### 1994-1995

# THE COMMONWEALTH LAW REPORTS

CASES DETERMINED IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

J D MERRALLS, QC

VOLUME 183

LBC INFORMATION SERVICES
1996

#### REPORTERS

K H BELL
G S CLARKE
J D ELLIOTT
S G E MCLEISH
D S MORTIMER
R A SUNDBERG QC

Barristers-at-Law

## PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR CAROLYN MAY

Published in Sydney by LBC Information Services 50 Waterloo Road, North Ryde, NSW 560 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne, Victoria 40 Queen Street, Brisbane, Queensland 77 St George's Terrace, Perth, WA

ISSN 0069-7133

© 1996 The Law Book Company Limited t/as LBC Information Services

Typeset by LBC Information Services, North Ryde, NSW Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW

#### JUSTICES OF THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

#### DURING THE CURRENCY OF THIS VOLUME

THE HONOURABLE SIR ANTHONY FRANK MASON, AC, KBE CHIEF JUSTICE (retired 20 April 1995)

THE HONOURABLE SIR FRANCIS GERARD BRENNAN, AC, KBE CHIEF JUSTICE (appointed 21 April 1995)

THE HONOURABLE SIR WILLIAM PATRICK DEANE, AC, KBE

THE HONOURABLE SIR DARYL MICHAEL DAWSON, AC, KBE, CB

THE HONOURABLE JOHN LESLIE TOOHEY, AC

THE HONOURABLE MARY GENEVIEVE GAUDRON

THE HONOURABLE MICHAEL HUDSON MCHUGH, AC

THE HONOURABLE WILLIAM MONTAGUE CHARLES GUMMOW (appointed 21 April 1995)

#### ATTORNEY-GENERAL

THE HONOURABLE MICHAEL HUGH LAVARCH, MP

#### **MEMORANDA**

#### 1995

- April 20
- Retirement of THE HONOURABLE SIR ANTHONY FRANK MASON, AC, KBE, from the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia.
- April 21
- Appointment of THE HONOURABLE SIR FRANCIS GERARD BRENNAN, AC, KBE, to the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia.
- Appointment of THE HONOURABLE WILLIAM MONTAGUE CHARLES GUMMOW, a Judge of the Federal Court of Australia, to the office of a Justice of the High Court of Australia.

## RETIREMENT OF CHIEF JUSTICE SIR ANTHONY MASON

On Thursday, 20 April 1995, to mark the retirement of the Honourable SIR ANTHONY MASON AC, KBE, from the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia, farewell addresses were delivered before the High Court at Canberra by the Honourable M H LAVARCH MP, Attorney-General for the Commonwealth, Mr N S FOWLER, President of the Law Council of Australia, Mrs S M CRENNAN QC, President of the Australian Bar Association, and Mr M H TOBIAS QC, President of the New South Wales Bar Association.

In his reply, Sir Anthony Mason said: —

Mr Attorney, Mr Fowler, Mrs Crennan and Mr Tobias, I thank you all for your more than generous remarks. Fortunately, there is no contradictor and, on this occasion, no one will convict me of neglect if I fail to subject what you have said to critical judicial scrutiny.

In addition to my former colleagues, Sir Harry Gibbs and Sir Ronald Wilson, I am pleased that we have with us today the Australian Chief Justices and the Chief Justice of New Zealand, Sir Thomas Eichelbaum. Sir Thomas has been attending a meeting of the Council of Australian Chief Justices here in Canberra. Although he attends our meetings in the capacity of an observer, that description does not do justice to his contribution to our discussions. The Council provides a valuable forum for the exchange between Chief Justices of information and ideas and enables each of us to reach better informed conclusions on a broad range of issues. Sir Thomas' contribution to the Council and his presence today signify the close ties which exist between the judiciary in Australia and New Zealand.

As you have heard, I have been a member of this Court for almost twenty-three years and that amounts to half my working life. It has been a great experience. Indeed I cannot imagine anything else that would have given me as much satisfaction. From my very early days, it was my ambition to become a barrister and at no stage did it ever occur to me that I might take up any other career. Curiously enough, one of the attractions of the law, as I saw it in my younger days, was that it offered all the certainty of mathematics as a discipline, a view from which I was later forced to retreat when, as a law student, I began to study Constitutional Law and I became acquainted with the old learning on s 92 of the Constitution.

Later, as a barrister and then as Solicitor-General, I appeared not infrequently before this Court. As a judge of the New South Wales Court of Appeal, I had the purifying experience of being reversed on appeal by the High Court on a number of occasions. So, even in the two decades before 1972, the decisions of this Court had become the focal point of my working life.

Like other members of the Court, past and present, I have been very conscious of the responsibilities entrusted to the Court by the Constitution. Those responsibilities are perhaps more widely appreciated now than they were even a decade ago. If so, that is a very good thing because we need to enhance better understanding of our Constitution and how it works. But I doubt that the

role of the Court is more widely publicised or better understood now than it was in the first quarter of this century, when the Court's decisions, particularly in the early years after Federation, dealt for the first time with many of the critical questions arising under the Constitution. Those decisions had a significant impact on the structure of government in this country and reflected the new-found sense of national identity.

Today, one difference is that the courts, especially the High Court, are required to decide a wider variety of legal issues of public importance. Decisions on these issues naturally and inevitably excite public discussion. The very existence of that discussion emphasises the importance to the community of the judicial function and the vital part which it plays in the life of the community.

Of course, it is neither practical nor possible for the judges to become continuing participants in the public discussion of the merits of particular decisions. The judges' reasons for their decisions are expressed comprehensively in their judgments. So it is on the quality and cogency of those reasons that the acceptance of the decisions and, ultimately, public confidence in the judiciary depends.

In the case of questions which are difficult and controversial, it is not possible to expect that the answers given will attract universal acceptance. But the community is entitled to expect judgments will be principled, reasoned and objective — characteristics that distinguish the judicial function from the political process — and that the courts will strive to conform to that standard.

And the community has the protection and the satisfaction of knowing that judicial decisions are given by independent judges, for our Constitution incorporates that fundamental principle of democratic Constitution, the separation of powers. Under the Constitution the federal judicial function is exercised by independent judges, who, though not elected, are appointed indirectly by the elected representatives of the people. The hallmark of the judicial function is that the judge is independent and objective; the judge does not act as the representative of any section or group in the community. Hence, judicial independence is an essential element of modern democracy in which the citizen's rights and interests, enforceable against government, are of vital importance.

Judges look to precedents and I am no exception. So I was interested to read recently that an English judge, faced with compulsory retirement at the age of seventy-six, was reported to have said, "Of course I am regretful. I have thoroughly enjoyed it. They are a jolly nice bunch of chaps and the work is interesting". Taken as a whole, this is not a precedent that I can follow. The work has been interesting but I am not "regretful" and, although my colleagues are "jolly nice", the presence of Justice Gaudron precludes me from describing them as "a jolly nice bunch of chaps".

My working relationships with my colleagues, particularly those with whom I am sitting today for the last time, have been both harmonious and cooperative. Indeed, what the Court has achieved during my period as Chief Justice is very largely due to their ability and their co-operation. And that brings me back to what I said when I took office as Chief Justice over eight years ago, that is, each Justice of the Court is only a contributor to the decision-making processes of the Court as an institution, for it is the Court that decides the cases and declares the law. The Justices therefore have a collective

responsibility, but that collective responsibility cannot be carried to the point where individual integrity is compromised.

I am told that there is life after judicial retirement, so I look forward to what the future holds. I shall continue to follow the Court's work with interest, reading the judgments with what I trust will be an understanding rather than a critical eye, recognising that, in the determination of difficult and complex questions, there is real scope for genuine differences of opinion.

I thank the representatives of the legal profession for its contribution to the work of the Court. I repeat what others have often said — under the adversary system of justice the quality of the Court's work depends very much upon the quality of the arguments presented to it. I reciprocate the Attorney-General's comments about the relationship which we have enjoyed over the last two years and I much appreciate what he has had to say today about that relationship. I am delighted to see many of my former associates here today and I thank them for making the effort to attend, despite the inconvenience and expense that that must entail.

Last, and certainly not least, I thank my wife for her ceaseless and unfailing support and for her invaluable advice that I should confine my reading to reports and articles that are complimentary to me. As she says, it reduces significantly the volume of material that needs to be read.

It remains for me to offer my warmest congratulations to Sir Gerard Brennan and Justice Gummow, and to wish the Court well for the future.

#### SWEARING IN OF SIR GERARD BRENNAN AS CHIEF JUSTICE

On Friday, 21 April 1995, in the High Court at Canberra, the Honourable SIR FRANCIS GERARD BRENNAN AC, KBE, took the oaths of office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia. Addresses of congratulation were delivered by the Honourable M H LAVARCH MP, Attorney-General for the Commonwealth, Mr N S FOWLER, President of the Law Council of Australia, Mrs S M CRENNAN QC, President of the Australian Bar Association, and Mr WALTER SOFRONOFF QC, President of the Bar Association of Queensland.

In his reply, SIR GERARD BRENNAN said: —

Mr Attorney, Mr Fowler, Mrs Crennan, Mr Sofronoff, members of the Bar, Solicitors and Attorneys, my dear wife and family and friends, ladies and gentlemen.

I am grateful for the excess of generosity in the remarks you have made this morning. It comforts me to think that I must have learnt to conceal my shortcomings in the fifty years since I first entered my father's court to arraign a prisoner at the commencement of a criminal trial. Picking up the indictment and misreading the name of the prosecutor for the name of the accused, I charged one of the dearest and most upright of men with the crime of rape. Such is the camaraderie of the Bar that counsel for the accused leapt to his feet and pleaded not guilty on behalf of his learned friend.

