1918=1919.

THE

COMMONWEALTH LAW REPORTS

CASES DETERMINED IN THE

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA.

Editor-ALFRED H. HAYBALL, B.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law.

REPORTERS:

 Aew South Wales
 . {BENNET LANGTON, B.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law.}

 Dictoria
 .

 South Australia
 . }BENNET LANGTON, B.A., LL.B., Barrister-at Law.

 Tasmania
 .

 Queensland

 Western Australia
 . NEIL McTAGUE, LL.B., Barrister-at-Law.

VOL. 26.

1918-1919—9 & 10 GEO. V.

Sydney, A.S.W. :

THE LAW BOOK COMPANY OF AUSTRALASIA, LIMITED, 51-53 ELIZABETH STREET.

London: Sweet & Maxwell, Ltd.

Melbourne : Harston, Partridge & Co., Printers, 452-454 Little Collins Street.

JUSTICES OF THE HIGH COURT

OF AUSTRALIA

DURING THE CURRENCY OF THIS VOLUME.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR SAMUEL WALKER GRIFFITH, P.C., G.C.M.G., CHIEF JUSTICE.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR EDMUND BARTON, P.C., G.C.M.G.

THE HONOURABLE ISAAC ALFRED ISAACS.

THE HONOURABLE HENRY BOURNES HIGGINS.

THE HONOURABLE FRANK GAVAN DUFFY.

THE HONOURABLE CHARLES POWERS.

THE HONOURABLE GEORGE EDWARD RICH.

ATTORNEY-GENERAL:

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE WILLIAM MORRIS HUGHES, P.C., M.P.

MEMORANDA.

1919.

- Oct. 17.—Resignation of The Right Honourable Sir Samuel Walker Griffith, P.C., G.C.M.G., LL.D., of his commission as Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia, accepted.
- Oct. 18.—Appointment of Adrian Knox, Esquire, C.M.G., K.C., to the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia.

RETIREMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR SAMUEL WALKER GRIFFITH, P.C., G.C.M.G., having tendered his resignation of the office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia, it was accepted as from 31st August 1919 (which was subsequently altered to 17th October 1919).

At the sitting of the Full Court of the High Court in Brisbane on 25th July 1919, Isaacs, Gavan Duffy and Rich JJ. being present (Cooper, C.J. and Real, Chubb and Lukin JJ. of the Supreme Court of Queensland also occupying seats on the Bench), Isaacs J. announced that the learned Chief Justice, of whose resignation they had all heard, had hoped to be present in Court that morning, but his medical adviser's orders precluded him from attending. In the circumstances, His Honor said, he would ask the Principal Registrar (Mr. J. W. O'Halloran) to read the Chief Justice's message.

THE PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR then read the following message from GRIFFITH C.J.:—"I had arranged to take my place on the Bench to-day, if only for the purpose of formally announcing my early retirement from the office of Chief Justice, which, however, will not take effect before the end of next month; but, unfortunately, I cannot come into Court to-day. I have therefore asked the Principal Registrar of the High Court to read for me what I had intended to say in person.

"It is now more than a quarter of a century since I took my seat as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Queensland, and very nearly sixteen years since I became Chief Justice of the Commonwealth. In discharging the duties of my office I have—I hope not without success—endeavoured to maintain the prestige and honour

VOL. XXVI.

of the Court, and to serve the people of Australia and the Empire to the best of my ability. I am glad to think that my efforts have not been unrewarded by the appreciation and good-will of the majority of those whose servant I have been. The duties and obligations of the office, although not thrust into the public gaze, are very onerous. They require many qualifications, of which one, though perhaps not the most important, is an adequate knowledge of the principles of law.

"I should like to say a great deal of the past: but there is a time for all things, a time to keep silence, and a time to speak; and I do not think this is a time fit for me to make anything like a personal retrospective review of my work in the Court since its inception, or of my relations with suitors, or with my fellow members of the Bench, and of that great branch of national service, the profession of the law. I hope, however, that I may venture to claim, with Othello, that 'I have done the State some service in my time.' Suffice it now to say that it is with great regret, and with cordial feelings of gratitude and good-will, that I take my leave of my associates on the Bench and at the Bar of the Commonwealth. Nor can I refrain from mentioning my especial regret at the absence of my oldest, and always trusty, colleague, Sir Edmund Barton, who is unhappily unable to be here to-day.

