd. v. Minister Administering En- vironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 — — 340</p>	<p>Burgundy Royale Investments Pty. Ltd.; Jennings Construc- tion Ltd. v. [No. 2] — — — 153</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C</b></p> <p>Canterbury Municipal Council v. Moslem Alawy Society Ltd. — 145</p> <p>Carlton Hotel Pty. Ltd.; White- house v. — — — — — 285</p> <p>Castlemaine Tooheys Ltd. v. Williams and Hodgson Trans- port Pty. Ltd. — — — — 395</p> <p>Commonwealth, The; Alexandra Private Geriatric Hospital Pty. Ltd. v. — — — — — 271</p> <p>—; Queensland v. — — — — 74</p> <p>Cook v. Cook — — — — — 376</p> <p>Coulton v. Holcombe — — — — 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <p>Dao v. Australian Postal Com- mission — — — — — 317</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>E</b></p> <p>Education, Director-General of v. Suttlng — — — — — 427</p> <p>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Minister Administering; Bland Invest- ments Pty. Ltd. v. — — — 340</p> <p>—; Brougham Investments Pty. Ltd. v. — — — — — 340</p> <p>—; San Sebastian Pty. Ltd. v. 340</p> <p>—; Sebastian Properties Pty. Ltd. v. — — — — — 340</p>
--	---

<b>F</b>		<b>P</b>
Flaherty v. Girgis — — — —	574	Paul; Pavey & Matthews Pty. Ltd. v. — — — —
		221
<b>G</b>		Pavey & Matthews Pty. Ltd. v. Paul — — — —
Girgis; Flaherty v. — — — —	574	221
Galland; Federal Commissioner of Taxation v. — — — —	408	Peko-Wallsend Ltd.; Minister for Aboriginal Affairs v. — —
		24
		Public Prosecutions, Director of (Vict.); Zecevic v. — — —
		645
<b>H</b>		<b>Q</b>
Holcombe; Coulton v. — — —	1	Queensland v. The Commonwealth — — — —
		74
<b>I</b>		<b>S</b>
Ilich v. The Queen — — —	110	San Sebastian Pty. Ltd. v. Minister Administering Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 — — — —
		340
<b>J</b>		Sebastian Properties Pty. Ltd. v. Minister Administering Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 — —
J. v. Lieschke — — — —	447	340
Jackson v. Sterling Industries Ltd.	612	Sterling Industries Ltd.; Jackson v. — — — —
Jennings Construction Ltd. v. Burgundy Royale Investments Pty. Ltd. [No. 2] — — —	153	612
		Suttling; Director-General of Education v. — — — —
		427
<b>K</b>		<b>T</b>
Kural (Bahri) v. The Queen —	502	Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v. Galland — — — —
		408
<b>L</b>		<b>W</b>
Lieschke; J. v. — — — —	447	Whitehouse v. Carlton Hotel Pty. Ltd. — — — —
		285
<b>M</b>		Williams and Hodgson Transport Pty. Ltd.; Castlemaine Tooheys Ltd. v. — — — —
Moslem Alawy Society Ltd.; Canterbury Municipal Council v. — — — —	145	395
		<b>Z</b>
<b>N</b>		Zaluzna; Australian Safeway Stores Pty. Ltd. v. — — —
National Westminster Finance (Australia) Ltd.; Ankar Pty. Ltd. v. — — — —	549	479
—; Arnick Holdings Ltd. v.	549	Zecevic v. Director of Public Prosecutions (Vict.) — — —
Newman; Australian National Airlines Commission v. — —	466	645

TABLE OF CASES AFFIRMED, REVERSED, OVERRULED,  
APPLIED OR JUDICIALLY COMMENTED ON IN  
CASES REPORTED IN THIS VOLUME

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><i>Ansett Transport Industries (Operations) Pty. Ltd. v. Wardley</i> (1980), 142 C.L.R. 237, at p. 260.<br/>Applied 162 C.L.R. 317.</p> <p><i>Attorney-General (Saskatchewan) v. Canadian Pacific Railway Co.</i>, [1953] A.C. 594, at p. 616.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 74.</p> <p>— (<i>N.S.W.</i>) <i>v. Collector of Customs (N.S.W.)</i> (1908), 5 C.L.R. 818.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 74.</p> <p><i>Austin v. United Dominion Corporation Ltd.</i>, [1984] 2 N.S.W.L.R. 612.<br/>Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 170.</p> <p><i>Australian Broadcasting Commission v. Industrial Court (S.A.)</i> (1977), 138 C.L.R. 399.<br/>Applied 162 C.L.R. 317.</p> <p><i>Banque Belge v. Hambrouck</i>, [1921] 1 K.B. 321.<br/>Applied 162 C.L.R. 110.</p> <p><i>Bentsen v. Taylor, Sons &amp; Co.</i>, [1893] 2 Q.B. 274.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 549.</p> <p><i>Broxtowe Borough Council v. Birch</i>, [1983] 1 W.L.R. 314; [1983] 1 All E.R. 641.<br/>Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 145.</p> <p><i>Bunge Corporation v. Tradax S.A.</i>, [1981] 1 W.L.R. 711; [1981] 2 All E.R. 513.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 549.</p> <p><i>Caltex Oil (Australia) Pty. Ltd. v. The Dredge "Willemstad"</i> (1976), 136 C.L.R. 529.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 341.</p> <p><i>Canterbury Municipal Council v. Moslem Alawy Society Ltd.</i> (1985), 1 N.S.W.L.R. 525; 55 L.G.R.A. 318.<br/>Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 145.</p> <p><i>Castlemaine Tooheys Ltd. v. Williams &amp; Hodgson Transport Pty. Ltd.</i> (1985), 7 F.C.R. 509.<br/>Reversed 162 C.L.R. 395.</p> | <p><i>Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Henning</i>, [1964] A.C. 420.<br/>Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 145.</p> <p><i>Clarke v. Shee and Johnson</i> (1774), 1 Cowp. 197 [98 E.R. 1041].<br/>Applied 162 C.L.R. 110.</p> <p><i>Cook v. Cook</i> (1986), 41 S.A.S.R. 1.<br/>Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 376.</p> <p><i>Deposit and Investment Co. v. Kaye</i> (1962), 63 S.R. (N.S.W.) 453.<br/>Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 221.</p> <p><i>Environmental Planning, Minister for v. San Sebastian Pty. Ltd.</i>, [1983] 2 N.S.W.L.R. 268; (1983), 51 L.G.R.A. 257.<br/>Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 341.</p> <p><i>Federal Tax on Exported Natural Gas, Re</i> (1982), 136 D.L.R. (3d) 385.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 74.</p> <p><i>Fire Commissioners, Board of v. Ardouin</i> (1961), 109 C.L.R. 105, at pp. 117-118, 124, 127.<br/>Applied 162 C.L.R. 466.</p> <p><i>Flaherty v. Girgis</i> (1985), 4 N.S.W.L.R. 248; 83 F.L.R. 223; 63 A.L.R. 466.<br/>Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 574.</p> <p><i>Franciscan Order of Friars Minor, Association of the v. City of Kew</i>, [1944] V.L.R. 199.<br/>Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 145.</p> <p><i>Geddes v. Magrath</i> (1933), 50 C.L.R. 520.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 427.</p> <p><i>Gino D'Allesandro Constructions Pty. Ltd. v. Powis</i>, [1987] 2 Qd R. 40.<br/>Approved 162 C.L.R. 221.</p> <p><i>Hackshaw v. Shaw</i> (1984), 155 C.L.R. 614, at pp. 662-663.<br/>Applied 162 C.L.R. 479.</p> <p><i>He Kaw Teh v. The Queen</i> (1985), 157 C.L.R. 523.<br/>Explained 162 C.L.R. 502.</p> <p><i>Holme v. Brunskill</i> (1877), 3 Q.B.D. 495.<br/>Considered 162 C.L.R. 549.</p> |
|--|---|

- Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd.*, [1962] 2 Q.B. 26.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 549.
- Horton v. Jones* [No. 1] (1934), 34 S.R. (N.S.W.) 359, at pp. 367-368.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 221.
- House v. The King* (1936), 55 C.L.R. 499, at pp. 504-505.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 24.
- Hudson v. Venderheld* (1968), 118 C.L.R. 171, at p. 175.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 466.
- Humberside County Council v. The Queen*, [1977] 1 W.L.R. 1251; [1977] 3 All E.R. 964.  
Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 447.
- Insurance Commissioner v. Joyce* (1948), 77 C.L.R. 39, at pp. 56, 57, 59.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 376.
- Jackson v. Sterling Industries Ltd.* (1986), 69 A.L.R. 92.  
Reversed 162 C.L.R. 612.
- Jaensch v. Coffey* (1984), 155 C.L.R. 549.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 341.
- James v. Thomas H. Kent & Co. Ltd.*, [1951] 1 K.B. 551.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 221.
- Jennings Construction Ltd. v. Burgundy Royale Investments Pty. Ltd.* (1986), 86 F.L.R. 329.  
Overruled 162 C.L.R. 153.
- Joyce v. Ashfield Municipal Council*, [1975] 1 N.S.W.L.R. 744.  
Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 145.
- King v. Ivanhoe Gold Corporation Ltd.* (1908), 7 C.L.R. 617, at pp. 621, 625.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 24.
- Kroehn v. Kroehn* (1912), 15 C.L.R. 137, at pp. 143, 146.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 24.
- Leeder v. Ellis* (1952), 86 C.L.R. 64, at pp. 70-71.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 24.
- Levin v. Clark*, [1962] N.S.W.R. 686, at p. 701.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 285.
- Lewis v. Riverton Public Golf Course Pty. Ltd.*, [1971] W.A.R. 75, at p. 83.  
Disapproved 162 C.L.R. 285.
- London Graving Dock Co. Ltd. v. Horton*, [1951] A.C. 737.  
Not followed 162 C.L.R. 479.
- Luke v. Mayoh* (1921), 29 C.L.R. 435.  
Followed 162 C.L.R. 574.
- Mayfair Trading Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Dreyer* (1958), 101 C.L.R. 428.  
Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 221.
- Metal Trades Industry Association v. Amalgamated Metal Workers' and Shipwrights' Union* (1983), 152 C.L.R. 632.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 317.
- Miller v. Race* (1758), 1 Burr. 452 [97 E.R. 398].  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 110.
- Moss v. Hancock*, [1899] 2 Q.B. 111.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 110.
- Musselwhite v. C. H. Musselwhite & Son Ltd.*, [1962] Ch. 964, at p. 979.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 285.
- Mutual Life & Citizens' Assurance Co. Ltd. v. Evatt* (1968), 122 C.L.R. 556.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 341.
- Nettleship v. Weston*, [1971] 2 Q.B. 691.  
Not followed 162 C.L.R. 376.
- Newman v. Australian National Airlines Commission* (1985), 2 N.S.W.L.R. 573.  
Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 466.
- Olsson v. Dyson* (1969), 120 C.L.R. 365, at p. 379.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 153.
- Palmer v. The Queen*, [1971] A.C. 814.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 645.
- Papatonakis v. Australian Telecommunications Commission* (1985), 156 C.L.R. 7.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 479.
- Paul v. Pavey & Matthews Pty. Ltd.* (1985), 3 N.S.W.L.R. 114.  
Reversed 162 C.L.R. 221.
- Peko-Wallsend Ltd. v. Minister for Aboriginal Affairs* (1985), 5 F.C.R. 532.  
Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 24.
- Plimmer v. Wellington Corporation* (1884), 9 App. Cas. 699.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 153.
- Reg. v. Ashwell* (1885), 16 Q.B.D. 190.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 110.
- *v. Goodrick* (1922), 18 Tas. L.R. 36.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 110.
- *v. Howe* (1958), 100 C.L.R. 448, at p. 461.  
Not followed 162 C.L.R. 645.
- *v. Kural*, [1986] V.R. 673.  
Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 502.