Although your compliments sit uneasily with the truth as I know it, your words and the presence of this large gathering reveal the respect in which you, the legal profession generally and the public hold this Court and the office which I now assume. At a personal level, your good wishes, coupled with the loving support of my family, the valued encouragement of my judicial colleagues and the loyal devotion of my staff go far to dispel the inevitable diffidence with which I enter on the duties of Chief Justice.

My first duty is to welcome those who have honoured the Court by their attendance here this morning, especially those who have come from long distances. The Court appreciates your participation in today's ceremonies. Particularly do we welcome the Right Honourable Sir Harry Gibbs and the Honourable Sir Ronald Wilson, the Chief Justices of the Federal Court of Australia and the Family Court of Australia, the Chief Justices of the Supreme Courts of the several States and Territories and the Right Honourable Sir Thomas Eichelbaum, Chief Justice of New Zealand, who sit with us today. Strictly speaking, I should welcome also Sir Anthony Mason, but insufficient time has passed to separate him from the Court which he so lately led and which he leaves with our unfeigned respect and affection. We welcome His Excellency Mr Martin Burke, Dean of the Diplomatic Corps, Judges of the Federal Court and of the Supreme Courts of the States and the Australian Capital Territory, you Mr Attorney, the Attorneys-General of New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory and the Solicitors-General of the several States and of the Northern Territory.

Today's ceremonies are not empty rituals. This Court's practice is to

administer the Oath of Allegiance and Office in public. That is not a matter of formal procedure. It is a public witnessing of the making of two solemn promises for the performance of which the oath taker will be responsible not only to this Court and this country but also to his Creator. Statute requires that the oath or a like affirmation be taken before a Chief Justice or Justice enters upon the duties of his or her office.

The first promise is a commitment of loyalty to Her Majesty the Queen her heirs and successors according to law. It is a commitment to the head of State under the Constitution. It is from the Constitution that the Oath of Allegiance, which has its origins in feudal England, takes its significance in the present day. As the Constitution can now be abrogated or amended only by the Australian people in whom, therefore, the ultimate sovereignty of the nation resides, the Oath of Allegiance and the undertaking to serve the head of State as Chief Justice are a promise of fidelity and service to the Australian people. The duties which the oath imposes sit lightly on a citizen of the nation which the Constitution summoned into being and which it sustains. Allegiance to a young, free and confident nation, governed by the rule of law, is not a burden but a privilege.

The second promise is to "do right to all manner of people according to law without fear or favour, affection or ill-will". The form can be traced back to a statute of Edward III, but its substance is of enduring relevance. In substantially that form the oath or affirmation is taken by every judge. It is rich in meaning. It precludes partisanship for a cause, however worthy to the eyes of a protagonist that cause may be. It forbids any judge to regard himself or herself as a representative of a section of society. It forbids partiality and, most importantly, it commands independence from any influence that might improperly tilt the scales of justice. When the case is heard, the judge must decide it in the lonely room of his or her own conscience but in accordance with law. That is the way in which right is done without fear or favour, affection or ill-will. Judges sometimes appear to be remote, belonging to what have been described as "the chill and distant heights". In the doing of justice that must be so. Justice is not done in public rallies. Nor can it be done by opinion polls or in the comment or correspondence columns of the journals.

The oath requires justice to be done according to law. The content of the duty thus accepted depends upon the jurisdiction to be exercised. In the trial courts of this country the rules of law prevail. And so they must, for it would do no justice to give judgment according to an abstract notion of what is right in the knowledge that the judgment would be overruled on appeal. In appellate courts, the law may authorise a tension between abstract justice and a rule of law to be resolved by an alteration of the rule. In either case, the jurisdiction of the court is fixed by laws and judgment must be rendered in accordance with the judicial method. The security which each of us has is the law. Sir Thomas More of the *Man for All Seasons* is surely right to put to Roper: "This country's planted thick with laws from coast to coast ... and if you cut them down ... d'you really think you could stand upright in the winds that would blow then?"

Insistence on the rule of law has a corollary which is implicit in the terms of the judicial oath. If right is to be done according to law, right will be done only if the law be just. Such tension as there is between justice and the rules of law surfaces most acutely in litigation before the High Court, partly because of history, partly because of procedure. With the abolition of the last appeals from Australian courts to the Privy Council, this Court was charged with the ultimate responsibility of declaring the law for this country. This did not mean that we were free to cast aside the priceless heritage of the common law of England, but it did mean that this Court had to examine critically those rules of the common law including the rules of statutory interpretation in the light of our own history, culture and social conditions. Long-standing rules of tort and contract, of land law, equity and administrative law, have been revisited in recent years. The same factors and the ever-changing problems of government have evoked renewed examination of the spare text of our Constitution.

Then, with the increasing volume of appeals to this Court, it became necessary to introduce the procedural filter of a grant of special leave. The result is that a considerable proportion of the cases to be decided by this Court involve rules of law that have already proved to be questionable, or at least productive of uncertainty, in the courts below. In cases in both its original and appellate jurisdictions, the Court has had to grapple with issues on which two or more views can reasonably be held. Decisions have not always been reached by more than a narrow majority, but that is not to be wondered at. Where the review of existing rules is in question, the judicial oath to do right according to law sometimes places emphasis on abstract justice, sometimes on the existing rule. And when constitutional doctrine is to be re-examined, the frustration of powerful interests frequently follows. It is inevitable in these circumstances that the decisions of this Court would be seen by some to have a legislative flavour.

But this Court is not a parliament of policy; it is a court of law. Judicial method is not concerned with the ephemeral opinions of the community. The law is most needed when it stands against popular attitudes, sometimes engendered by those with power, and when it protects the unpopular against the clamour of the multitude. But judicial method is concerned with the equal dignity of every person, his or her capacity to participate in the life of the community, to contribute to society and to share in its benefits; it is concerned with the powers entrusted to governments and the manner in which those powers are exercised. Judicial method starts with an understanding of the existing rules; it seeks to perceive the principle that underlies them and, at a deeper level, the values that underlie the principle. At the appellate level, analogy and experience, as well as logic, have a part to play. Judgments must be principled, reasoned and objective, as Sir Anthony Mason said yesterday. And, most significantly, each step in the reasoning must be exposed for public examination and criticism.

Herein lies a difficulty. The work of this Court is rightly a subject of considerable public interest. Though the arguments heard here are often at a high level of abstraction, the emerging principles have a concrete effect on the liberties, relationships and property of individual persons, both natural and artificial. Therefore the work of this Court should be subject to informed public scrutiny. But how is it possible for the public to be informed? It is unrealistic to expect the arid fields of law to be tilled in the popular press, much less in the brief and adversarial encounters of the television screen. Of course, there are some few highly competent legal journalists, but an adequate analysis of legal principle and its significance may be precluded by limited space or may give way to a story of more gripping, if ephemeral, interest. The problem of fostering informed public appreciation of the laws by which we are governed

and protected is, I venture to suggest, a problem far from satisfactory solution. It will not be resolved by superficial comment or by an expression of pleasure or disappointment in advancing policy or interest.

Nevertheless, the public interest in the judgments of this and other courts is a clear and gratifying indication that, in this country, we are governed by the rule of law. The courts have earned and maintained public confidence by their unfailing response to every reasonable application, by their impartiality and the fearless administration of the law. Today's focus is on the work of the High Court, but it must be remembered that the face of justice is more often the face of the magistrate and the judge at trial.

To accept the office of Chief Justice in the judicial branch of government is a signal honour. To share in the rigorous debates in this Court with colleagues who bear mutual respect for the intellectual integrity and fierce independence of one another is a continuing satisfaction. I assume this office with gratitude for their friendship and support. I thank you for your attendance here today.

# The mode of citation of this volume of the Commonwealth Law Reports will be as follows: 183 CLR

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED IN THIS VOLUME

Brandy v Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission	245 501
Carter v Northmore Hale Davy & Leake Commonwealth; Western Australia v Correia; K B Hutcherson Pty Ltd v	373
Dingjan, Re; Ex parte Wagner	323 633
Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman	10 563
Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission; Brandy v	245
Immigration and Ethnic Affairs, Minister for v Teoh  Industrial Affairs (SA), Minister for; Shop Distributive and Allied  Employees Association v	
K B Hutcherson Pty Ltd v Correia	563
McAuliffe v The Queen	82 58
N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella International Pty Ltd Northmore Hale Davy & Leake; Carter v	655 121
Parramore v Duggan	633 10
R v Byrnes R; McAuliffe v R; McGhee v R; Masciantonio v R; Savvas v Richard Walter Pty Ltd; Federal Commissioner of Taxation v	108 82 58 1
Sanko Steamship Co Ltd v Sumitomo Australia Ltd	628 1
Industrial Affairs (SA)	552

Sumitomo Australia Ltd; Sanko Steamship Co Ltd v	628
Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v Richard Walter Pty Ltd	595
Teoh; Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v	273
Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd	595
Wagner, Ex parte; Re Dingjan	323
Western Australia v Commonwealth	373
Witham v Holloway	525