"I hope to be able, when no longer bound by the trammels of judicial office, to make an occasional contribution to the solution of the social problems by which we are confronted. Although peace between the recent belligerents has been formally signed, we see the whole world threatened with the crudest and most uninstructed forms of revolution, defying all rule and order, and denying all obligations of duty. But I hope that the majority of the people, who have for centuries enjoyed the advantages of ordered government, will follow saner counsels.

"I now say Farewell."

SIR EDMUND BARTON forwarded the following message, which was read by Isaacs J.:—"The announcement just made is of momentous importance. It marks the relinquishment of public service by one who, as statesman, and since as Judge, has served

the public for about half a century. I have sat with him since the inception of this High Court, and I testify to the ceaseless devotion, the unwearied labour, and the matchless ability with which his service has been performed. He has been a watchful guardian of the Constitution, conserving to Commonwealth and State alike the powers which that instrument of government allots to them for the liberty and welfare of the people. In him the Court loses a great Chief Justice, who will be to all who occupy his chair an example of learning and judicial conduct. Of the affection with which we, his colleagues, the legal profession of this Continent and the people alike regard him, it is unnecessary to speak."

Isaacs J. then said:—I now desire, not only for myself and my brothers present, Duffy and Rich, but also for my brothers Higgins and Powers, whose judicial duties in another State prevent them from being present to-day, to express our profound regret at the approaching severance of the learned Chief Justice from this Court, of which, since its creation, he has been the honoured and distinguished leader. We also bear willing testimony to the great learning and ability, and the fearlessness and the wholeheartedness, which Sir Samuel Griffith brought to the performance of the duties of his great office. We know that whatever status this High Court holds in the confidence of the Australian people is due in no small measure to the wisdom and capacity of its illustrious head. We sincerely deplore the circumstances which will so soon deprive us of the great talents, the ripe experience and the erudition of our We shall always have his example; and we do Chief Justice. sincerely trust that, although in retirement, he will long be spared to witness and, as he hopes, to assist in the development of his country and to watch the progress of this Court, of which he may at all times say, with absolute truth and pardonable pride, "Quorum pars magna fui."

THE HONOURABLE LITTLETON E. GROOM, Acting A.-G. for the Commonwealth, being detained at the seat of Government by urgent public business, Ryan, A.-G. for Qd., at his request, after apologizing

on his behalf for his absence and expressing his regret for not being able to be present, read the following address, which, he said, had evidently been written by the Acting Attorney-General for the Commonwealth in anticipation of Sir Samuel Griffith being present:—"On behalf of the Government and the people of the Commonwealth, on this, the last occasion that your Honor will sit in this Court as Chief Justice of Australia, I desire to express grateful appreciation of your eminent services to the Commonwealth. It is but fitting that you should bid farewell to your judicial office in the State where you had already achieved a wide reputation as a statesman and a Judge before you accepted your present distinguished office. On 7th October 1903, when you took your seat for the first time in the High Court, and the felicitations of the Bars of the Australian States were tendered to you, you remarked in reference to the weighty and responsible duties that you were undertaking: 'How I will discharge those duties I do not know, but, as I said on another memorable occasion, "Let not him that girdeth on his harness boast himself as he that putteth it off." You are now about to put that harness off, and are laying it aside after a great judicial career upon the High Court Bench, which has been marked by the exercise of those qualities and the preservation of those high ideals and noble traditions which have ever distinguished the British and Australian Judiciary. In 1903, when you and your colleagues were charged with the duty of the interpretation of the fundamental instrument of government and the settlement of the great issues which were inevitable under a written Constitution, legislation and administration under the Australian Constitution were only in their infancy. Since then the national functions of the Commonwealth have expanded to a wondrous extent, and the duties and responsibilities of the High Court have correspondingly increased. In the performance of those duties you brought to bear the strictest impartiality, great research and learning, and a judgment ripened by a wide experience obtained through the occupancy of the many high offices you have held in the State of Queensland. It is universally recognized by the profession and the public that your decisions have been wise and weighty, and have most materially helped to the efficient working of the Constitution and the progress of the