- *v. Middleton* (1873), L.R. 2 C.C.R. 38.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 110.
- *v. Peterson; Ex parte Hartmann*, [1969] V.R. 417; (1968) 14 F.L.R. 1.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 514.
- *v. Potisk* (1973), 6 S.A.S.R. 389.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 110.
- *v. Prince* (1868), L.R. 1 C.C.R. 150.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 110.
- *v. Thames Magistrate; Ex parte Brindle*, [1975] 1 W.L.R. 1400; [1975] 3 All E.R. 71, 941.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 514.
- *v. Toohey; Ex parte Meneling Station Pty. Ltd.* (1982), 158 C.L.R. 327.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 24.
- *v. Worthing Justices*, [1976] 2 All E.R. 194.  
Distinguished 162 C.L.R. 447.
- Rahman (Prince Abdul) v. Abu-Taha*, [1980] 1 W.L.R. 1268, at p. 1273; [1980] 3 All E.R. 409, at p. 412.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 612.
- Schwarstein v. Watson* (1985), 3 N.S.W.L.R. 134.  
Overruled 162 C.L.R. 221.
- Shaddock (L.) & Associates Pty. Ltd. v. Parramatta City Council* [No.1] (1981), 150 C.L.R. 225.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 341.
- Shales v. Lieschke* (1985), 3 N.S.W.L.R. 65; 16 A. Crim. R. 384; 10 Fam. L.R. 261.  
Reversed 162 C.L.R. 447.
- Stevens v. Brodribb Sawmilling Co. Pty. Ltd.* (1986), 160 C.L.R. 16.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 341.
- Storie v. Storie* (1945), 80 C.L.R. 597, at p. 600.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 24.
- Sutherland Shire Council v. Heyman* (1985), 157 C.L.R. 424.  
Considered 162 C.L.R. 341.
- Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v. Everett* (1980), 142 C.L.R. 440.  
Referred to 162 C.L.R. 408.
- *v. Galland* (1984), 4 F.C.R. 566.  
Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 408.
- Turner v. Bladin* (1951), 82 C.L.R. 463, at p. 474.  
Not followed 162 C.L.R. 221.
- Twist v. Randwick Municipal Council* (1976), 136 C.L.R. 106, at pp. 109-110.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 447.
- Viro v. The Queen* (1978) 141 C.L.R. 88, at pp. 146-147.  
Not followed 162 C.L.R. 645.
- Ward v. Kirkland*, [1967] Ch. 194.  
Applied 162 C.L.R. 153.
- Welch v. Welch*, [1973] A.C.L.C. 27,510.  
Approved 162 C.L.R. 285.
- Whitehouse v. Carlton Hotel Pty. Ltd.* (1985), 10 A.C.L.R. 20; 3 A.C.L.C. 713.  
Affirmed 162 C.L.R. 285.

## CORRIGENDA

- 153 C.L.R., page 3, line 3: After "(b)" insert "(Murphy J. contra)".
- 161 C.L.R., page viii and page 639, headnote: For reference to *Inglis v. Commonwealth Trading Bank of Australia* substitute "(1969), 119 C.L.R. 334, at pp. 337-338".
- 162 C.L.R.; pages 155, 161: Insert reference to decision of Kearney J. "(1986) 86 F.L.R. 329".
- 162 C.L.R., page 514, headnote: For reference to *Reg. v. Thames Magistrate; Ex parte Brindle* substitute "[1975] 1 W.L.R. 1400".
- 162 C.L.R., page 549, headnote: For "*Hong Kong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd.*" read "*Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd.*".



## STATUTES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED

### IMPERIAL

<b>Constitution, The (63 &amp; 64 Vict. c. 12), s. 51(xxiiiA).</b> <i>Alexandra Private Geriatric Hospital Pty. Ltd. v. The Commonwealth</i> — — — — —	271
—, ss. 51(xxiv), 109. <i>Flaherty v. Girgis</i> — — — — —	574
—, s. 109. <i>Dao v. Australian Postal Commission</i> — — — — —	317
—, s. 114. <i>Queensland v. The Commonwealth</i> — — — — —	74

### COMMONWEALTH

<b>Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976, ss. 11(1), 50(3).</b> <i>Minister for Aboriginal Affairs v. Peko-Wallsend Ltd.</i> — — — — —	24
<b>Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 15AB.</b> <i>Re Bolton; Ex parte Beane</i> — — — — —	514
<b>Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977, s. 5(2)(b).</b> <i>Minister for Aboriginal Affairs v. Peko-Wallsend Ltd.</i> — — — — —	24
<b>Australian National Airlines Act 1945, ss. 19(1), 19D, 19H, 63.</b> <i>Australian National Airlines Commission v. Newman</i> — — — — —	466
<b>Customs Act 1901, s. 233B(1)(b).</b> <i>Bahri Kural v. The Queen</i> — — — — —	502
<b>Defence (Visiting Forces) Act 1963, ss. 5(1) "visiting force", 8(2), 19(1), 21(1).</b> <i>Re Bolton; Ex parte Beane</i> — — — — —	514
<b>Federal Court of Australia Act 1976, s. 23.</b> <i>Jackson v. Sterling Industries Ltd.</i> — — — — —	612
<b>Fringe Benefits Tax Act 1986.</b> <i>Queensland v. The Commonwealth</i> — — — — —	74
<b>Fringe Benefits Tax Assessment Act 1986.</b> <i>Queensland v. The Commonwealth</i> — — — — —	74
<b>Income Tax Assessment Act 1936, ss. 92, 95.</b> <i>Federal Commissioner of Taxation v. Galland</i> — — — — —	408
<b>Judiciary Act 1903, s. 64.</b> <i>Dao v. Australian Postal Commission</i> — — — — —	317
<b>National Health Act 1953, Pts V, VA, VC, ss. 39A(6), 40AA(3C), (7).</b> <i>Alexandra Private Geriatric Hospital Pty. Ltd. v. The Commonwealth</i> — — — — —	271

<b>Postal Services Act 1975, ss. 42(1), 45(1), 46(1).</b> <i>Dao v. Australian Postal Commission</i> — — — — —	317
<b>Service and Execution of Process Act 1901, 5, 11.</b> <i>Flaherty v. Girgis</i> — — — — —	574
<b>Trade Practices Act 1974, ss. 4(1), 4C, 47(1), (6), (7).</b> <i>Castlemaine Tooheys Ltd. v. Williams and Hodgson Transport Pty. Ltd.</i> — — — — —	395

### NEW SOUTH WALES

<b>Anti-Discrimination Act 1977, ss. 25, 113.</b> <i>Dao v. Australian Postal Commission</i> — — — — —	317
<b>Builders Licensing Act 1971, s. 45.</b> <i>Pavey &amp; Matthews Pty. Ltd v. Paul</i> — — — — —	221
<b>Child Welfare Act 1939, s. 72.</b> <i>J. v. Lieschke</i> — — — — —	447
<b>Education Commission Act 1980, s. 51.</b> <i>Director-General of Education v. Suttlng</i> — — — — —	427
<b>Government and Related Employees Tribunal Act 1980, s.97(3).</b> <i>Director-General of Education v. Suttlng</i> — — — — —	427
<b>Interpretation Act 1897, s. 30(b).</b> <i>Director-General of Education v. Suttlng</i> — — — — —	427
<b>Partnership Act, 1919, s. 31.</b> <i>Federal Commissioner of Taxation v. Galland</i> — — — — —	408
<b>Supreme Court Act 1970, s. 75A(6).</b> <i>Coulton v. Holcombe</i> — — — — —	1
— 1970, s. 122. <i>Flaherty v. Girgis</i> — — — — —	574
<b>Supreme Court Rules 1970, Pt 10, r. 2.</b> <i>Flaherty v. Girgis</i> — — — — —	574

### QUEENSLAND

<b>Companies Act 1961, s. 114.</b> <i>Whitehouse v. Carlton Hotel Pty. Ltd.</i> — — — — —	285
---	-----

### SOUTH AUSTRALIA

<b>Workmen's Liens Act 1893, ss. 5, 21, 25, 48.</b> <i>Jennings Construction Ltd. v. Burgundy Royale Investments Pty. Ltd. [No. 2]</i> — — — — —	153
--	-----

### WESTERN AUSTRALIA

<b>Criminal Code, s. 371.</b> <i>Ilich v. The Queen</i> — — — — —	110
---	-----



## TABLE OF CASES CITED IN JUDGMENTS

NOTE.—The page numbers are those of the pages where the case is cited in each report. The numbers in brackets show the first footnote on each page containing the references to the cases.