# TABLE OF CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN CASES REPORTED IN THIS VOLUME

Actors and Announcers Equity Association of Australia v Fontana Films Pty Ltd (1982) 150 CLR 169	
Considered Re Dingjan; Ex parte Wagner	
Applied. Krakowski v Eurolynx Properties Ltd	563
Australasian Meat Industry Employees' Union v Mudginberri Station Pty Ltd (1986) 161 CLR 98 at 56-57	
Referred to. Witham v Holloway	525
Australian Coastal Shipping Commission v O'Reilly (1962) 107 CLR 46	
Considered. Western Australia v Commonwealth	373
Australian Conservation Foundation v Commonwealth (1980) 146 CLR 493	
Applied. Shop Distributive and Allied Employees Association v	
Minister for Industrial Affairs (SA)	552
Australian Consolidated Press Ltd v Morgan (1965) 112 CLR 483	
Referred to. Witham v Holloway	525
BA's Application, Re (1915) 32 RPC 348	
Discussed. N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella	
International Pty Ltd	655
Bennett v General Motors Holdens Ltd (1940) 40 SR (NSW) 117	000
Distinguished. K B Hutcherson Ptv Ltd v Correia	50
Brambles Holdings Ltd v Carey (1976) 15 SASR 270 at 279	
Referred to. Krakowski v Eurolynx Properties Ltd	563
Bramblevale Ltd, In re [1970] Ch 128	
Approved. Witham v Holloway	525
Chan Wing-Siu v The Queen [1985] AC 168 at 175, 178	
Considered. McAuliffe v The Queen	100
Chew v The Queen (1992) 173 CLR 626 at 634	100
Explained. R v Byrnes	501
Clifford v Middleton [1974] VR 737	501
Approved. Witham v Holloway	525
Collins v Charles Marshall Pty Ltd (1955) 92 CLR 529	323
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	272
Commonwealth v Tasmania (the Tasmanian Dam Case) (1983) 158 CLR 1 at	313
158, 244-245	
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	373
Considered. Re Dingjan; Ex parte Wagner	323
constant at Dinguit, Dr parte Wagner	525
David Jones Finance & Investments Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of	
Taxation (1991) 28 FCR 484	
Disapproved. Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Richard Walter Pty	
Ltd	168
Derry v Peek (1889) 14 App Cas 337 at 374	
Applied. Krakowski v Eurolynx Properties Ltd	563
Dolling-Baker v Merrett [1990] 1 WLR 1205; [1991] 2 All ER 890	
Disapproved. Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman	10
Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman [1994] 1 VR 1	
Affirmed. Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman	10

Gerhardy v Brown (1985) 159 CLR 70 at 121	
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	,
Considered. Western Australia v Commonwealth	,
Grove v Flavel (1986) 43 SASR 410 at 420	
Applied. R v Byrnes	-
Hassneh Insurance Co of Israel v Mew [1993] 2 Lloyd's Rep 243 at 246-247	
Approved. Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman	)
Disapproved. Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman	í
Hinch v Attorney-General (Vict) (1987) 164 CLR 15 at 49	
Referred to. Witham v Holloway	í
Hopwood v The Oueen (1994) 13 ASCR 219	
Reversed, R v Byrnes 502	2
Reversed. R v Byrnes	
Considered. McAuliffe v The Queen	3
I O III. Classification - Laternation - I Dec I also Welliam - (1002) 20 NCW/I D (22)	
J Odlin Shopfitting International Pty Ltd v Kaljanac (1993) 29 NSWLR 632	
Disapproved. K B Hutcherson Pty Ltd v Correia	,
Jendell Australia Pty Ltd v Kesby [1983] 1 NSWLR 127	_
Overruled. Witham v Holloway	)
Johns (T S) v The Queen (1980) 143 CLR 108	
Considered. McAuliffe v The Queen	5
King v Hayward (1943) 67 CLR 488	
Distinguished. K B Hutcherson Pty Ltd v Correia	)
Koowarta v Bjelke-Petersen (1982) 153 CLR 168 at 186, 245, 261	
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
I D E II (1000) 100 CI D 420	
Lee, Re; Ex p Harper (1986) 160 CLR 430	,
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	,
Mabo v Queensland (Mabo [No 1]) (1988) 166 CLR 186 at 213, 233	
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth373	3
Mabo v Queensland [No 2] (Mabo [No 2]) (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 64, 111,	
136, 138, 195-196	
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	3
Maxwell v Murphy (1957) 96 CLR 261 at 267, 285	
Applied. Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd	5
Metal Trades Industry Association of Australia v Amalgamated Metal Workers'	
and Shipwrights' Union (1983) 152 CLR 632	
Applied. Western Australia v Commonwealth	3
••	
N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella International Pty Ltd (1993) 44	
FCR 239	
Affirmed. N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella International	
Pty Ltd	5
National Research Development Corporation v Commissioner of Patents	•
(1959) 102 CLR 252	
Discussed. N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella	
International Pty Ltd	5
New South Wales Egg Corporation v Peek (1987) 10 NSWLR 72 at 81-83	_
Overruled. Witham v Holloway	5
OTOTTOIOG. TITLIGHT TITOHOTTAJ	•
0	
Onus v Alcoa of Australia Ltd (1981) 149 CLR 27 at 35-36	
Applied. Shop Distributive and Allied Employees Association v	_
Minister for Industrial Affairs (SA)	4

Patents, Commissioner of v Microcell Ltd (1959) 102 CLR 232	
Discussed. N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella	
International Pty Ltd	655
Overruled. Parramore v Duggan	633
Precision Data Holdings Ltd v Wills (1991) 173 CLR 167	055
Applied. Re Dingjan; Ex partè Wagner	323
R v Anderson [1965] Tas SR 277 (NC 21)	
Disapproved. McGhee v The Queen	82
R v Ataou [1988] QB 798 at 807  Not followed. Carter v Northmore Hale Davy & Leake	121
R v Barton [1973] 1 WLR 115 at 118; [1972] 2 All ER 1192 at 1194	121
Disapproved. Carter v Northmore Hale Davy & Leake	121
R v Craig [1975] 1 NZLR 597 at 559	
Not followed. Carter v Northmore Hale Davy & Leake	121
R v Credit Tribunal; Ex p General Motors Acceptance Corporation, Australia (1977) 137 CLR 545 at 563	
Considered. Western Australia v Commonwealth	373
R v Duvivier (1982) 29 SASR 217 at 223, 238; 5 A Crim R 89 at 94, 106-107	. 575
Considered. McGhee v The Queen	82
R v Falla [1964] VR 78 at 80	0.0
Considered. McGhee v The Queen	82
Considered. McGhee v The Queen	82
R v Helmhout (1980) 42 FLR 53 at 56; 1 A Crim R 103 at 105; 30 ACTR 1 at	02
4	
Considered. McGhee v The Queen	82
R v Hoar (1981) 148 CLR 32 Followed. Savvas v The Queen	1
R v Hyde [1991] 1 QB 134 at 139	1
Considered. McAuliffe v The Queen	. 108
R v Johns [1978] 1 NSWLR 282 at 287-290	
Considered. McAuliffe v The Queen	. 108
R v Kane [1975] VR 658 at 661 Approved. Savvas v The Queen	1
R v McAuliffe (1993) 70 A Crim R 303	
Affirmed. McAuliffe v The Queen	. 108
R v Masciantonio [1994] 1 VR 577	
Reversed. Masciantonio v The Queen	58
Approved. Savvas v The Queen	1
R v Savvas [No 2] (1991) 58 A Crim R 174	
Affirmed. Savvas v The Queen	1
Richardson v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1932) 48 CLR 192	4.60
Applied. Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Richard Walter Pty Ltd Rodway v The Queen (1990) 169 CLR 515 at 518	. 168
Applied. Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd	595
T-FFACE TESTANT TO DIE TESTA COSPOSACION DIA	. 575
Sahari, In the Marriage of (1976) 25 FLR 475; 11 ALR 679; 2 Fam LR	
11.126; [1976] FLC 75.396	
Approved. Witham v Holloway	. 525
Shop Distributive & Allied Employees Association v Minister for Industrial Affairs (1994) 62 SASR 507	
Reversed. Shop Distributive and Allied Employees Association v	
Minister for Industrial Affairs (SA)	. 552
Smith v Chadwick (1884) 9 App Cas 187 at 201	
Referred to. Krakowski v Eurolynx Properties Ltd	. 563
Southern Rhodesia, In re [1919] AC 211 at 233  Considered. Western Australia v Commonwealth	272
Considered. Western Australia v Continuiwedith	. 513

Stingel v The Queen (1990) 171 CLR 312 at 325	50
Explained. Masciantonio v The Queen	58
Strickland v Rocla Concrete Pipes Ltd (1971) 124 CLR 468	
Considered. Re Dingjan; Ex parte Wagner	323
Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Moorebank Pty Ltd (1988) 165 CLR 55 at 67	
Applied. Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Richard Walter Pty Ltd Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v S Hoffnung & Co Ltd (1928) 42 CLR 39 Distinguished. Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Richard Walter	168
Ptv I td	168
Teoh v Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1994) 49 FCR 409	
Affirmed. Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v Teoh	273
Victrawl Pty Ltd v Australian Overseas Telecommunications Corporation Ltd (1993) 45 FCR 302	
Varied. Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd	595
Victrawl Ptv Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd (1995) 183 CLR 595	
Applied. Sanko Steamship Co Ltd v Sumitomo Australia Ltd	628
Water Resources, Commissioner of v Federated Engine Drivers' & Firemen's	
Association of Australasia Qld Branch [1988] 2 Qd R 385	
Approved. Witham v Holloway	525
Wenn v Attorney-General (Vict) (1948) 77 CLR 84 at 108-109, 120	
Considered Western Australia y Commonwealth	373