people under national administration. The High Court has also, under your presidency, won for itself as a Court of appeal from the Courts of the States the confidence of the citizens of those States, and in these decisions your individual judgments constitute an invaluable contribution to the law of Australia. On behalf of the members of the Bar, I wish also to express to you their appreciation of the courtesy and consideration you have constantly shown to them in the exercise of their high calling in this Court. all conscious of the great privilege it has been to appear before you. You are now retiring after a career rich in the accomplishment of lasting benefits to your country. The States, the Commonwealth and the Empire are under obligations to you for great work done in every office you have held. When the history of Australia is written, no name will be more honoured than that of the first Chief Justice of Australia. Our wishes are that you may now spend the rest of your days in rest and comfort, conscious of the esteem and regard of your fellow-citizens."

RYAN, A.-G. for Qd., then continued:—It now becomes my privilege to add a few valedictory words on behalf of the Government and people of Queensland, and of both branches of the legal profession. This is a great and memorable occasion—the occasion of the retirement of the first Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia, and, as Sir Edmund Barton remarked, the retirement of "a great Chief Justice," after a life of unexampled lustre and achievement. The life of Sir Samuel Griffith is unparalleled in the annals of Australia. He is a great lawyer, a great statesman, a great Judge and a great scholar. He was a member of the Queensland Parliament for a quarter of a century; and during that period he held sometimes the office of Premier and sometimes that of leader of the Opposition, and in both those capacities he showed himself worthy of leadership. He was a man with great idealsand a man who had the power to translate those ideals into realities. The Statute book of Queensland and the pages of Hansard bear eloquent testimony to his ability, his industry and his patriotism. When he retired from Queensland politics, it was amidst the regrets of those who had been his political supporters, while at the same

time preserving the profound respect of his opponents. It was felt that a great force had disappeared from the public life of Queensland, and that Parliament had lost a stately and commanding figure. Even his political opponents of the time testified to his worth, and admitted the purity of his motives. Even in those matters in which they considered he had committed mistakes, they allowed that those mistakes were not due to any self-interest or Sir Samuel then became Chief Justice self-seeking on his part. of Queensland, and, although he was the successor to many eminent men in that position, he soon showed how far he excelled in legal knowledge, judicial capacity, urbanity and tireless industry. In 1903 the Commonwealth Government of the day selected him as the one man who was the suitable person to appoint to the high and honourable office of Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia. In that capacity he gave to the deliberations of this Court those characteristics which now distinguish it. His decisions always embodied the truth as it appeared to him, and they were always couched in language which was as luminous as it was concise. I think that not only his great mind but his previous experience in many high offices eminently fitted him for his important duties as Chief Justice of the Commonwealth. It gives me particular pleasure to be able to refer to the unfailing courtesy and encouragement which he always extended to young practitioners appearing before him in the delightful atmosphere of this Court. He is now retiring from the great position which he so long has occupied with so much lustre, and the best wishes of the people of Australia follow him. Queenslanders especially are proud of him-proud of the man who raised himself to such eminence in this State, and left so abiding a mark on its public and social life, on its legislation and jurisprudence. The people of the Commonwealth will ever hold in grateful remembrance the man who took so large a part in framing its Constitution, and who so worthily presided over its High Court. Throughout the Empire, where Sir Samuel's name is almost as well known as amongst ourselves, the loss which the Australian Bench will suffer by his retirement will readily be recognized. Though he retires from the Bench, I cannot imagine him spending his time idly, or letting his great faculties rust. I am sure that if his services are required in any public capacity or good cause they will be promptly available. I feel that his life and ideals will be an example and an incentive to young men of honourable ambition; and he may be pointed to as proof that in Australia the highest positions are open to men of great ability, combined with lofty character, untiring industry and exalted public spirit. I wish to express my deepest regret at parting company with Sir Samuel Griffith in his capacity as Chief Justice. My deep sympathy goes out to him in his illness, and my good wishes accompany him into his retirement. May I hope that he may still be able to render some service to the body politic.