A	
A. J. Bekhor & Co. Ltd. v. Bilton (1981) .....	622 (33)
Actors and Announcers Equity Association of Australia v. Fontana Films Pty. Ltd. (1982) .....	279 (19)
Addie's Case (1929) .....	492 (61)
Airlines of New South Wales Pty. Ltd. v. New South Wales [No. 2] (1965) .....	335 (92)
Al Nahkel Trading Ltd. v. Lowe (1986) .....	633 (71)
Alsager v. District Court of Polk County, Iowa (1975) .....	463 (35)
Amalgamated Society of Engineers v. Adelaide Steamship Co. Ltd. ("the Engineers' Case") (1920) .....	592 (78)
Anglo Auto Finance Co. Ltd. v. James (1963) .....	194 (79), 219 (57)
Anns v. Merton London Borough Council (1978) .....	353 (43), 367 (75)
Ansett Transport Industries (Operations) Pty. Ltd. v. Wardley (1980) .....	335 (90)
Anti-Facist Committee v. McGrath (1951) .....	59 (88)
Ardouin v. Venderheld (1968) .....	472 (8), 473 (13)
Ashburton Oil N.L. v. Alpha Minerals N.L. (1971) .....	289 (28), 310 (66), 600 (10)
Ashbury v. Ellis (1893) .....	39 (31)
Ashby v. Minister of Immigration (1981) .....	185 (40), 199 (93), 214 (43)
Associated Distributors Ltd. v. Hall (1938) .....	556 (45)
Associated Newspapers Ltd. v. Bancks (1951) .....	39 (32), 41 (41)
Associated Provincial Picture Houses Ltd. v. Wednesbury Corporation (1948) .....	187 (50), 188 (55), 203 (7)
Astley v. Weldon (1801) .....	543 (49), 593 (83)
Aston v. Irvine (1955) .....	593 (83)
Attorney-General (British Columbia) v. Attorney-General (Canada) (Johnny Walker Case) (1924) .....	107 (92)
— (Q.) v. — (Cth) (1915) .....	90 (51)
— v. Birmingham Tame, and Rea District Drainage Board (1912) .....	7 (17)
— (Saskatchewan) v. Canadian Pacific Railway Co. (1953) .....	86 (31), 98 (72), 105 (82)
— (N.S.W.) v. Collector of Customs (N.S.W.) (Steel Rails Case) (1908) .....	89 (45), 90 (48), 97 (65), 100 (73), 104 (80), 106 (87)
— (N.S.W.) v. Hunter (1983) .....	59 (84)
— (Ceylon) v. Perera (1953) .....	685 (92)
— v. Vernazza (1960) .....	7 (18)
Attorney-General's Reference (No. 1 of 1983) (1985) .....	138 (84)
Australian Broadcasting Commission v. Industrial Court (S.A.) (1977) .....	337 (97), 338 (98)
Australian Coal and Shale Employees' Federation v. The Commonwealth (1953) .....	72 (8)
Australian Communist Party v. The Commonwealth (1951) .....	522 (29)
Australian Iron & Steel Pty. Ltd. v. Buck (1982) .....	633 (70), 637 (79)
Automatic Self-Cleansing Filter Syndicate Co. Ltd. v. Cuninghame (1906) .....	290 (30)
B	
B.P. Exploration Co. (Libya) Ltd., Ex parte; Re Hunt (1979) .....	617 (13)
B.P. Refinery Pty. Ltd. v. Hastings Shire Council (1977) .....	433 (22)
B.M.A. Case (1949) .....	279 (21), 280 (24), 281 (30)
Bacon v. Chesney (1816) .....	558 (57), 569 (76)

- Bagnall v. White (1906) ..... 247 (85),  
249 (98), 268 (65)
- Bakarim v. Victoria P. Shipping  
Co. Ltd. (The "Tatiangela")  
(1980) ..... 642 (99)
- Baldwin & Francis Ltd. v.  
Patents Appeal Tribunal  
(1959) ..... 40 (36)
- Ballabil Holdings Ltd. v. Hos-  
pital Products Ltd. (1985) 623 (37),  
626 (42), 633 (73)
- Bank of British Columbia v.  
Turbo Resources Ltd. (1983) 570 (80)
- Bank of Montreal v. Wilder  
(1983) ..... 570 (83)
- Banque Belge v. Hambrouck  
(1921) ..... 117 (11),  
128 (50),  
139 (87)
- Barber v. Mackrell (1892) .... 558 (55)
- Barclay-Johnson v. Yuill (1980) 617 (14),  
633 (65), 637 (77), 638 (86)
- Barham v. Inder (1925) ..... 18 (43)
- Barton v. The Commonwealth  
(1974) ..... 521 (24)
- Bayer A.G. v. Winter (1986) 633 (72)
- Beddow v. Beddow (1878) ..... 632 (59)
- Bekhor (A. J.) & Co. Ltd. v.  
Bilton (1981) .... 618 (18),  
622 (33)
- Bennett & White (Calgary) Ltd.  
v. Municipal District of Sugar  
City [No. 5] (1951) ..... 87 (35),  
104 (75)
- Benning v. Wong (1969) ..... 475 (14)
- Bentsen v. Taylor, Sons & Co.  
(1893) ..... 556 (47)
- Besset, Ex parte (1844) ..... 522 (26)
- Betts v. Burch (1859) ..... 212 (34)
- Bevelon Investments Pty. Ltd.  
v. Melbourne City Council  
(1976) ..... 89 (43)
- Blackwood v. London Chartered  
Bank of Australia (1870) .... 14 (27)
- Blest v. Brown (1862) ..... 569 (75)
- Bloemen v. The Commonwealth  
(1975) ..... 8 (21)
- Blunt v. Collingwood Pty. Tin-  
mining Co. N.L. (1903) ..... 584 (45),  
585 (51)
- Blyth v. Birmingham Water-  
works Co. (1856) ..... 490 (52)
- Bolton v. Federal Commissioner  
of Taxation (1965) ..... 425 (52)
- Boult v. Harris (1675) ..... 231 (29)
- Bowmaker (Commercial) Ltd.  
v. Smith (1965) ..... 570 (77)
- Brady v. St Margaret's Trust  
Ltd. (1963) ..... 210 (29)
- Braemar Woollen Mills Co-op.  
Ltd. v. Poinsettia Hosiery  
Mills Pty. Ltd. (1933) ..... 584 (47)
- Bread Manufacturers of N.S.W.  
v. Evans (1981) ..... 41 (48)
- Brisson v. The Queen (1982) 677 (79)
- Britain v. Rossiter (1879) ..... 235 (39),  
268 (60)
- British Medical Association v.  
The Commonwealth (B.M.A.  
Case) (1949) ..... 280 (24),  
281 (30)
- Brix-Neilsen v. Oceaneering  
Australia Pty. Ltd. (1982) 579 (39)
- Brown v. Lizars (1905) ..... 521 (23),  
547 (57)
- Brownsea Haven Properties Ltd.  
v. Poole Corporation (1958) 531 (44)
- Broxtove Borough Council v.  
Birch (1983) ..... 150 (9)
- Bunge Corporation v. Tradax  
S.A. (1981) ..... 556 (49), 557 (52),  
562 (73)
- Burston v. Melbourne and  
Metropolitan Tramways Board  
(1948) ..... 19 (49)
- Busfield, In re (1886) ..... 590 (74),  
604 (18)
- Bushell v. Environment Secre-  
tary (1981) ..... 63 (97), 66 (3)
- Butler v. Attorney-General  
(Vict.) (1961) ..... 331 (88)
- C**
- C.B.S. United Kingdom Ltd. v.  
Lambert (1983) ..... 623 (35)
- Caltex Oil (Australia) Pty. Ltd.  
v. The Dredge "Willemstad"  
(1976) ..... 351 (38), 354 (45),  
355 (54), 369 (88)
- Campbell Discount Co. Ltd. v.  
Bridge (1962) ..... 175 (13),  
183 (25), 184 (29), 185 (38),  
197 (87), 198 (90), 199 (95),  
210 (27), 214 (40)
- Candler v. Crane, Christmas &  
Co. (1951) ..... 370 (90)
- Cann v. Willson (1888) ..... 370 (89)
- Canwey v. Aldwyn (1639) .... 231 (28)
- Carey v. The Commonwealth  
(1921) ..... 437 (24)
- Carltona Ltd. v. Commissioners  
of Works (1943) ..... 38 (26)
- Carter v. Egg & Egg Pulp Mar-  
keting Board (Vict.) (1942) 588 (65)
- Caxton Publishing Co. v. Suth-  
erland Publishing Co. (1939) 115 (2),  
116 (4), 124 (31)
- Cehave N.V. v. Bremer m.b.H.  
(1976) ..... 557 (51)
- Cellulose Acetate Silk Co. v.  
Widnes Foundry (1925) Ltd.  
(1933) ..... 192 (72), 212 (36)
- Challenor v. Douglas (1983) .... 579 (40)
- Central Piggery Co. Ltd. v.  
McNicol and Hurst (1949) 312 (71)
- Chamberlain v. The Queen  
(1983) ..... 512 (12)