## STATUTES, RULES, ETC JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED

#### **IMPERIAL**

The Constitution (63 & 64 Vict c 12) Ch III: Brandy v Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission 245
s 51(xx): Re Dingjan; Ex p Wagner
a 51(Argri). Western Australia a Commonwealth
s 51(xxvi): Western Australia v Commonwealth
s 109: Western Australia v Commonwealth
COMMONWEALTH
Acts Interpretation Act 1901 s 8(e): Sanko Steamship Co Ltd v Sumitomo Australia Ltd
Admiralty Act 1988 ss 3, "Liability Convention", 25(1): Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd
Income Tax Assessment Act 1936 ss 175, 177(1): Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Richard Walter Pty Ltd
Industrial Relations Act 1988 ss 127A, 127B, 127C: Re Dingjan; Ex p Wagner
Judiciary Act 1903 s 39B(1): Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Richard Walter Pty Ltd 168
Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims Act 1989 ss 2, 3(1), 6, 9, sch 1: Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd
Migration Act 1958 ss 6(2), 6A(1), 16(1)(c): Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v Teoh
Native Title Act 1993 ss 7, 11, 12, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23: Western Australia v Commonwealth
Navigation Act 1912 s 333, sch 6: Sanko Steamship Co Ltd v Sumitomo Australia Ltd
Patents Act 1990 s 18(1): N V Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken v Mirabella International Pty Ltd
Racial Discrimination Act 1975 s 10(1): Western Australia v Commonwealth
Trade Practices Act 1974 ss 52, 53A, 87: Krakowski v Eurolynx Properties Ltd

#### **NEW SOUTH WALES**

Workers Compensation Act 1987 ss 65, 66: K B Hutcherson Pty Ltd v Correia
SOUTH AUSTRALIA
Companies (South Australia) Code s 229(4): R v Byrnes
Shop Trading Hours Act 1977 ss 4(1), 5, 13, 14: Minister for Industrial Affairs (SA) v Shop Distributive and Allied Employees Association
TASMANIA
Criminal Code ss 2(1), 157(1), 160(1): McGhee v The Queen
Land Titles Act 1980 ss 40(3)(b), (e)(i), 106(1): Parramore v Duggan
WESTERN AUSTRALIA
Land (Titles and Traditional Usage) Act 1993 Western Australia v Commonwealth

#### **CORRIGENDUM**

**180 CLR 295-321, year of judgment:** For "(1979)" read "(1977)". **183 CLR 94, line 14:** For "liabilities insured" read "liabilities incurred". **183 CLR 324, line 9:** For "(1982) 150 CLR" read "(1982) 150 CLR 169".

## TABLE OF CASES CITED IN THE JUDGMENTS

Note: The page numbers are those of the pages where the case is cited in each report.

A D Doub (1992)		
A v B Bank (1993)	•••••	35
A E Terry's Motors Ltd v Rinder (1948)	•••••	. 577
(1082)	7 145	1.1
(1983)	7, 145,	, 161
"A badesa" (The) (1068)	•••••	. 51/
"Abadesa" (The) (1968)		. 600
Actors and Announcers Equity Association of Australia as Fautana Ellar D	•••••	. 315
Actors and Announcers Equity Association of Australia v Fontana Films P	y 200	260
Ltd (1982)	), 368,	369
Adeyinka Oyekan v Musendiku Adele (1957)	5, 130,	100
Adriatic Terraggo & Foundations Pty I td v Pobinson (1972)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 422
Adriatic Terrazzo & Foundations Pty Ltd v Robinson (1972) Aerospatiale Holdings Australia Pty Ltd v Elspan International Ltd (1992)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 340
Afro-American Patrolmen's League v Atlanta (1987)	•••••	41
Ah Yick v Lehmert (1905)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	204
Ain New V Echinett (1903)  Ainsworth v Criminal Justice Commission (1992)	••••••••	212
Air Caledonie International v Commonwealth (1988)	••••••	160
Akerhielm v De Mare (1950)	 7 570	505
Akerhielm v De Mare (1959)	, 576,	221
Alati v Kruger (1055)		506
Aldridge v Booth (1988)	257	263
Alister v The Queen (1984)	237, 7 102	126
"Alletta" (The) [No 2] (1972)	, 102,	602
Alliance Petroleum Australia NL v Australian Gas Light Co (1983)	25	₹ 43
Alterskye v Scott (1948)	32	36
Aldridge v Booth (1988)	02	478
Amodu Tijani v Secretary, Southern Nigeria (1921)	021,	494
Amourgis v Law Society of Upper Canada (1984)		543
Anglo-Russian Merchant Traders & John Batt & Co (London). In re (1917	)	34
Anglo-Scottish Beet Sugar Corporation v Spalding Urban District Council	,	
Anglo-Scottish Beet Sugar Corporation v Spalding Urban District Council (1937)		585
Angus v Clifford (1891)	579.	581
Annetts v McCann (1990)	311	312
Armstrong v Executive Office of the President (1993)	,	546
Armstrong v Strain (1951)		585
Arnison v Smith (1889)		579
Ashton's Case (1698)		114
Attorney-General (NSW) v Findlay (1976)		150
Attorney-General v Guardian Newspapers Ltd [No 2] (1990)		31
Attorney-General (UK) v Heinemann Publishers Australia Ptv I td (1987)		31
Attorney-General v James (1962)		540
Attorney-General v Jonathan Cape Ltd (1976)		31
Attorney-General (NT) v Kearney (1985) 128, 130, 134, 146, 147, 151, 152	, 159,	160,
		1/1
Attorney-General v Leveller Magazine (1979)	43,	538
Attorney-General (N1) v Maurice (1986) 126, 127, 128, 132, 133, 145, 147	, 151,	159,
Attorney-General (Hong Kong) v Ng Yuen Shiu (1983)	160,	162
Attorney-General (Hong Kong) v Ng Yuen Shiu (1983)	l, 311,	314
Attorney-General (NSW) v Quin (1990)	4, 313,	314
Attorney-General v Times Newspapers Ltd (1974)		312

Australasian Brokerage Ltd v Australian & New Zealand Banking		<b>5</b> 04
Corporation Ltd (1934)Australasian Meat Industry Employees' Union v Mudginberri Station Pty		
Ltd (1986)	544,	545
Australian Agricultural Co v Federated Engine-Drivers and Firemen's  Association of Australasia (1913)		485
Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1992)		477
Australian Coal and Shale Employees Federation v Aberfield Coal Mining		
Co Ltd (1942)	179,	626 467
Australian Coastal Snipping Commission V O Relly (1902)		558
Australian Consolidated Press Ltd v Morgan (1965) 531, 532, 533, 538, 5	39, 5	541,
		545
Australian Railways Union, Re; Ex p Public Transport Corporation (1993)	•••••	194
Avon Downs Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxtion (1949)		585
Awaroa v Commercial Securities (1770)	•••••	505
BA's Application, Re (1915)	561,	664
BA's Application, Re (1915)	200,	230
Police v. Comphell (1082) 126 132 134 135 136 138 141 144 145 146 1	Δ7 ´	148
151, 152, 153, 1	162,	169
Balla v Idaho State Board of Corrections (1989)		315
Bank of NSW v Commonwealth (the Bank Nationalization Case)		
(1948) 178 179 192 221 231 334 347 352 353	366,	368
Banque Commerciale SA, en Liquidation v Akhil Holdings Ltd (1990)  Barton v The Queen (1980)  150, 155,  Bartrum v Healeswood (1973)		573
Barton v The Queen (1980)	163,	100
Batagol v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1963) 182, 187, 191, 206, 2	226	236
Becker and Harry M Miller, In re [No 2] (1972)		363
Bell v The Queen (1992)		104
Bennett v General Motors Holdens Ltd (1940)	•••••	54
Berd v Lovelace (1577)	• • • • • • •	155
Bibby Bulk Carriers Ltd v Cansulex Ltd (1989)	26	5. 41
Bisset v Wilkinson (1927)		577
Black Diamond v Stewart & Sons (The "Norwalk Victory") (1949)		617
Boilermakers' Case (R v Kirby, Ex p Boilermakers' Society of Australia)	260	105
(1956)	300,	649
Booth v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1986)		202
Botany Municipal Council v Federal Airports Corporation (1992)		467
Bourke Shire Council v Dwyer (1993)		545
"Bowbelle" (The) (1990)Bowoon Sangsa Co Ltd v Micronesian Industrial Corporation (1984)	•••••	617
Bradley v Commonwealth (1973)	298	315
Brambles Holdings Ltd v Carey (1976)		582
Bramblevale Ltd, In re (1970)	543,	544
Bremer Oeltransport GmbH v Drewry (1933)		47
Bremer Vulkan Schiffbau und Maschinenfabrik v South India Shipping		25
Corporation Ltd (1981)		633
Bresmac Pty Ltd v Starr (1992)		55
Briginshaw v Briginshaw (1938)	547,	548
Brindle, Re: Ex p F B & F A McMahon Ptv Ltd (1992)		. 261
British Coal Corporation v Dennis Rye Ltd [No 2] (1988)	•••••	252
British Medical Association v Commonwealth (1949)	•••••	. 332 37
Builders Licensing Board v Sperway Constructions (Syd) Pty Ltd (1976)		263
Bullivant v Attorney-General (Vict) (1901) 127, 128, 134, 146, 159,	160,	161