FEEZ K.C. said: —After the many eloquent tributes that have been paid to the great man who is shortly throwing off his robes of office as Chief Justice of the Commonwealth, I feel great diffidence in adding anything to what has been said. Your Honors will pardon me for adding a few words in my own halting language, which, however inadequate to the occasion, is inspired by the deepest sincerity and most poignant regret. I wish to speak of Sir Samuel Griffith not only as a great Judge but also as a great friend. Before giving expression to the few sentences I wish to utter, I would like to say that I have been requested by the members of the Bar in Victoria, and also by the members of the Bar in New South Wales, to associate them with any remarks that I make to-day. I wish to express on behalf of those associations—the members of those two Bars—their extreme regret that they were unable to make arrangements for personal representation here to-day. That disability arises from the fact that this valedictory ceremony takes place before they have had sufficient notification of it. Sir Samuel Griffith, who has been described in the highest terms, and, as I sincerely believe, the truest terms, spent the greater portion of his life until his appointment as Chief Justice of the Commonwealth here in Queensland. He spent the earlier years of his life in the turmoil of politics, and in the strenuous activities of the profession to which we are all proud to belong, and I cannot help thinking that it was the great strain of the almost overwhelming amount of work he undertook, carrying on a big practice and at the same time governing the

destinies of this State, which unfortunately led to the disability which prevents him from any longer sitting on this Bench. I wish to speak of him not only as a Judge but also as a friend, and it is we of the Bar of Queensland who can particularly speak in that way. I cannot help remembering when I came to this Bar as a young, inexperienced man, without friends and practically unknown, the kindly welcoming hand that was held out to me by the man who was then my leader at the Bar, and who afterwards became Chief of the Court before which I practice. My experience was in no way singular. I think that every man—every junior who was called to the Bar-can look back and sincerely say that not only was Sir Samuel Griffith great in many other respects, but great always as a friend. His assistance was always open to us; his kindly help was always at our disposal; and when he left us to join the Bench in 1893 we all felt that we had lost a friend and adviser. I cannot help feeling the sad contrast between this day and that day early in 1893 when His Honor was welcomed as Chief Justice of Queensland. On that occasion the congratulations of the Bar were delivered by a man who would also have been a great man had he not unfortunately been taken comparatively early in life. I refer to the late T. J. Byrnes. I only wish my powers of speech were such that I could express myself as he did on that occasion, which was an occasion of rejoicing and felicitation. This is one of regret and valediction. His Honor, when he was sworn in as Chief Justice of Queensland in 1893, set before himself a very high ideal of judicial life. I sincerely believe that he has lived up to that ideal in all his years of splendid work on this Bench. He was chosen by acclaim over the whole of Australia as the man most fitted to be appointed as its first Chief Justice. His career as its Chief Justice shows that acclaim was well deserved. During sixteen years His Honor has occupied that high and dignified position, and during that sixteen years, luckily for Australia, he is, with the exception of that dear lovable man, Mr. Justice O'Connor, the only loss the Bench has suffered. Chief Justice, during his tenure of office, exemplified what I believe to be the highest ideals of a great Judge. His inflexible integrity, his high conception of what was fair and just, his great ability, his comprehensive and exact knowledge of the law, his dignity and fairness, his attention to arguments, his promptness in the despatch of business, and his phenomenal industry, set a very high standard of judicial life. He was, indeed, I think I can say with all sincerity, specially endowed with judicial attributes. His decisions were masterpieces of erudite and concise juristic opinion; they will become beacon lights of learning for the profession for all time. Well may His Honor truly lay the flattering unction to his soul that his great work has been nobly done. He retires from the Bench with his honours full upon him, and now that the time has come for him to lay aside the mantle of his high office, I am quite sure that everyone will agree with me when I say that he lays it down unsmirched and undefiled, and that the ermine that he was allowed to adopt when he became Chief Justice remains as pure as it was the day he put it on. They say no man is indispensable. Whether or not that aphorism is true, I am sure that everyone who knew His Honor will agree with me that he was as nearly indispensable in his office as any man could be. And I think we can all say with truth that we do not envy the onerousness of the task of his successor in that office. We say good-bye to our Chief in the truest sense of the word. We all hope that his release from the strain of work, the heavy work which we know is part of the office of the Judge of this Court, will enable Sir Samuel to obtain improved health. One of the saddest things that strike us on an occasion like this is the thought that, though that great mentality is still there, its usefulness is impaired by mere physical disability. All I wish to say further to our dear, our honoured, our respected and beloved Chief is "Good-bye."