- Chang v. Chang (1973) ..... 385 (32)  
 Chan Kau v. The Queen (1955) 686 (97)  
 Chapman v. Hoage (1936) .... 560 (66)  
 Chartered Bank v. Daklouche (1980) ..... 633 (64)  
 Chase Manhattan Bank N.A. v. Israel-British Bank (London) Ltd. (1981) ..... 129 (58)  
 Chatham Corporation v. McCrea (1862) ..... 570 (81)  
 Chennell, In re (1878) ..... 18 (44)  
 Chow Hung Ching v. The King (1948) ..... 519 (17), 527 (39), 533 (45), 539 (48), 546 (55)  
 Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Henning (1964) ..... 150 (7)  
 Citicorp Australia Ltd. v. Hendry (1985) .... 186 (48), 197 (89), 203 (6), 220 (59)  
 City Finance Co. Ltd. v. Matthew Harvey & Co. Ltd. (1915) ..... 600 (13)  
 Clarke v. Shee (1774) ..... 128 (51), 139 (89)  
 Clarke & Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Kerin (1926) ..... 584 (46), 585 (52)  
 Clemesha v. The Queen (1978) 130 (61)  
 Clipper Maritime Co. Ltd. v. Mineralimportexport (The "Marie Leonhardt") (1981) 642 (3)  
 Clydebank Engineering and Shipbuilding Co. v. Don Jose Ramos Yzquierdo y Castaneda (1905) ..... 190 (61)  
 Clyde Engineering Co. Ltd. v. Cowburn (1926) 588 (66), 592 (79)  
 Cocker v. Tempest (1841) ..... 638 (84)  
 Cocking v. Ward (1845) ..... 239 (59), 240 (67), 247 (89), 268 (63)  
 Cole v. Police Constable 443A (1937) ..... 151 (10)  
 Commercial Radio Coffs Harbour Ltd. v. Fuller (1986) 335 (91)  
 Commonwealth v. Cigamatic Pty. Ltd. (In liq.) (1962) .... 330 (87)  
 — v. Quince (1944) ..... 525 (36)  
 — v. Tasmania (Tasmanian Dam Case) (1983) ..... 279 (20)  
 — v. Welsh (1947) ..... 437 (29)  
 Compania Naviera Vascongado v. S.S. "Cristina" (1938) .... 547 (56)  
 Connecticut Fire Insurance Co. v. Kavanagh (1892) ..... 8 (23)  
 Connelly v. Director of Public Prosecutions (1964) ..... 638 (85), 639 (89)  
 Connolly v. Palmerston North City (1953) ..... 59 (85)  
 Cooden Engineering Co. Ltd. v. Stanford (1953) ..... 185 (35), 190 (65), 197 (88), 204 (11), 210 (24), 211 (26)  
 Cool & Sons Pty. Ltd. v. O'Brien Glass Industries Ltd. (1981) ..... 402 (10)  
 Cox v. Hakes (1890) ..... 523 (31)  
 Craven-Ellis v. Canons, Ltd. (1936) ..... 239 (52)  
 CREEDNZ Inc. v. Governor-General (1981) ..... 39 (30)  
 Cretanor Maritime Co. Ltd. v. Irish Marine Ltd. (1978) .... 642 (5)  
 Croft v. MacKellar (1983) .... 275 (17)  
 Croton v. The Queen (1967) 134 (64), 135 (72)  
 Crouch v. Hudson (1970) ..... 15 (31)  
 Croydon Gas Co. v. Dickinson (1876) ..... 559 (61)  
 Cutter v. Powell (1795) ..... 237 (48), 266 (51)
- D**
- D.T.R. Nominees Pty. Ltd. v. Mona Homes Pty. Ltd. (1978) ..... 556 (46)  
 Da Costa v. Cockburn Salvage & Trading Pty. Ltd. (1970) 7 (16)  
 Daganayasi v. Minister of Immigration (1980) ..... 31 (24)  
 Dakin (H.) & Co. Ltd. v. Lee (1916) ..... 241 (69)  
 D'Alessandro (Gino) Constructions Pty. Ltd. v. Powis (1987) ..... 229 (22), 244 (79), 246 (81), 258 (35)  
 Davies v. The King (1937) .... 15 (30)  
 Davis v. Thomas (1831) ..... 200 (1)  
 Day v. Hunkin (1938) ..... 442 (42)  
 Deglman v. Guaranty Trust (1954) ..... 227 (19), 255 (22)  
 D'Emden v. Pedder (1904) .... 88 (36), 90 (50), 105 (83)  
 Dennis Hotels Pty. Ltd. v. Victoria (1960) .... 86 (29), 104 (77)  
 Denton v. Auckland City (1969) ..... 59 (90)  
 Deposit & Investment Co. v. Kaye (1962) ..... 229 (24), 241 (72), 242 (74), 262 (47), 270 (79)  
 Dewhurst (W.A.) & Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Cawrse (1960) ..... 586 (53)  
 De Winter v. De Winter (1979) 72 (9)  
 Dickenson's Arcade Pty. Ltd. v. Tasmania (1974) ..... 96 (60)  
 Donoghue v. Stevenson (1932) 353 (44), 369 (83), 382 (22), 390 (45), 482 (21), 490 (51)  
 Dorman, Ex p.; Re Macreadie (1959) ..... 453 (18)  
 Dowd v. Dowd (1946) ..... 593 (84)  
 Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co. Ltd. v. New Garage and Motor Co. Ltd. (1915) ..... 190 (62)

## E

- Education and Science, Secretary of State for v. Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council (1977) ..... 42 (64)
- Education, Board of v. Rice (1911) ..... 59 (79)
- Elliott v. Southwark London Borough Council (1976) .... 41 (44), 55 (72)
- Ellis v. Leeder (1951) ..... 17 (38)
- Elphinstone (Lord) v. Monkland Iron and Coal Co. (1886) ..... 192 (70), 203 (9)
- Elsley v. J. G. Collins Insurance Agencies Ltd. (1978) ..... 192 (75), 193 (77), 203 (10), 212 (38)
- Emanuel v. Symon (1908) ..... 599 (7)
- Engineers' Case (1920) ..... 592 (78)
- Environmental Planning, Minister for v. San Sebastian Pty. Ltd. (1983) ..... 347 (37), 351 (39), 365 (70)
- Errington v. Minister of Health (1935) ..... 58 (77)
- Eshelby v. Federated European Bank, Ltd. (1931) ..... 570 (79)
- Essendon Corporation v. Criterion Theatres Ltd. (1947) ..... 88 (41)
- Exemption of United States Forces from Canadian Criminal Law, Reference re (1943) ..... 533 (46)
- Export Credits Guarantee Department v. Universal Oil Products Co. (1983) ..... 174 (12), 175 (15), 181 (24), 184 (31), 198 (92), 211 (32)
- F**
- Fablo Pty. Ltd. v. Bloore (1983) ..... 246 (82)
- Fairmount Ltd. v. Environment Secretary (1976) ..... 59 (92)
- Faithorn v. Territory of Papua (1938) ..... 442 (41)
- Federal Tax on Exported Natural Gas, Re (1982) .... 87 (33), 96 (63), 97 (70), 104 (76), 107 (94), 108 (95)
- Felton v. Mulligan (1971) ..... 596 (98)
- Fencott v. Muller (1983) ..... 630 (48)
- Ferris v. Lambton (1905) ..... 639 (91)
- Frost v. Stevenson (1937) .... 596 (95)
- Fibrosa Spolka Akcyjna v. Fairbairn Lawson Combe Barbour, Ltd. (1943) ..... 255 (21)
- Financings Ltd. v. Baldock (1963) ..... 175 (16), 186 (44), 192 (74), 203 (4), 210 (28)
- Finlay v. Finlay (1925) ..... 463 (33)
- Fire Commissioners, Board of (N.S.W.) v. Ardouin (1961) 471 (7), 472 (9), 473 (11), 476 (15)
- Forsyth v. Children's Aid Society (1962) ..... 458 (25)
- Foster v. Green (1862) ..... 118 (14)
- Fowles, Re (1936) ..... 584 (48), 586 (57)
- Franciscan Order of Friars Minor, Association of the v. City of Kew (1944) ..... 150 (6)
- Franks (Richard Brady) Ltd. v. Price (1937) ..... 294 (40)
- Fraser v. Whalley (1864) ..... 289 (25), 293 (34)
- Friedman v. Kemp's Nurseries Ltd. (1954) ..... 584 (49), 586 (59)
- G**
- Galaxia Maritime S.A. v. Mineralimportexport (The "Eleftherios") (1982) ..... 633 (69), 642 (2)
- Galland v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1984) ..... 413 (15), 417 (26)
- Gardner v. Bowman (1834) .... 251 (12)
- Gautret v. Egerton (1867) .... 495 (71)
- Geddes v. Magrath (1933) .... 437 (28), 444 (44), 445 (47)
- Geelong Harbour Trust Commissioners v. Gibbs Bright & Co. (1974) ..... 594 (90)
- General Practitioners Society v. The Commonwealth (1980) ..... 280 (23)
- Gilchrist v. Dean (1960) ..... 586 (55)
- Gillick v. West Norfolk and Wisbech Area Health Authority (1986) ..... 452 (16)
- Gino D'Alessandro Constructions Pty. Ltd. v. Powis (1987) ..... 229 (22), 244 (79), 246 (81), 258 (35)
- Giorgianni v. The Queen (1985) ..... 511 (11)
- Glanzer v. Shepard (1922) ..... 371 (93)
- Glasgow Corporation v. Muir (1943) ..... 391 (48)
- Golden Chemical Products Ltd., In re (1976) ..... 38 (27)
- Gosford Meats Pty. Ltd. v. New South Wales (1985) .... 96 (62), 104 (78), 106 (91)
- Gosper v. Sawyer (1985) ..... 597 (1), 599 (6), 603 (16), 609 (27)
- Gould v. Stuart (1896) ..... 437 (23), 442 (37)
- v. Vaggelas (1984) ..... 366 (73)
- Grant v. John Grant & Sons Pty. Ltd. (1950) ..... 293 (37), 310 (64), 315 (78)