Burton v Honan (1952)	  64,	352 465
C (A Minor) (Wardship: Contempt), Re (1986)		540
CCOM Pty Ltd v Jiejing Pty Ltd (1994)	• • • • • •	543
CFTC v Wellington Precision Metals Inc (1992)	• • • • • •	. 00U
Cail v Papayanni; The "Amalia" (1863)	• • • • • •	. 347
Cain v Glass (1985)	 10	150
Cain v Glass INo 21 (1985) 126 152 1	55	160
Calder v Attorney-General (British Columbia) (1973)	55,	133
Canada Assistance Plan (BC), Reference re (1991)	13	31/
Canada Inc v Apple Computer Inc (1988)	15,	529
Canada Inc v Apple Computer Inc (1988)  Canadian Transport v Alsbury (1952)	••••	533
Carev v Ontario (1986)		150
Carter v Egg and Egg Pulp Marketing Board (Vict) (1942)		465
Central Queensland Cement Pty Ltd v Hardy (1989)		36
Chadade Steamship Co (The "Yarmouth Castle"), Petition of (1967)		620
Chan v Zacharia (1984)		517
Chandler & Co v Collector of Customs (1907)		91
Chan Wing-Siu v The Queen (1985)	16,	117
Chew v The Queen (1992)	22,	523
Chow Hung Ching v The King (1948)	98,	315
Chu Kheng Lim v Minister for Immigration, Local Government and Ethnic		
Affairs (1992)	37,	315
Clements v Ellis (1934)		642
Clifford v Middleton (1974)	29,	530
Clyde Engineering Co Ltd v Cowburn (1926)	••••	465
Amalgamated Collieries of Western Australia I ed (1960)		210
Amalgamated Collieries of Western Australia Ltd (1960)Codelfa Construction Pty Ltd v State Rail Authority of NSW (1982)		210
Collins v Charles Marshall Pty Ltd (1955)	<i>3</i> 4,	42
Colonial Sugar Refining Co v Irving (1905)	19,	408
Colonial Sugar Refining Co v Irving (1905)	'	020 542
Commonwealth v Grunseit (1943)	ю,	196
Commonwealth v John Fairfax & Sons Ltd (1980)	••••	400 21
Commonwealth v New South Wales (1923) 178 179 20	 15	221
Commonwealth v New South Wales (1923)	,,,	158
Commonwealth v Queensland (1920)		467
Commonwealth v Tasmania (the Tasmanian Dam Case) (1983) 333, 334, 33	6. 3	37
345, 346, 351, 352, 364, 369, 452, 459, 460, 461, 48	31. (	622
Compagnies Réunies des Glaces, Re, Application of (1930)	· (	673
Compania Gijonesa de Navegacion SA, Complaint of (1984)	(	620
Complete Technology Pty Ltd v Toshiba (Australia) Pty Ltd (1994)		. 37
Concord, Municipal District of v Coles (1905)	-	642
Consolidated Press Ltd v McRae (1955)54	1, :	544
Con-Stan Industries of Australia Pty Ltd v Norwich Winterthur Insurance (Australia) Ltd (1986)	•	21
Controlled Consultants Pty Ltd v Commissioner for Corporate Affairs (1985)	•••••	1/10
Coonan & Denlay Pty Ltd v Superstar Australia Pty Ltd (No 2) (1981)		5/11
Cooper v Stuart (1889)	٠٠٠٠٠ ٠	127
Cooper Brookes (Wollongong) Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation		
(1981)	(	102
Cornfoot y Fowke (1840)	4	182
Council of India, Secretary of State in a Kamachaa Paya Sababa (1850)	:	285
Council of India, Secretary of State in v Kamachee Boye Sahaba (1859)	4	+22
Court of Appeal (NSW), Registrar v Craven (1994)		133
orani, No, Ex proceedite wansend Coal Co Pty Ltd (1987) 256, 258, 268	٠.	:
"Crathie" (The) (1897)	2	361 501
"Crathie" (The) (1897)	( 1	152

Crest Homes Plc v Marks (1987)	
Crown (The) v McNeil (1922)	580
Cunliffe v Commonwealth (1994)	345
Curwen v Yan Yean Land Co Ltd (1891)	563
D v National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (1978)	
	563
D Patrick Inc v Ford Motor Co (1993)	547
Da Costa v The Queen (1968)	67
Danchevsky v Danchevsky (1975)	542
David Jones Finance & Investments Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner	of
Taxation (1991)	9, 240, 241, 242
David Securities Pty Ltd v Commonwealth Bank of Australia (1992)	652
Davies, In re (1888)	533
Davy v Garrett (1878) Dean v Dean (1987)	573
Dean v Dean (1987)	529, 543
Delgamuukw v British Columbia (1993)	433
Derbyshire County Council v Times Newspapers Ltd (1992)	288
Derry v Peek (1889)	579, 580
Descôteaux v Mierzwinski (1982)	145, 161
Dickenson v Fletcher (1873)	91
Dietrich v The Queen (1992)	7, 288, 298, 315
Dietrich v The Queen (1992)	32, 46
Dobbie v Davidson (1991)	040
Dobbs v National Bank of Australasia Ltd (1935)	184
Dolling-Baker v Merrett (1990)	1, 33, 42, 43, 46
Donellan v Read (1832)	577
Downs Distributing Co Pty Ltd v Associated Blue Star Stores Pty Ltd liq) (1948)	(In
liq) (1948)	585
Dual-Deck Video Casette Antitrust Litigation, In re (1993)	54 /
	5×4
Dunlop v Woollahra Municipal Council (1975)	
Dunlop v Woollahra Municipal Council (1975)	191
Dymond, Re (1959)	191
Dymond, Re (1959)  EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986)  Earl of Rutland's Case (1608)  Fastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha	37 439
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986)  Earl of Rutland's Case (1608)  Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)  Edwards v The Queen (1992)  Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986)  Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992)  Engineers' Case  Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986)  Earl of Rutland's Case (1608)  Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)  Edwards v The Queen (1992)  Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986)  Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992)  Engineers' Case  Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986)  Earl of Rutland's Case (1608)  Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)  Edwards v The Queen (1992)  Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986)  Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992)  Engineers' Case  Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993)  Esber v Commonwealth (1992)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986)  Earl of Rutland's Case (1608)  Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)  Edwards v The Queen (1992)  Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986)  Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992)  Engineers' Case  Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993)  Esber v Commonwealth (1992)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986)  Earl of Rutland's Case (1608)  Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984)  Edwards v The Queen (1992)  Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986)  Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992)  Engineers' Case  Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994) 22, 4	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  E Re: Exp. F. (1986)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F I Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  E Re: Exp. F. (1986)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 235 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 235 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965)  Sarbenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Farbenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959) Fencott v Muller (1983)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Fairfarenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959) Fencott v Muller (1983) Fisher v Hebburn Ltd (1960)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Farbenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959) Fencott v Muller (1983)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Farbenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959) Fencott v Muller (1983) Fisher v Hebburn Ltd (1960) Flamingo Park Pty Ltd v Dolly Dolly Creation Pty Ltd (1985)  Ford v Andrews (1916)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Farbenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959) Fencott v Muller (1983) Fisher v Hebburn Ltd (1960) Flamingo Park Pty Ltd v Dolly Dolly Creation Pty Ltd (1985)  Ford v Andrews (1916)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Fisher v Hebburn Ltd (1960) Flamingo Park Pty Ltd v Dolly Dolly Creation Pty Ltd (1985) Ford v Andrews (1916) Foreign Affairs and Trade, Minister for v Magno (1992)	
EMI Records Ltd v Spillane (1986) Earl of Rutland's Case (1608) Eastern Saga (The) (Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (1984) Edwards v The Queen (1992) Ellendale Pty Ltd v Graham Matthews Pty Ltd (1986) Elspan International Ltd v Aerospatiale Holdings Ltd (1992) Engineers' Case Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd (1993) Esber v Commonwealth (1992) Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Plowman (1994)  F, Re; Ex p F (1986) F J Bloemen Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1981) 186, 187, 197, 199, 200, 218, 219, 220, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 23 Fairclough v Manchester Ship Canal Co (1897) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Fairfax v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1965) Farbenfabriken Bayer AG v Bayer Pharma Pty Ltd (1959) Fencott v Muller (1983) Fisher v Hebburn Ltd (1960) Flamingo Park Pty Ltd v Dolly Dolly Creation Pty Ltd (1985)  Ford v Andrews (1916)	

Fothergill v Monarch Airlines Ltd (1981)		606	623
Freston, In re (1883) Fullerton v Gardiner (1978)	. 530.	531.	540
Fullerton v Gardiner (1978)		,	. 529
Gadd & Mason v Mayor etc of Manchester (1892)			665
Garvin v Domus Publishing Ltd (1989)  Geffen v Goodman Estate (1991)  Goodbaries I Service In (1784)	•••••	•••••	. 021 520
Geffen v Goodman Estate (1991)	••••••	 1 <i>1 5</i>	. 325
Geophysical Service Inc (The "Arctic Explorer"), Complaint of (1984)	•••••••	143,	101
George v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1952) 182, 183, 184,		51/,	620
Georgiadis v Australian and Overseas Telecommunications Corporation	220, 2	237,	240
Gerakiteys v The Queen (1984)	1 (1994	+)	. 342
Gerhardy v Brown (1985)	460		b
Gerhardy v Brown (1985) 438, "Glacinto Motta" (The) (1977)	460, 4	<del>1</del> 64,	483
"Giacinto Motta" (The) (1977)			619
Giannaralli v Wraith (1989)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	28
Giorgianni y The Oyen (1995)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· • • • • •	485
Giannarelli v Wraith (1988) Giorgianni v The Queen (1985) Glover v Johnson (1991)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		114
Colder Coor (The) (1975)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		547
Glover v Johnson (1991) Golder Case (The) (1975) Gompers v Bucks Stove & Range Co (1911)			622
Gompers v Bucks Stove & Range Co (1911) Goodwin v Phillips (1908)	5	532,	546
Goodwin v Phillips (1908)			213
Cionia y vagoeias (1985)			570
Grannall v Marrickville Margarine Pty Ltd (1955)	3	352,	368
Grant v Downs (1976) 126, 127, 133, 138, 144, 146, 147, 151, 157, 150	160, 1	.62,	163
Grantham Wholesale Fruit, Vegetable and Potato Merchants Ltd. In re-		•	
(1972)	5	30.	549
Greenfill, Re: Ex p Pook (1988)			261
(treenough v (taskell (1X33)			146
Cirolam Ptv Ltd v Australia & New Zealand Banking Group Ltd			
(1993)	148. 1	50	160
Gross v Lewis Hillman Ltd (1970)	, _		585
010VC V 114VEI (1760)	_	.11	571
Gum v Stevens (1923)		* ',	673
Haas v The Queen (1964)	06.4	00	
Haddad v Norman Mir Pty I td (1067)	86, 1	02,	100
Haigh In re: Haigh y Haigh (1861)	•••••		. 25
Haigh, In re; Haigh v Haigh (1861)			. 40
11aodener v Winnster for finningration and Ethnic Affairs (1990) 299, 3	301, 31	11, 3	312,
Harris v Caladina (1001)	3	13, 3	314
Hasspeh Insurance Co of Israel - Mary (1902)	263, 2	71,	360
Harris v Caladine (1991)	45, 46,	, 47,	, 48
Hoolth and Community Services Community			. 30
Hawkins v Clayton (1988)	MB		
UNIATION'S Casel (1997)		3	304
Heatons Transport (St Helens) Ltd v Transport and General Workers'			
Union (1973)	529, 5	41,	452
Helton v Allen (1940)			530
Herald & Weekly Times Ltd v Commonwealth (1966)	22/ 2	52 1	260
Heyman v Darwins Ld (1942)  Hinch v Attorney-General (Vict) (1987)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		341
Hinch v Attorney-General (Vict) (1987)	533, 5	34. :	544
findle v John Cotton 1 td (1919)		- 4	515
Hoare v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (Vict) (1987)		-	വാ
Holmes v Director of Public Prosecutions (1946) 69 71	1 90 (	<b>9</b> 7 1	103
HOIDH PIVITA V Varimii PivI ta (1991)			27
Home Counties Dairies Ltd v Skilton (1970)		1	2/11
Home Office v Harman (1983)	540 54	41 4	549
Hooner v. Hooner (1955)		· .	101
Hospital Products Ltd v United States Surgical Corporation (1984)		4	517
Huddart, Parker & Co Pty Ltd v Moorehead (1909) 256, 267, 268, 3	35 33	6 3	40
	350 30		