- Gray v. Hill (1826) ..... 239 (51),  
248 (93), 267 (54)
- Green v. Sommerville (1979) ..... 8 (24)
- Gronow v. Gronow (1979) .... 42 (59),  
72 (10)
- Guaranty Co. v. Pressed Brick  
Co. (1903) ..... 560 (67)
- H**
- H. Dakin & Co. Ltd. v. Lee  
(1916) ..... 241 (69)
- H. C. Sleigh Ltd. v. South  
Australia (1977) ..... 86 (30)
- H. V. McKay Pty. Ltd. v. Hunt  
(1926) ..... 588 (67)
- Hackshaw v. Shaw (1984) .... 484 (26),  
485 (39), 488 (47), 491 (56),  
492 (66), 499 (82)
- Hall v. Walland (1621) ..... 231 (26)
- Hall & Co. Ltd. v. Shoreham-  
By-Sea Urban District Council  
(1964) ..... 41 (50), 42 (56)
- Hanks v. Minister of Housing  
and Local Government  
(1963) ..... 40 (37)
- Hardy v. Martin (1783) ..... 187 (52)
- Harlowe's Nominees Pty. Ltd.  
v. Woodside (Lakes Entrance)  
Oil Co. N.L. (1968) ..... 289 (27),  
290 (31), 294 (43), 295 (45),  
300 (48), 305 (61), 310 (67)
- Hawkins v. Minister for Lands  
(N.S.W.) (1949) ..... 161 (6)
- Heaven v. Pender (1883) ..... 487 (44)
- Heckshaw v. Shaw (1984) ..... 483 (24)
- Hedley Byrne & Co. Ltd. v.  
Heller & Partners Ltd. (1964) 352 (42),  
369 (84), 387 (41)
- He Kaw Teh v. The Queen  
(1985) ..... 504 (6), 509 (7),  
511 (8), 658 (30), 659 (31)
- Hematite Petroleum Pty. Ltd.  
v. Victoria (1983) ..... 96 (61)
- Henderson v. Henry E. Jenkins  
& Sons (1970) ..... 385 (38)
- Herrington v. British Railways  
Board (1972) ..... 492 (62), 500 (88)
- Hiero Pty. Ltd. v. Somers  
(1983) ..... 632 (61)
- Hindle v. John Cotton Ltd.  
(1919) ..... 301 (54)
- Hogg v. Cramphorn Ltd.  
(1967) ..... 293 (33), 304 (58)
- Holme v. Brunskill (1877) .... 558 (58),  
559 (62)
- Holmes v. Director of Public  
Prosecutions (1946) ..... 685 (91)
- Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd.  
v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha  
Ltd. (1962) ..... 556 (48), 561 (71)
- Horton v. Jones [No. 1]  
(1934) ..... 238 (49), 250 (5),  
257 (27), 267 (52)
- v. — [No. 2] (1939) 233 (34),  
236 (43), 251 (7), 261 (41)
- Hospital Products Ltd. v. Balla-  
bil Holdings Ltd. (1984) .... 623 (36)
- House v. The King (1936) .... 47 (69),  
71 (7)
- Housing and Local Government,  
Minister of v. Hartnell (1965) 42 (57)
- Howard Smith Ltd. v. Ampol  
Petroleum Ltd. (1974) ..... 289 (24),  
293 (38), 300 (50), 301 (52),  
304 (57), 309 (62)
- Howells v. Nagrad Nominees  
Pty. Ltd. (1982) ..... 282 (32)
- Hudson v. Venderheld (1968) 477 (17)
- Hughes v. Western Australian  
Cricket Association (Inc.)  
(1986) ..... 632 (60)
- v. Williams (1847) ..... 620 (27)
- Humberside County Council v.  
The Queen (1977) ..... 459 (27)
- Hume v. Palmer (1926) ..... 588 (68)
- Hunt, Re; Ex p. Sean Invest-  
ments Pty. Ltd. (1979) .... 42 (62),  
275 (14)
- I**
- I.A.C. (Leasing) Ltd. v.  
Humphrey (1972) ..... 181 (22),  
184 (32), 185 (37), 197 (85),  
215 (45)
- Indermaur v. Dames (1866) .... 482 (23),  
489 (48)
- Inland Revenue Commissioners  
v. National Federation of  
Self-Employed and Small  
Businesses Ltd. (1982) ..... 64 (2)
- Insurance Commissioner v.  
Joyce (1948) ..... 380 (15),  
382 (25), 384 (27), 385 (30),  
391 (52), 392 (54), 393 (57)
- Iraqi Ministry of Defence v.  
Arcepey Shipping Co. S.A.  
(The "Angel Bell") (1981) 617 (16),  
639 (87), 642 (97)
- Iskra, Ex parte; Ex parte  
Mercantile Transport Co. Pty.  
Ltd. (1962) ..... 593 (87)
- J**
- J. v. C. (1970) ..... 463 (30)
- J.R.L., Re; Ex p C.J.L. (1986) 457 (24)
- Jackson v. Sterling Industries  
Ltd. (1986) ..... 623 (41), 627 (46)
- Jaensch v. Coffey (1984) ..... 355 (55),  
368 (81), 381 (18), 390 (46)

- James v. Thomas H. Kent & Co. Ltd. (1951) 227 (18), 235 (40), 237 (46), 239 (57), 255 (23), 258 (31), 259 (40), 268 (69), 269 (76)
- Jervis v. Berridge (1873) ..... 195 (81)
- John Shaw & Sons (Salford) Ltd. v. Shaw (1935) ..... 313 (75)
- Johnny Walker Case (1924) .... 107 (92)
- Johnson & Co. v. Minister of Health (1947) ..... 63 (96)
- Johnsons Tyne Foundry Pty. Ltd. v. Maffra Corporation (1948) ..... 262 (48), 531 (43)
- Jones & Co. Ltd. v. Gardner Bros. (1921) ..... 593 (86)
- Joyce v. Ashfield Municipal Council (1975) ..... 150 (8)
- Junior Books Ltd. v. Veitchi Co. Ltd. (1983) ..... 354 (49)
- K**
- K. (Infants), In re (1965) ..... 457 (22)
- K.W. Thomas (Melbourne) Pty. Ltd. v. Groves (1958) ..... 593 (88)
- Kane v. Board of Governors of U.B.C. (1980) ..... 59 (87)
- Kasumu v. Baba-Egbe (1956) ..... 262 (45)
- Kathleen Investments (Aust.) Ltd. v. Australian Atomic Energy Commission (1977) ..... 315 (79)
- Kelly v. Webster (1852) ..... 239 (60), 268 (70)
- Kemble v. Farren (1829) ..... 188 (57)
- Kennison v. Daire (1985) ..... 133 (63), 135 (70), 137 (82)
- v. — (1986) ..... 133 (62), 141 (99)
- Kent Coal Concessions Ltd. v. Duguid (1910) ..... 72 (16)
- King v. Ivanhoe Gold Corporation Ltd. (1908) ..... 72 (13)
- v. Locke (1663) ..... 231 (30)
- Kioa v. Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1985) ..... 56 (74), 457 (23)
- Kiriri Cotton Co. Ltd. v. Dewani (1960) ..... 255 (24)
- Knowlman v. Bluett (1873) ..... 240 (68), 248 (94)
- v. — (1874) ..... 248 (95), 267 (57)
- Kodeeswaran v. Attorney-General (Ceylon) (1970) .... 437 (32), 442 (40)
- Koellner v. Breese (1909) ..... 239 (58), 249 (3), 258 (32), 268 (66)
- Koufos v. C. Czarnikow Ltd. (1969) ..... 206 (17)
- Kroehn v. Kroehn (1912) ..... 72 (14)
- Kuhndt v. Kuhndt and Smith (1927) ..... 593 (85)
- Ku-ring-gai Co-operative Building Society (No. 12) Ltd., Re (1978) ..... 401 (8), 406 (12)
- L**
- Lacey (William) (Hounslow) Ltd. v. Davis (1957) ..... 239 (54)
- Lacis v. Cashmarts (1969) .... 127 (44), 129 (57), 140 (97)
- Lagos v. Grunwaldt (1910) .... 251 (9)
- Lambert v. Lewis (1982) ..... 357 (67)
- Lamond v. Barnett (1964) ..... 59 (89)
- Lamson Store Service Co. Ltd. v. Russell Wilkins & Sons Ltd. (1906) ..... 209 (22)
- Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Co. v. MacNicoll (1918) ..... 115 (3), 124 (32)
- Langman v. Handover (1929) ..... 195 (82)
- Larratt v. Bankers & Traders Insurance Co. Ltd. (1941) .... 186 (47), 206 (13), 217 (48)
- Laurie v. Carroll (1958) ..... 592 (80), 598 (3), 599 (5), 600 (9), 605 (25)
- Lee Chun-Chuen v. The Queen (1963) ..... 665 (53), 685 (93)
- Leeder v. Ellis (1952) ..... 18 (40), 72 (15)
- Lehrer and the Real Property Act, Re (1960) ..... 163 (11)
- Leigh and Sullivan Ltd. v. Aliakmon Shipping Co. Ltd. (1986) ..... 354 (51)
- Le Lievre v. Gould (1893) .... 382 (21)
- Lessors (Aust.) Pty. Ltd. v. Westley (1964) .... 175 (18), 211 (31)
- Levin v. Clark (1962) ..... 313 (76)
- Lewis v. Riverton Public Golf Course Pty. Ltd. (1971) .... 299 (46), 312 (74)
- Lipman v. Clendinnen (1932) ..... 499 (86)
- Lloyd v. Wallach (1915) ..... 522 (27)
- Lombank Ltd. v. Kennedy and Whitelaw (1961) ..... 199 (94)
- London Graving Dock Co. Ltd. v. Horton (1951) ..... 482 (22), 484 (30), 500 (90)
- Lorenzo v. Carey (1921) ..... 596 (97)
- Lovell v. Lovell (1950) ..... 42 (58), 73 (18)
- Low v. Earthquake Commission (1959) ..... 59 (86)
- Lucy v. The Commonwealth (1923) ..... 437 (25), 441 (35)
- Luke v. Mayoh (1921) ..... 583 (41), 586 (62), 593 (82), 601 (14), 605 (24)
- Lundy's (Colonel) Case (1690) 521 (21)

## M

- McCann v. Parsons (1954) .... 16 (35)  
 McGleav v. N.S.W. Malting Co. Ltd. (1918) ..... 589 (72), 599 (4), 603 (17)  
 McHale v. Watson (1964) .... 368 (80)  
 — v. — (1966) ..... 391 (50), 393 (58)  
 McKay (H.V.) Pty. Ltd. v. Hunt (1926) ..... 588 (67)  
 McLean, Ex parte (1930) ..... 588 (69)  
 McVicar v. Commissioner for Railways (N.S.W.) (1951) 438 (33), 441 (36)  
 McWilliam v. McWilliams Wines Pty. Ltd. (1964) ..... 164 (12)  
 Maddocks v. D.J.E. Constructions Pty. Ltd. (1982) ..... 312 (72)  
 Magnasonic Canada Ltd. and Anti-Dumping Tribunal, Re (1973) ..... 59 (91)  
 Magrath v. Geddes (1933) .... 445 (49)  
 Mallet v. Mallet (1984) ..... 42 (60), 72 (11)  
 Mancini v. Director of Public Prosecutions (1942) ..... 686 (96)  
 Mareva Compania Naviera S.A. v. International Bulkcarriers S.A. (1975) ..... 616(11), 622 (32), 632 (62), 638 (82)  
 Marks v. Marks (1718) ..... 187 (49)  
 Martin v. Abbott Australasia Pty. Ltd. (1981) ..... 19 (48)  
 Mavor v. Pyne (1825) ..... 267 (55)  
 Mayfair Trading Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Dreyer (1958) ..... 196 (83), 226 (16), 229 (23), 241 (71), 262 (46), 270 (78)  
 Melbourne Corporation v. Barry (1922) ..... 594 (92)  
 Merchant Prince, The (1892) 385 (37)  
 Merrell v. Loft (1895) ..... 267 (58)  
 Metal Trades Industry Association v. Amalgamated Metal Workers' and Shipwrights' Union (1983) .... 336 (93), 337 (96)  
 Midland Counties Motor Finance Co. Ltd. v. Slade (1951) 557 (53), 573 (84)  
 Miller v. Race (1758) ..... 117 (10), 128 (48), 139 (88)  
 — v. Stephen (1913) ..... 442 (39)  
 Mills v. Mills (1938) ..... 294 (39), 301 (51), 303 (55), 309 (63)  
 Milward & Co., In re (1900) 589 (73)  
 Monckton v. The Commonwealth (1920) ..... 437 (26)  
 Montreal, City of v. Attorney-General (Canada) (1923) 89 (44)  
 Moore, Re; Ex p. Co-operative Bulk Handling Ltd. (1982) 41 (49)  
 Moorgate Tobacco Co. Ltd. v. Philip Morris Ltd. (1980) .... 596 (99)  
 Morgan v. Ashcroft (1938) .... 118 (16)  
 — v. Colman (1981) ..... 672 (63)  
 Morgan v. Geddes (1933) (F.C.) ..... 445 (49)  
 — v. — (1933) (H.C.) 437 (28), 444 (44), 445 (47)  
 Mosely v. Koffyfontein Mines Ltd. (1911) ..... 311 (68)  
 Moss v. Hancock (1899) ..... 118 (12), 128 (49)  
 Moynes v. Cooper (1956) .... 119 (27), 126 (39)  
 Mulholland v. Mitchell (1971) 19 (47)  
 Mummery v. Irvings Pty. Ltd. (1956) ..... 496 (74)  
 Munnings v. Hydro-Electric Commission (1971) ..... 491 (54), 492 (65)  
 Murdock v. Kennedy (1952) 261 (42)  
 Murphy v. Stone-Wallwork (Charlton) Ltd. (1969) .... 19 (46)  
 Murphyores Incorporated Pty. Ltd. v. The Commonwealth (1976) ..... 42 (61), 279 (18)  
 Muschinski v. Dodds (1985) 257 (26)  
 Musselwhite v. C. H. Musselwhite & Sons Ltd. (1962) .... 299 (47), 312 (73)  
 Mutual Life & Citizens' Assurance Co. Ltd. v. Evatt (1968) ..... 355 (52), 356 (58), 357 (66), 369 (87), 371 (91)  
 — v. — (1970) ..... 355 (52), 356 (59)

## N

- Nagrad Nominees Pty. Ltd. v. Howells (1981) ..... 275 (15)  
 Nash v. Inman (1908) ..... 239 (53)  
 National Bank of Nigeria Ltd. v. Awolesi (1964) ..... 561 (69)  
 Navigation Corporation Ltd. v. Mitsui O.S.K. Lines Ltd. (1986) ..... 354 (50)  
 Nettleship v. Weston (1971) .... 381 (16), 385 (34), 386 (39), 387 (42), 391 (51)  
 Newbury District Council v. Secretary of State for the Environment (1981) ..... 41 (52)  
 New Lambton Land and Coal Co. Ltd. v. London Bank of Australia Ltd. (1904) ..... 15 (28)  
 Ngurli Ltd. v. McCann (1953) 289 (26), 294 (41), 310 (65)  
 Nippon Yusen Kaisha v. Karageorgis (1975) .... 616 (10), 622 (31), 633 (63), 638 (81)  
 Norbis v. Norbis (1986) ..... 17 (36), 19 (45), 47 (70), 72 (12)

- North London Railway Co. v. Great Northern Railway Co. (1883) ..... 631 (54), 632 (57), 640 (92), 641 (94)
- Norwich Union Fire Insurance Society v. Wm H. Price, Ltd. (1934) ..... 139 (91)
- O**
- O'Brien v. Komesaroff (1982) ..... 8 (22)
- O'Brien Glass Industries Ltd. v. Cool & Sons Pty. Ltd. (1983) ..... 402 (11)
- O'Dea v. Allstates Leasing System (W.A.) Pty Ltd. (1983) ..... 174 (11), 175 (14), 180 (20), 181 (21), 185 (34), 194 (78), 196 (84), 197 (86), 208 (19), 209 (23)
- Olsson v. Dyson (1969) ..... 165 (15)
- O'Reilly v. State Bank of Victoria Commissioners (1983) ..... 38 (28)
- Orton v. Butler (1822) ..... 118 (13), 141 (98)
- Orwell Steel Ltd. v. Asphalt Ltd. (1984) ..... 633 (68)
- Oswald Tillotson Ltd. v. Inland Revenue Commissioners (1933) ..... 312 (70)
- Overseas Tankship (U.K.) Ltd. v. Morts Dock & Engineering Co. Ltd. (The "Wagon Mound") [No. 1] (1961) ..... 366 (72)
- Overstone Ltd. v. Shipway (1962) ..... 214 (42)
- P**
- P.C.W. (Underwriting Agencies) Ltd. v. Dixon (1983) ..... 642 (1)
- Padfield v. Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1968) ..... 42 (63), 60 (94)
- Palmer v. The Queen (1971) ..... 649 (13), 650 (15), 653 (22), 654 (23), 659 (37), 660 (42), 675 (69)
- Papatonakis v. Australian Telecommunications Commission (1985) ..... 483 (25), 484 (27), 485 (34), 487 (43), 489 (49), 499 (83), 500 (89)
- Parramatta City Council v. Pestell (1972) ..... 41 (47)
- Parsons v. Martin (1984) ..... 630 (53)
- Partington v. Booth (1817) ..... 620 (26)
- Payroll Tax Case (1971) ..... 91 (54), 104 (81), 108 (96)
- Peachy v. Duke of Somerset (1721) ..... 200 (99)
- People, The (Attorney-General) v. Dwyer (1972) ..... 676 (76)
- Perpetual Executors and Trustees Association of Australia Ltd. v. Russell (1931) ..... 261 (43)
- Perri v. Coolangatta Investments Pty. Ltd. (1982) ..... 164 (13)
- Peterson v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1960) ..... 419 (35)
- Philip Morris Inc. v. Adam P. Brown Male Fashions Pty. Ltd. (1981) ..... 619 (20)
- Phillips v. Ellinson Bros. Pty. Ltd. (1941) ..... 239 (62), 241 (70), 250 (6), 255 (17), 268 (72)
- Pickwell v. Camden London Borough Council (1983) ..... 41 (45)
- Piercy v. S. M. Mills and Co. (1920) ..... 293 (32)
- Pilgram v. Rice-Smith (1977) ..... 119 (23), 129 (56)
- Pincus v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1985) ..... 413 (18)
- Pivovarov v. Chernabaev (1978) ..... 617 (12), 619 (22)
- Plimmer v. Wellington Corporation (1884) ..... 165 (14)
- Polak v. Everett (1876) ..... 559 (63)
- Police, Commissioner of v. Tanos (1958) ..... 456 (20), 461 (29)
- Porter v. Latec Finance (Qld) Pty. Ltd. (1964) ..... 118 (17), 139 (90)
- Progressive Mailing House Pty. Ltd. v. Tabali Pty. Ltd. (1985) ..... 186 (45), 206 (16), 218 (53)
- Protector Loan Co. v. Grice (1880) ..... 209 (20)
- Public Prosecutions, Director of v. Walker (1974) ..... 657 (28)
- Public Transport Commission (N.S.W.) v. J. Murray-More (N.S.W.) Pty. Ltd. (1975) ..... 390 (43)
- (N.S.W.) v. Perry (1977) ..... 485 (33), 493 (67)
- Public Works Commissioner v. Hills (1906) ..... 192 (71)
- Pulbrook v. Lawes (1876) ..... 249 (96), 255 (19), 268 (64)
- R**
- Reg. v. Ashwell (1885) ..... 119 (26), 124 (34), 129 (60), 134 (66), 135 (71), 140 (94)
- v. Anderson; Ex p. Ipec-Air Pty. Ltd. (1965) ..... 41 (43)
- v. Australian Broadcasting Tribunal; Ex p. 2HD Pty. Ltd. (1979) ..... 40 (33)
- v. Bates (1982) ..... 228 (20)
- v. Bevan; Ex parte Elias and Gordon (1942) ..... 525 (35)