Hui Chi-Ming v The Queen (1992)		117 467
Imperial Mercantile Credit Association v Coleman (1871)		517
Ince Bros and Cambridge Manufacturing Co Pty Ltd v Federated Clothing and Allied Trades Union (1924)	2. 220.	242
In comparation Case (New South Wales v Commonwealth) (1990) 336 346	364	365
Industrotech Constructors Inc v Duke University (1984)	,, 504,	28
Industrotech Constitutions the v Duke Oniversity (1904)		601
Inventor (The) (1905)		529
Iron Ore Co of Canada v Officed Steel Workers of Afficiaca (1979)		32)
J H Rayner (Mincing Lane) Ltd v Department of Trade and Industry		
(1990)	7, 298,	315
I Odlin Shonfitting International Pty Ltd v Kalianac (1993)	54	, 55
Tackson v Sterling Industries Ltd (1987)		JJJ
Jago v District Court (NSW) (1988)	1, 288,	315
James Patrick & Co Ltd v Union Steamship Co of New Zealand Ltd		
(1938)	601,	020
lendell Australia Ptv Ltd v Kespv (1983)	1, 222,	J <b>-1-1</b>
Jennison v Baker (1972) 53	1, 534,	539
John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Police Tribunal (NSW) (1986)	28, 32	43
John Fairfax & Sons Pty Ltd v McRae (1955)		538
John McGrath Motors (Canberra) Pty Ltd v Applebee (1964)		577
Johns (T S) v The Queen (1980)	5. 116.	117
Johnson v The Queen (1976)	80. 89	97
Johnson's Patent, Re (1937)	00, 02	664
Johnson's Patent, In re (1937)		662
Jordan v Wilson (1988)		547
Just v Chambers (1941)		600
Just v Chambers (1941)		000
Kearney, Re (1984) Kent County Council v Batchelor (1976)		147
Kent County Council v Batchelor (1976)		543
Kent County Council v Batchelor (1976)  Kerrison, Re; Ex p Official Trustee in Bankruptcy (1990)  King v Hayward (1943)  Kingdom of Belgium v Federal Republic of Germany (Young Loan	530,	544
King v Hayward (1943)		54
Kingdom of Belgium v Federal Republic of Germany (Young Loan		
Kinsela v Russell Kinsela Ptv Ltd (1986)		. 514
Kioa v West (1985)	1, 312,	315
Knight v The Queen (1992)	85, 97,	102
Koowarta v Rielke-Petersen (1982)	5, 460,	461
Kursell v Timber Operators & Contractors Ltd (1923)		34
Kwaku Mensah v The King (1946)		74
Lamb v Cotogno (1987) Langton v Johnston (1991)		. 288
Langton v Johnston (1991)		. 546
I aw Society of NSW v Kinsella (1980)		. 329
Lee Re: Ex. n Harner (1986)		.4//
Lee Chun-Chuen v The Queen (1963)	00	0, 00
Lees v Tod (1882)	<b></b> .	. 579
Lek v Minister for Immigration, Local Government and Ethnic Affairs		
[No 2] (1993)	290.	321
Linotype Co's Case (Linotype Co Ltd v Mounsey) (1909)		674
Liquidators of Imperial Mercantile Credit Association v Coleman (1873)		. 517
Lister v Romford Ice & Cold Storage Co Ltd (1957)		42
Liu v The Queen (1989)		8
Liverpool City Council v Irwin (1977)	3(	0 42
Liverpool City Council v IIwiii (1977)		620
Livesley v E Clemens Horst Co (1925)		25
London Export Corporation Ltd v Jubilee Coffee Roasting Co Ltd (1958)		2J 290
Luu v Renevier (1989)	••••••	0رے.

Luxor (Eastbourne) Ltd v Cooper (1941)				34
Mabo v Queensland [No 1] (1988) 418, 419, 420, 423, 436, 43	7 /38	153	161	402
		493	494	495
Mabo v Queensland [No 2] (1992) 288, 315, 417, 420, 421, 42	2, 423,	429	431	432
433 434 439 452 462 481 486 46	11 402	102	404	106
McAndrew v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1956) 182, 18	3, 184,	196,	197.	198.
Macbeth v Ashley (1874)	19, 226	, 233,	, 237,	241
MacCormick v Federal Commissioner of Tayation (1084)			221	222
McDonald v Commissioner of Business Franchises (Vict) (1992)			10/	226
McDonald v Dennys Lascelles Ltd (1933)				2/11
McLean, Ex p (1930)				472
Maddison v Goldrick (1976)				155
Maguire v Simpson (1977)	•••••	. 178,	221,	232
Mahadeo v The King (1936)		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	155
Mann v Mann (1957)				502
Mansell and Herbert's Case (1556)	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	114
Marbury v Madison (1803)			170	204
Mariabel (1 ne) (1991)		606	607	620
				301
Marion's Case (Health and Community Services, Secretary, Dena	rtment	tofv		
J W D aliu SWID) (1992)				304
Marks v Bevius (1890)			126	152
Marshall v Watson (1972)				611
Martin v Trinity Industries Inc (1992)	•••••	•••••		546
Masciantonio v The Queen (1995)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	97,	101
Matthews v Seamen's Union of Australia (1957)	•••••		•••••	540
Maxwell v Murphy (1957)		3/18	615	620
Maynard v Neilson (1988)				262
Melbourne Corporation v Commonwealth (1947)	369	420	476	480
Wietai Trades Employers' Association (1951)				538
Metal Trades Industry Association of Australia v Amalgamated M	fetal			
Workers' and Shipwrights' Union (1983)			468,	472
Mills v Meeking (1990)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			644
Minet v Morgan (1873)		133,	159,	160
Mona v The Oucell (1977)	66	hx h	<b>u</b> /1	1//
Moon v Durden (1848) Moorcock (The) (1889)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	621
Moschi v Lep Air Services Ltd (1973)		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	241
Muntz, Ex p; R v Comptroller-General of Patents (1922)		•••••	•••••	541 662
Murphyores Incorporated Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1976)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	352
Murphyores Incorporated Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1976)			300.	315
Musgrave v Commonwealth (1937)				178
NRDC Case (National Research Development Corporation v Cor	nmissi	oner		
of Patents) (1959)	3, 664,	666,	667,	671
N V Philips Gloeilampentabrieken v Mirabella International Pty I	_td			
(1993)	), 666,	668,	669,	675
the NRDC Case) (1959)	Patent	S	((7	(71
Nationwide News Pty Ltd v Wills (1992)	, 004,	000,	00/,	330 330
Neat Holdings Pty Ltd v Karajan Holdings Pty Ltd (1992)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		573	579
Nelson, Ex p [No 2] (1929)			· .	467
Nemo Foods Ltd v Remi Rivet Fast Foods Ltd (1982)			529	543
Nevitt, In re (1902)				532
New South Wales v Commonwealth (the Incorporation Case) (199	€ (0€	336, 3	346, 3	864,
				365

New York State National Organisation for Women v Terry (1989)	544,	549 546
Northern Suburbs General Cemetery Reserve Trust v Commonwealth		
(1003)	. 191,	482
Northside Developments Ptv Ltd v Registrar-General (1990)		516
Northwest Territories Public Service Association v Commissioner of the Northwest Territories (1979)		
Northwest Territories (1979)	. 527,	473
Nott Bros & Co Ltd v Barkley (1923)		475
Oil Basins Ltd v Commonwealth (1993)		221
Onus v Alcoa of Australia Ltd		228
O'Reilly v State Bank of Victoria Commissioners (1983)	. 138,	147
Oriel v Russell (1929)	. 546,	547
Osborne v Commonwealth (1911)		482
O'Shea v O'Shea and Parnell (1890)		531
O'Shea v O'Shea and Parnell (1890)	222,	233,
		240
Oxford Shipping Co Ltd v Nippon Yusen Kaisha (The Eastern Saga) (1984) Oxfordshire County Council v M (1994)	26, 40	). 41
Oxfordshire County Council v M (1994)		152
Packett v The King (1937)		79
Parker v The Oueen (1963)		71
Parramatta City Council v Brickworks Ltd (1972)		. 644
Parry Jones v Law Society (1969)		
Patents Commissioner of v Microcell Ltd (1959)	, 000	, 0/0
Patterson v RTP Engineering (Aust) Ltd (1989)		. 535
(No 4) 1 td (1943)		. 360
Pearce v ( 11v of Hodati (1901)		. 0 12
Pearse v Pearse (1846)		. 133
Peattie v Murnhy (1964)		. 343
Perpetual Executors & Trustees Association of Australia Ltd v Federal		212
Commissioner of Taxation (1948)	•••••	362
Peter Long & Partners v Burns (1956)		546
Phillips v The Queen (1969)		67
Pidoto v Victoria (1943)	5. 366	. 372
Poje v Attorney General (British Columbia) (1953)		. 533
Politos y Commonwealth (1945)	287	, 315
Polyukhovich v Commonwealth (1991)		348
Port MacDonnell Professional Fishermen's Assn Inc v South Australia (198	9)	473
Proced v. Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1985)	289	, 290
Precision Data Holdings Ltd v Wills (1991)	7,36U	, 361
Deantic v Atlantic ( Oast I ine ( 1918)		<i>2</i> J)
Prestige Motors Pty Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1993) 190	b, 198	, 230
Progressive Mailing House Pty Ltd v Tabalt Pty Ltd (1985)		341
Public Works, Director of v Ho Po Sang (1961)		604
Public Works, Director of v Ho Po Sang (1961)	•••••	542
		40.4
Queensland v Commonwealth (1977)		494 : 177
Oueensland Flectricity Commission v Commonwealth (1985)42	U, 47C	), 4//
Oueensland Law Society Inc. Ex. p. (1984)		42
Quinter v Volkswagon of America (1982)		540
(400 A)		102
R v Ancio (1984)	4 10	103 5 561
K v Anderson; Ex p Ipec-Air rty Ltd (1903)95, 10	9 16	5, 166
R v Ataou (1988) 129, 141, 142, 146, 149, 150, 15	, <sub>10</sub>	, 100

R	v Australian Industrial Court; Ex p CLM Holdings Pty Ltd (1977)		. 365
K	v Barton (1973)	, 159	, 162
K D	v Bell (1972)	85	, 102
R	v Bell, Ex p Lees (1980)	, 152	$\frac{160}{2}$
R	v Cahill: Fy n McGregor (1985)	150	95
Ŕ	v Campbell (1977)	, 13U,	109
R	v Campbell (1977)	, 104, d	, 100
R	v Charlton (1972) v Chief Immigration Officer, Heathrow Airport; Ex p Salamat Bibi (1976		. 155
R	v Chief Immigration Officer, Heathrow Airport; Ex p Salamat Bibi (1976	)	. 319
R	v Coldham; Ex p Australian Workers' Union (1983) 180, 194, 205, 210,	222,	233,
			240
K	v Commissioner of Taxation (WA); Ex p Briggs (1986)	, 220,	228
Х	v Commonwealth Conciliation and Arbitration Commission; Ex p		• • •
D	Amalgamated Engineering Union (Australian Section) (1967)	. 194,	210
1	v Commonwealth Court of Conciliation and Arbitration; Ex p Barrett (1945)		260
R	(1945)	 n	300
	v Connictive Associatio of Australasia Ltd (1947) v Comptroller-General of Patents; Ex p Muntz (1922) v Connell [No 2] (1992) v Connell; Ex p Hetton Bellbird Collieries Ltd (1944) v Cox and Railton (1884) v Craig (1975) v Credit Tribunal; Ex p General Motors Acceptance Corporation (1977)	205	206
R	v Comptroller-General of Patents; Ex p Muntz (1922)	. 205,	662
R	v Connell [No 2] (1992)	144	156
R	v Connell; Ex p Hetton Bellbird Collieries Ltd (1944)		179
R	v Cox and Railton (1884)	. 130,	160
R	v Craig (1975) 129, 141, 149, 150,	159,	165
K	v Credit Tribunal; Ex p General Motors Acceptance Corporation		
D	(1977)(1950)	465,	466
K D	v Cunningham (1959)		88
D D	v Davison (1934)	269,	360
R	v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation (WA); Ex p Briggs (1987)		200
R	v De Simoni (1981)	••••••	141
R	v De Simoni (1981)		3 514
R	v Doot (1973)		J14 Q
к	V Drake-Brockman, Hv in Northern ( olliem Proprietore) Association (104)	$\sim$	201
R	v Duvivier (1982)	105.	106
R	v Falla (1964)	38, 89	. 95
R	v Duvivier (1982)	104,	107
R	v Gallagher; Ex p Aberdare Collieries Pty Ltd (1963)	······	268
K '	v G, F, S and W (1974)		8
K '	v Grimwood (1962)	85	, 86
D.	v Hegarty; Ex p City of Salisbury (1981) v Helmhout (1980)		360
R.	v Hemsworth (1846)	, 95,	106
R ·	v Hickman; Ex p Fox and Clinton (1945) 179, 180, 188, 193, 194, 195,	540,	542
	199, 204, 205, 210, 222,	197, .	240
R ·	v Hill (1986)	233, 72	72
R ·	v Hoar (1981)	12	, 13 6 8
R ·	v Hornbuckle (1945)		86
ĸ,	v Hunter (1985)		6
R١	v Hyde (1991)		116
ĸ,	v James Clarke (1930)		155
R '	v Jeffrey (1967)		. 73
K'	v Jetco Manufacturing Ltd (1987)		544
K '	v Johns (1978)		114
ĸ,	v Kalinowski (1930)		114
K '	v Kamara (1974)		. 8
Κ,	v Kane (1975)		5. 7

R v Kirby; Ex p Boilermakers Society of Australia (the Boilermakers	Case	260	195
R v Kirby; Ex p Bollermakers Society of Australia (the Bollermakers (1956)  R v Laga (1969)  R v Lawrence (K A) (1987)	00	05	10 <i>/</i>
R v Laga (1909)	70,	)),	6
R v Lawrence (K A) (1987)	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	104
D I '4411-11 (1070)	-	145	161
R v Littlecillu (1979)		1 10,	114
D - McCints (1982)			6
R v Littlechiid (1979)  R v Lowery and King [No 2] (1972)  R v McGinty (1983)  R v Marie, Chitrizza & Casagrande (1983)			6
P. Mambars of Railways Appeals Roard and Commissioner for Railw	avs.		0
R v Marie, Chitrizza & Casagrande (1983)  R v Members of Railways Appeals Board and Commissioner for Railw (NSW); Ex p Davis (1957)  R v Metal Trades Employers' Association; Ex p Amalgamated Engine Union, Australian Section (1951)  R v Murray; Ex p Proctor (1949)	. u j u		465
P v Metal Trades Employers' Association: Ex n Amalgamated Engine	ering		
Union Australian Section (1951)			538
P. Murray: Ev. p. Proctor (1949)			206
R v Muy Ky Chhay (1994)			. 71
R v Neuman (1948)	8	7, 88	. 95
R v Prickong (1990)			6
R v Mul Ky Chhay (1994)	ı		
National Airways Pty Ltd (1964)  R v R (1981)  R v Radalyski (1899)  R v Raptis, Lilimbakis & Sinclair (1988)	353,	364,	368
R v R (1981)			. 68
R v Radalyski (1899)			114
R v Rantis Lilimbakis & Sinclair (1988)			6
R v Sandford (1994)			298
R v Sainders (1990)			157
R v Raptis, Ellimbatis & Siliciali (1900)  R v Sandford (1994)			3
R v Savvas [No 2] (1991)		3, 5,	6, 8
R v Secretary of State for Home Department; Ex p Brind (1991)		288,	300
R v Shepherd [No 2] (1988)			6
R v Slack (1989)			116
R v Savvas [No 2] (1991) R v Secretary of State for Home Department; Ex p Brind (1991) R v Shepherd [No 2] (1988) R v Slack (1989) R v Smith (1964) R v Smith (1964)		95,	104
R v Socialist Worker Printers & Publishers Ltd. Ex D Attorney-Ocher	ai (i)	J ,	72
P v Spartels (1953) 8	5, 86, 8	7, 88	3, 95
R v Illiee (1982)		145,	161
R v Uljee (1982)			89
$D = W_{-} J_{-} (1004)$			1114
D v Walls (1081)		104.	106
R v Walls (1981)  R v Whybrow (1951)  R v Wright; Ex p Waterside Workers' Federation of Australia (1955)		85	5, 86
P. Wright: Ex. p. Waterside Workers' Federation of Australia (1955)		335,	340
R v Xinaris (1955)		, 	155
D. D. Worner & Co. Inc. v. Bailey, Aluminium, Products, Ptv. I.td. (1989)		,	674
Polyson v. Ellis Munday & Clarke (1912)			138
R v Yuill (1994)  R D Werner & Co Inc v Bailey Aluminium Products Pty Ltd (1989)  Rakusen v Ellis, Munday & Clarke (1912)  Ranger Uranium Mines Pty Ltd, Re; Ex p Federated Miscellaneous  Workers' Union of Australia (1987)  Redfern v Dunlop Rubber Australia Ltd (1964)  Reigate v Union Manufacturing Co (Ramsbottom) (1918)  Rejfek v McElroy (1965)  Richard Brady Franks Ltd v Price (1937)  Richardson v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1932)  188, 20			
Workers' Union of Australia (1987)	257.	360.	361
Dedform v. Dunlon Pubber Australia I td (1964)		363.	370
Rediem v Dumop Rubber Australia Ltu (1904)		000,	. 34
Religate V Ullott Manufacturing Co (Namsbottom) (1910)	530	573	579
Rejiek v McCiroy (1903)	550,	575,	517
Richard Brady Franks Ltd v Filee (1937)	0. 201	202	216
Richardson v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1932) 188, 20	8, 228,	237	238
Riddick v Thames Board Mills Ltd (1977)	.0, 220,	33	2. 46
Rio Tinto Zinc Corporation v Westinghouse Electric Corporation (19	78)	01	140
Roche v The Queen (1987)	, 0,	. 95	104
Roche v The Queen (1987) Rochfort v Trade Practices Commission (1982)		> 5,	140
Rochfort v Trade Practices Commission (1982)  Rodway v The Queen (1990)	348	615	621
Rodway v The Queen (1990)	576, 59-268	269	362
Rola Co (Australa Spaces (1957)	,, 200,	20),	126
Roviaro v United States (1957)		529	543
Royal Hotel Ltd v St John (City) (No 3) (1982)		. 527	119
Royall v The Queen (1991)			600