- v. Bishop of London (1889) ..... 40 (39)
- v. Cannon Row Police Station (Inspector) (1922) ..... 523 (32)
- v. Chief Registrar of Friendly Societies; Ex parte New Cross Building Society (1984) ..... 40 (38)
- v. Clift; Ex parte P. (1941) ..... 522 (30)
- v. Flowers (1886) ..... 126 (38)
- v. Forbes; Ex parte Bevan (1972) ..... 630 (52)
- v. Gilks (1972) ..... 119 (20), 126 (37), 127 (45), 138 (83)
- v. Goodrick (1922) ..... 126 (40), 137 (81), 140 (93)
- v. Governor of Brixton Prison; Ex parte Soblen (1963) ..... 521 (25), 547 (58)
- v. Gray; Ex parte Marsh (1985) ..... 620 (24)
- v. Hally (1962) ..... 117 (9)
- v. Hansford (1974) ..... 118 (19)
- v. Hegarty; Ex parte City of Salisbury (1981) ..... 543 (52)
- v. Hennelly (1888) ..... 134 (65)
- v. Hickman; Ex parte Fox and Clinton (1945) ..... 620 (23)
- v. Hillingdon London Borough Council; Ex parte Royco Homes Ltd (1974) ..... 41 (51)
- v. Howe (1958) ..... 661 (47), 675 (74)
- v. — (1958) (H.C.) ..... 659 (35), 661 (48), 663 (50), 675 (68), 684 (83), 686 (94)
- v. Hudson (1943) ..... 125 (35)
- v. King (1860) ..... 521 (22)
- v. Lawson and Forsythe (1986) ..... 653 (21), 658 (29), 667 (57), 668 (59), 682 (81)
- v. Lilydale Magistrates' Court (1973) ..... 59 (83)
- v. Ludeke; Ex parte Customs Officers' Association of Australia (1985) ..... 456 (21)
- v. McInnes (1971) ..... 654 (24), 664 (52)
- v. McKay (1957) ..... 661 (46)
- v. McManus (1985) ..... 653 (20), 672 (64)
- v. Middleton (1873) ..... 124 (33), 129 (59), 136 (75), 137 (79)
- v. Milk Board; Ex p. Tomkins (1944) ..... 59 (82)
- v. Muratovic (1967) ..... 665 (54)
- v. Overseers of the Parish of Tonbridge (1884) ..... 546 (54)
- v. Peterson; Ex parte Hartmann (1969) ..... 518 (15), 525 (37), 530 (42), 536 (47), 546 (53)
- v. Potisk (1973) ..... 119 (28), 126 (42), 127 (46), 137 (80), 140 (96), 143 (1)
- v. Prince (1868) ..... 127 (43), 136 (73)
- v. Quinn; Ex parte Consolidated Foods Corporation (1977) ..... 543 (51)
- v. Registrar of Building Societies; Ex parte A Building Society (1960) ..... 59 (81)
- v. Reynhoudt (1962) ..... 594 (94)
- v. Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council; Ex parte Cromer Ring Mill Ltd. (1982) ..... 40 (40)
- v. Ross-Jones; Ex parte Green (1984) ..... 616 (9), 618 (19), 621 (30), 627 (44)
- v. Secretary of State for Home Affairs; Ex parte O'Brien (1923) ..... 521 (20), 523 (33)
- v. Shivpuri (1987) ..... 677 (78)
- v. Suddis (1801) ..... 525 (34)
- v. Thames Magistrate; Ex parte Brindle (1975) ..... 519 (18), 527 (40)
- v. Tideswell (1905) ..... 119 (21), 129 (55)
- v. Toohey; Ex parte Attorney-General (N.T.) (1980) ..... 165 (17)
- v. —; Ex parte Mene-ling Station Pty. Ltd. (1982) ..... 32 (25), 43 (65), 57 (75), 63 (95), 67 (4), 68 (5)
- v. Ward (1938) ..... 119 (25), 124 (29), 135 (68)
- v. Wauchope (1957) ..... 126 (41)
- v. Winneke; Ex parte Gallagher (1982) ..... 588 (63)
- v. Worthing Justices (1976) ..... 459 (26), 460 (28)
- v. Zecevic (1986) ..... 654 (25)
- Rahman (Prince Abdul) v. Abu-Taha (1980) ..... 617 (15), 623 (39), 633 (66), 637 (78)
- Railways, Commissioner for (N.S.W.) v. Anderson (1961) ..... 382 (23), 484 (29), 485 (36), 498 (78), 499 (81)
- (N.S.W.) v. Cardy (1960) ..... 485 (35), 486 (41), 491 (55), 492 (64), 495 (70), 496 (75), 497 (76)
- v. McDermott (1967) ..... 492 (63), 493 (69)
- v. Quinlan (1964) ..... 491 (53)
- Ranieri v. Ranieri (1973) ..... 385 (33)

- Rasu Maritima S.A. v. Perusahaan Pertambangan Minyak Dan Gas Bumi Negara (The "Pertamina") (1978) ..... 638 (83)
- Rea v. Minister of Transport (1982) ..... 64 (99)
- Reardon Smith Line Ltd. v. Hansen-Tangen (1976) ..... 561 (70),  
562 (72)
- Rees v. Berrington (1795) ..... 560 (65)
- Reilly v. The King (1934) ..... 437 (31)
- Renton v. Renton (1918) ..... 592 (77),  
600 (11), 605 (23)
- Reynolds v. Bridge (1856) ..... 189 (60)
- Rhode Island Hospital Trust National Bank v. Swartz, Bresenoff, Yavner & Jacobs (1972) ..... 357 (69)
- Rhodes, In re (1890) ..... 255 (18)
- Rich v. Commissioner for Railways (N.S.W.) (1959) ..... 496 (73),  
499 (87)
- Richard Brady Franks Ltd. v. Price (1937) ..... 294 (40)
- Ridge v. Baldwin (1964) ..... 64 (98)
- Riley McKay Pty. Ltd. v. McKay (1982) ..... 617 (17),  
637 (80)
- Robinson v. Minister of Town and Country Planning (1947) ..... 64 (1)
- Robinson (T. A.) & Sons Pty. Ltd. v. Haylor (1957) ..... 337 (95)
- Robophone Facilities Ltd. v. Blank (1966) ..... 190 (66),  
192 (73), 212 (37), 215 (46)
- Roe v. Conn (1976) ..... 463 (34)
- Rogers v. Arnott (1960) ..... 116 (5),  
118 (18)
- Roggenkamp v. Bennett (1950) ..... 385 (31)
- Rolfe v. Sharp (1627) ..... 231 (27)
- Rootes v. Shelton (1967) ..... 392 (55)
- Rose v. Aftenberger (1969) ..... 558 (56)
- v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1951) ..... 414 (20),  
419 (36)
- Rowe v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1982) ..... 414 (19),  
415 (22), 425 (51)
- Rubie v. Rubie (1911) ..... 620 (28),  
627 (43)
- Rusch Factors, Inc. v. Levin (1968) ..... 357 (68)
- Russell v. East Anglian Railway Co. (1850) ..... 620 (25)
- v. Smith (1958) ..... 119 (22),  
125 (36)
- Ryder v. Foley (1906) ..... 437 (30)
- S
- Salvation Army (Victoria) Property Trust v. Fern Tree Gully Corporation (1952) ..... 594 (93)
- Sanders v. Sanders (1881) ..... 14 (26)
- Sanderson v. Graves (1875) ..... 239 (61),  
240 (66), 247 (87), 268 (71)
- San Sebastian Pty. Ltd. v. Minister for Environmental Planning (1986) ..... 390 (47), 488 (45)
- Savage v. Canning (1867) ..... 267 (56)
- Scarlsbrick v. Parkinson (1869) ..... 232 (32),  
236 (41), 268 (61)
- Schroeder Holdings Pty. Ltd. v. Grimes (1986) ..... 283 (33)
- Schwarstein v. Watson (1985) ..... 225 (14),  
228 (21), 242 (75), 246 (80),  
258 (36)
- Scott v. Pattison (1923) ..... 233 (35),  
234 (37), 235 (38), 237 (47),  
249 (97), 256 (25), 261 (44),  
268 (59), 269 (77)
- Sean Investments Pty. Ltd. v. MacKellar (1981) ..... 39 (29),  
55 (71), 275 (16)
- Searose Ltd. v. Seatrains U.K. Ltd. (1981) ..... 642 (4)
- Sent v. Jet Corporation of Australia Pty. Ltd. (1986) ..... 630 (50)
- Shaddock (L.) & Associates Pty. Ltd. v. Parramatta City Council [No. 1] (1981) ..... 355 (53),  
369 (86), 371 (92), 356 (63),  
372 (96)
- Shareef v. Commissioner for Registration of Indian and Pakistani Residents (1966) ..... 59 (93)
- Shaw v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1920) ..... 423 (48)
- Shaw (John) & Sons (Salford) Ltd. v. Shaw (1935) ..... 313 (75)
- Sherry, In re; London & County Banking Co. v. Terry (1884) ..... 561 (68)
- Shevill v. Builders Licensing Board (1980) ..... 206 (15)
- v. — (1982) ..... 175 (19),  
186 (46), 194 (80), 206 (14),  
217 (50), 218 (52)
- Shiloh Spinners Ltd. v. Harding (1973) ..... 199 (98)
- Sinclair v. Brougham (1914) ..... 118 (15),  
129 (54), 138 (85), 255 (16)
- Sirdar Gurdyal Singh v. Rajah of Faridkote (1894) ..... 600 (12)
- Siskina (Cargo Owners) v. Distos S.A. (1979) ..... 591 (76),  
604 (20), 621 (29), 631 (55),  
632 (58), 637 (76), 640 (93),  
641 (95)
- Slade's Case (1602) ..... 248 (92), 265 (50)
- Slattery v. The King (1905) ..... 119 (24),  
124 (30), 134 (67), 135 (69)
- Sleigh (H. C.) Ltd. v. South Australia (1977) ..... 86 (30)

Sloman v. Walter (1783) .....	187 (53)		
Small v. Smith (1884) .....	281 (31)		
Smith and Fawcett Ltd., In re (1942) .....	304 (59)		
Smith (Howard) Ltd. v. Ampol Petroleum Ltd. (1974) .....	289 (24), 293 (38), 300 (50), 301 (52), 304 (57), 309 (62)		
Sotiros Shipping Inc. and Aeco Maritime S.A. v. Sameiet Solholt (1983) .....	219 (56)		
Souch v. Strawbridge (1846) .....	240 (64), 247 (86), 253 (13), 254 (15), 269 (75)		
Southern Portland Cement Ltd. v. Cooper (1973) .....	486 (42), 492 (60)		
South Oxfordshire District Council v. Secretary of State for the Environment (1981)	42 (55)		
Spanish Prospecting Co. Ltd., In re (1911) .....	423 (47)		
Spence, In re (1847) .....	463 (32)		
Spitzel v. Chinese Corporation (1899) .....	312 (69)		
Stack v. Coast Securities (No. 9) Pty. Ltd. (1983) .....	630 (49)		
Steel Rails Case (1908) .....	89 (45), 90 (48), 97 (65), 100 (73), 104 (80), 106 (87)		
Sterling Industries Ltd. v. NIM Services Pty. Ltd. (1986) .....	627 (45)		
Stevens v. Brodribb Sawmilling Co. Pty. Ltd. (1986) .....	355 (57), 382 (20)		
Stewart Chartering Ltd. v. C. & O. Managements S.A. (1980) .....	623 (38), 633 (67), 637 (75)		
Stewart Dawson Holdings Pty. Ltd. v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) .....	421 (39)		
Storie v. Storie (1945) .....	73 (17)		
Studdy v. Sanders (1826) .....	243 (77)		
Sullivan v. Willson (1864) .....	570 (82)		
Superannuation Fund Invest- ment Trust v. Commissioner of Stamps (S.A.) (1979) .....	91 (57), 105 (86)		
— v. — (1980) .....	88 (38), 91 (59), 105 (84)		
Sutherland Shire Council v. Heyman (1985) .....	355 (56), 366 (71), 367 (76), 368 (78), 369 (82), 382 (19)		
Suttor v. Gundowda Pty. Ltd. (1950) .....	8 (20), 16 (34)		
Swan Hill Corporation v. Bradbury (1937) .....	40 (34)		
Sydney Municipal Council v. The Commonwealth (1904)	88 (39), 104 (79)		
			<b>T</b>
		T. A. Robinson & Sons Pty. Ltd. v. Haylor (1957) .....	337 (95)
		T.D.K. Tape Distributor v. Videochoice Ltd. (1986) .....	622 (34)
		Tall v. Ryland (1670) .....	187 (51)
		Tallerman & Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Nathan's Merchandise (Vic- toria) Pty. Ltd. (1957) .....	583 (43), 586 (61)
		Tamas v. Streimer (1981) .....	15 (32)
		Tasmanian Dam Case (1983)	279 (20)
		Taxation, Federal Commis- sioner of v. Everett (1978)	417 (29), 418 (34), 420 (37), 421 (40)
		— v. — (1980) .....	413 (17), 415 (25), 417 (28), 421 (42), 422 (44)
		— v. Galland (1984) .....	413 (16), 417 (27)
		— v. Gulland (1985) .....	413 (18)
		— v. Happ (1952) .....	415 (24), 424 (49)
		— v. Melrose (1923) .....	415 (23)
		Teck Corporation Ltd. v. Millar (1972) .....	304 (56)
		Thomas v. Brown (1876) .....	257 (28)
		Thomas (K. W.) (Melbourne) Pty. Ltd. v. Groves (1958)	593 (88)
		Thompson v. Bankstown Cor- poration (1953) .....	491 (58)
		— v. Goold & Co. (1910)	433 (21)
		Thomson Australian Holdings Pty. Ltd. v. Trade Practices Commission (1981) .....	629 (47), 631 (56), 634 (74), 641 (96)
		Tillotson (Oswald) Ltd. v. Inland Revenue Commis- sioners (1933) .....	312 (70)
		Tinkham v. Perry (1951) .....	433 (20)
		Tool Metal Manufacturing Co. Ltd. v. Tungsten Electric Co. Ltd. (1955) .....	198 (91)
		Tramways Advertising Pty. Ltd. v. Luna Park (N.S.W.) Ltd. (1938) .....	556 (44)
		Trimtor Building Consultants Pty. Ltd. v. Hilton (1983) .....	246 (83)
		Tringali v. Stewardson Stubbs & Collett Ltd. (1966) .....	639 (90)
		Triple "C" Holdings Pty. Ltd. v. Hogan (1983) .....	246 (84)
		Turner v. Bladin (1951) .....	226 (15), 227 (17), 233 (36), 253 (14), 257 (29), 258 (30), 259 (39), 268 (67)
		Twist v. Randwick Municipal Council (1976) .....	456 (19)

## U

Ultramares Corporation v. Touche (1931) .....	367 (74)
United Dominions Trust (Commercial) Ltd. v. Eagle Aircraft Services Ltd. (1968) .....	556 (50), 557 (54), 570 (78)
— v. Ennis (1968) .....	185 (36), 211 (30)
United States v. Green (1824) .....	463 (31)

## V

Van Den Hoek v. The Queen (1986) .....	685 (87)
Vaughan v. Menlove (1837) .....	391 (49)
Victoria v. Hansen (1960) .....	586 (54)
— v. The Commonwealth (Pay-roll Tax Case) (1971) .....	91 (54), 104 (81), 108 (96)
— v. The Commonwealth ("The Kakariki") (1937) .....	588 (64), 602 (15)
Victorian Broadcasting Network Ltd. v. Whitlam (1980) .....	586 (60)
Victorian Stevedoring and General Contracting Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Dignan (1931) .....	7 (19), 15 (29)
Viro v. The Queen (1978) .....	390 (44), 649 (12), 651 (17), 652 (18), 656 (26), 660 (38), 661 (45), 662 (49), 663 (51), 666 (55), 667 (56), 669 (60), 671 (62), 675 (70), 676 (75), 677 (77), 678 (80), 683 (82), 684 (84), 685 (89)
Viskauskas v. Niland (1983) .....	329 (85), 588 (70)
Vogel v. Kohnstamm Ltd. (1973) .....	599 (8)
Voli v. Inglewood Shire Council (1963) .....	382 (24), 484 (32), 497 (77), 499 (85)

## W

W. & J. Investments Ltd. v. Bunting (1984) .....	192 (76), 212 (39)
W. A. Dewhurst & Co. Pty. Ltd. v. Cawrse (1960) .....	586 (53)
"Wagon Mound", The [No. 1] (1961) .....	366 (72)
Walker, Ex parte; Re Caldwell's Wines Ltd. (1931) .....	584 (44), 585 (50), 586 (58)
Wall v. Rederiaktiebolaget Luggude (1915) .....	192 (67), 202 (2), 212 (33)

Wallingford v. Mutual Society (1880) .....	209 (21)
Wallis v. Smith (1882) .....	189 (58)
Walsh, Ex parte (1942) .....	522 (28)
Ward v. Griffiths Bros. Ltd. (1928) .....	233 (33), 268 (62)
— v. Kirkland (1967) .....	165 (16)
Warren v. Coombes (1979) .....	16 (33)
Water Conservation and Irrigation Commission (N.S.W.) v. Browning (1947) .....	40 (35), 56 (73)
Waterhouse v. Reid (1938) .....	590 (75), 604 (19)
Watson v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1985) .....	413 (18)
Watts, Watts and Co. Ltd. v. Mitsui and Co. Ltd. (1917) .....	192 (68)
Way v. Latilla (1937) .....	236 (42)
Web v. Moore (1691) .....	232 (31)
Welch v. Welch (1973) .....	314 (77)
Wenham v. Ella (1972) .....	206 (18)
Western Australia v. Chamberlain Industries Pty. Ltd. (1970) .....	104 (74)
Westralian Farmers Ltd. v. Commonwealth Agricultural Service Engineers Ltd. (1936) .....	216 (47), 217 (49)
Wilbeam v. Ashton (1807) .....	192 (69), 203 (8)
William Lacey (Hounslow) Ltd. v. Davis (1957) .....	239 (54)
Williams v. Dunn's Assignee (1908) .....	594 (91)
— v. Hursey (1959) .....	334 (89)
Williamson v. The Commonwealth (1907) .....	440 (34)
Wollongong University v. Metwally (1984) .....	329 (86), 605 (21), 608 (26)
— v. — [No. 2] (1985) .....	8 (25), 17 (37)
Wookey v. Pole (1820) .....	138 (86)
Woolmington v. Director of Public Prosecutions (1935) .....	657 (27), 685 (88), 686 (95)
Wright v. Cantrell (1943) .....	519 (16)

## Y

Yeoman Credit Ltd. v. Wraggowski (1961) .....	214 (41)
Young v. Adams (1898) .....	442 (38)
— v. Queensland Trustees Ltd. (1956) .....	231 (25), 243 (78), 265 (49)
— v. Waller (1898) .....	442 (43), 444 (45)

## Z

Z. Ltd. v. A-Z and AA-LL (1982) .....	639 (88)
---------------------------------------	----------