Russell v Jackson (1851)		147
Russell v Russell (1976)		364
SEC v American Board of Trade Inc (1987)		516
S Pearson & Son Ltd v Dublin Corporation (1907)	, <b></b>	585
Saade v Registrar-General (NSW) (1993)		648
Sahari, In Marriage of (1976)	529	544
Saliba v The Queen (1986)		74
Sankey v Whitlam (1978)		126
Saraswati v The Oueen (1991)		644
Sargent v Campbell (1972)	. <b></b>	577
Schmid. Re. Application of (1881)		646
Schmidt v Secretary of State for Home Affairs (1969)		310
Schmidt v Secretary of State for Home Affairs (1969) Scott v Scott (1913) Seamen's Union of Australia v Utah Development Co (1978)	28,	538
Seamen's Union of Australia v Utah Development Co (1978)		363
Seaward v Paterson (1897)	, 540,	542
Seddon v North Eastern Salt Co Ltd (1905)	•••••	585
Shanahan v Scott (1957)Sharp & Dohme Inc v Boots Pure Drug Co Ltd (1928)	•••••	201
Shall Co. of Australia v. Enderal Commissioner of Toyotian (1921)	•••••	003
Shell Co of Australia v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1931)	520	230 542
Sheppard and Sheppard, Re (1976) Shipping Corporation of India Ltd v Gamlen Chemical Co A/asia Pty Ltd	. 329,	343
(1980)		607
Shrimpton v Commonwealth (1945)		561
Sibley v Grosvenor (1916)	578	583
Simons v Zartom Investments Pty Ltd (1975)		575
Simsek v Macphee (1982)	298,	315
Simsek v Macphee (1982)		321
Smith v Chadwick (1884)577	, 580,	581
Smith v Ritchie (1919)		645
Sobhuza II v Miller (1926)		433
Solosky v The Queen (1979)	. 145,	161
Southern Rhodesia, In re (1919)	. 423,	432
Special Reference from the Bahama Islands (a), In the Matter of (1893)		540
Springfield Nominees Pty Ltd v Bridgelands Securities Ltd (1992)		37
Stancomb v Trowbridge Urban Council (1910)	. 541,	542
State Public Services Federation, Re; Ex p Attorney-General (WA)  (1993)	162	177
State Superannuation Roard y Trade Practices Commission (1993)	. 405,	265
"Steelton" (The) (1977)	•••••	503 620
Steiner Products Ltd v Willy Steiner Ltd (1966)	541	5/12
Stevens v Head (1993)	. 571,	615
Stingel v The Queen (1990)	74. 80	81
Stock Motor Ploughs Ltd v Forsyth (1932)		487
Stotler & Co v Able (1989)Strickland v Rocla Concrete Pipes Ltd (1971)		547
Strickland v Rocla Concrete Pipes Ltd (1971) 335, 336, 339, 345, 347	350,	366
"Stylt 1" (The) (1990)Sunbeam Corporation v Morphy-Richards (Aust) Pty Ltd (1961)		620
Sunbeam Corporation v Morphy-Richards (Aust) Pty Ltd (1961)		674
Sunibrite Products (Aust) Pty Ltd v Jabuna Pty Ltd (1980)	. 529,	544
Sun Newspapers Pty Ltd v Brisbane TV Ltd (1989)		544
Svanosio v McNamara (1956)		585
Swan Hill Corporation v Bradbury (1937)	•••••	561
TAD 1: 0.0 Pt. 1.1 II 1 (1057)		470
T A Robinson & Sons Pty Ltd v Haylor (1957)		
Tapp v Lee (1803)		2/5
Tasmanian Dam Case (Commonwealth v Tasmania) (1983) 333, 334, 336,	33/,	343,
346, 351, 352, 364, 369, 452, 459, 460, 461 Tavita v Minister of Immigration (1994)291	, 401, 200	201
Taxation, Deputy Federal Commissioner of v Brown (1958)	, 300,	201
Taxation, Beputy redetal Commissioner of v. Clarke (1927)		

#### TABLE OF CASES CITED

Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Crowl (1986)	198. 1	 199, 2 227,	226.
Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Faint (1988)		221,	202
Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Janit (1986)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	202	202
Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of v Moorebank Pty Ltd (1988) 202,	217	228	238
Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v Munro (1926)	258	268	269
Taxation, Commissioner of v Peabody (1994)	250,	200,	203
Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v Prestige Motors Pty Ltd (1994)	192, 1	196, 2	226, 236
Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v Reynolds (1981)			
Tayation Federal Commissioner of v S Hoffnung & Co I td (1928)	100 1	ንበበ '	210
Taxation, I educate Commissioner of Voltomang & Co Eta (1920)	220	229	237
Taxation, Deputy Commissioner of (Q) v Truhold Benefit Pty Ltd (1984)	,	202.	222
Taxation, Deputy Federal Commissioner of (NSW) v W R Moran Pty L	td	,	
(1939)			473
Taylor v Attorney-General (NZ) (1975)			42
Teoh v Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1994) 279, 293,	294, 2	296,	297,
	298	316	320
Tesco Supermarkets Ltd v Nattrass (1972)			583
Textile House Pty Ltd v Carmody (1976)			473
Thiel v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1990)			622
Thomas Marshall (Exports) Ltd v Guinle (1979)			341
Thompson v Goold & Co (1910)			644
Tickner v Bropho (1993)			321
Tillam v Copp (1847)			. 40
Times Newspapers Ltd (1974)		540	549
"Titanic" (The) (1914)		J .0,	605
Tooheys Ltd v Commissioner of Stamp Duties (NSW) (1961)	354	363	370
Tournier v National Provincial and Union Bank of England (1924)	334,	1 35	36
Trade Practices Commission v Abbco Ice Works Pty Ltd (1994)	9	1, 55	155
Tramways Case [No 1] (1914)	179	205	221
Trautwein v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1936)	1//,	205,	100
Traynor v Panan Constructions Pty Ltd (1988)			40
Tupicoff v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1984)			202
Tupicon v rederal Commissioner of Taxation (1764)	•••••		202
United Mine Workers v Bagwell (1994)	•••••	• • • • • • •	546
United States v Ayer (1989)			340
United States v Berg (1994)			547
United States v Panhandle Eastern Corp (1988)			28
United States v Pozsgai (1993)			546
United States v Roberts (1988)			547
Vance v Vance (1984)		529,	543
Van Den Hoek v The Queen (1986)			68
Varawa v Howard Smith & Co Ltd (1910)	146.	147.	160
Verrier v Director of Public Prosecutions (1967)	,	,	8
Victoria v Commonwealth and Connor (1975)			482
Victorian Chamber of Manufactures v Commonwealth (Industrial Light	ing		
Regulations) (1943)	<del></del>		269
Victorian Stevedoring & General Contracting Co Pty Ltd and Meakes v	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Dignan (1931)			486
Victors Ltd v Lingard (1927)			517
Victrawl Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd (1995)	630	631	632
Videto v Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1985)	289	302	321
1 1doto 1 14111113tot for 1111111181411011 and Diffitto / 1114113 (1703)	,	_ J <b></b> ,	
W. C E-11 & Co. Ltd.: Lloyd (1004)			572
W Scott Fell & Co Ltd v Lloyd (1906)			. J I 3 601
Wahlberg v Young (1876)		•••••	. 001

Water Conservation and Irrigation Commission (NSW) v Browning (1947) 285
Waterford v Commonwealth (1987) 126, 127, 128, 134, 135, 138, 145, 147, 151, 159,
161, 163
Firemen's Association of Australasia Qld Branch (1988)
Waterside Workers' Federation of Australia v Gilchrist, Watt & Sanderson
Ltd (1924)
Waterside Workers' Federation of Australia v J W Alexander Ltd
(1918)
(1918)
Wahster v Strong (1996)
Webster v Strong (1926) 643 Wellesley v Duke of Beaufort (1831) 531
Wann y Attorny (Deposit (Vist) (1049)
Wenn v Attorney-General (Vict) (1948)
Werrin v Commonwealth (1938)
West v Commissioner of Taxation (NSW) (1937) 467
wneeldon v Burrows (18/9)
Whitehouse v Carlton Hotel Pty Ltd (1987) 514, 516, 522
Whitfield v Pennington (1987)
Wilkinson v Spooner (1957)
Williams v Hursey (1959)
Willmott v Kaufline (1909)
Windsurfing International Inc v Sailboards Australia Pty Ltd (1986) 530, 544
Winter v Deputy Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1987)
Wollongong, University of v Metwally (1984)
Wood v 27/887 Alberta Ltd (1984)
Wright v Frisina (1983)
Yager v Musa (1961)
Yager v The Queen (1977)
Young v Registrar, Court of Appeal [No 3] (1993)