PER CURIAM:—The Registrar is directed formally to record the day's proceedings.

The mode of citation of this volume of the Commonwealth Law Reports will be as follows:—

26 C.L.R.

A TABLE

OF THE

NAMES OF THE CASES REPORTED

IN THIS VOLUME.

. A	PAGE	_			in.	PAGI
Adams v. Federal Commissioner		Boese v .	Farleigh	Estate	Suga	ar
of Land Tax	341	Co. Lto	d	-	-	- 477
Adelaide Milling Co. Ltd., Aus-		Bowles v.	. Federal ation -			
tralian Workers' Union v	460					
Australian Journalists' Case -	404	Box, Wil		_		
Australian Timber Workers'						
Union v. John Sharp & Sons		Brett v . I				
Ltd	9	Brown, E				
Australian Workers' Union v.		Bugge v .	Brown	-	-	- 110
Adelaide Milling Co. Ltd		Burke, M	loors v .	-	-	- 265
ridetalde Milling Co. Liu.	200			C-		
В		Common	wealth, S	Summers	s v .	- 180
Bacchus Marsh Concentrated		Common	wealth (Court o	f Cor	n-
Milk Co. Ltd. (in Liquidation)	:	ciliatio	n and A	Arbitrati	on, I	₹.
v. Joseph Nathan & Co. Ltd.	410	v. ; Ex	parte D	aily Nev	vs Pro	0-
Bankstown Municipal Council $v.$		prietar	y Ltd.		-	- 404
Fripp	385	Common	wealth]	Public 1	Servi	ce
Barr Smith, Brett v	87	Commi	ssioner,]	R. v.; E	lx par	te
Beal v. Duncan	276	O'Brier	n -	-	-	- 380

	PAGE	PAGE
Condogianis, Guardian Assur-	FAGE	Fripp, Bankstown Municipal
ance Co. Ltd. v	231	Council v 385
Co-operative Estates Ltd. v .		G
Wilkinson	377	Gellion v. Elder's Trustee and
D	4	Executor Co. Ltd 292
Daily News Proprietary Ltd.,		Gibson & Howes Ltd., Lennon v. 285
Ex parte	404	Goode (Matthew) & Co. Ltd.,
Dalgety & Co. Ltd. v. Gray -	249	Threlfall v 217
Davies v. Davies	348	Gray, Dalgety & Co. Ltd. v 249
Dent v. Moore	316	Guardian Assurance Co. Ltd. v.
Deputy Federal Commissioner of		Condogianis 231
Land Tax (Qd.), Queensland	ĺ	H.
Trustees Ltd. v	485	Hendy v. Rider 5
Duncan, Theodore and Beal v	276	Honan, Farmer v 183
Dunne, Farmer v	183	Huddart Parker Ltd., McGregor
H	1	v 336
Elder's Trustee and Executor	4	
Co. Ltd., Gellion v	292	Isaacs, Elias v 99
Elias v. Isaacs	99	
F		J
Farleigh Estate Sugar Co. Ltd.,		John Sharp & Sons Ltd., Aus-
Boese v	477	tralian Timber Workers
Farmer v. Honan and Dunne -	183	Union v 302
Fealy v. Public Trustee (N.S.W.)	407	Joseph Nathan & Co. Ltd., Bac-
Federal Commissioner of Land	5	chus Marsh Concentrated Milk
Tax, Adams v	341	Co. Ltd. (in Liquidation) v 410
(Deputy), Queensland		Judd, R. v 168
Trustees Ltd. v	485	K
Federal Commissioner of Taxa-		King, Vockler v 366
tion, Bowles v		King, The. See R.
—————————————————————————————————————	175	L
, Melrose v	494	Land Tax Commissioner. See
Federated Municipal and Shire		Federal Commissioner of Land
Council Employees' Union of		Tax.
Australia v . Melbourne Cor-		Lazarus, Minister for Home and
poration	508	Territories v 159

- 200 Summers v. The Commonwealth 180

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED.

xvii.

26 C.L.R.]

Shelley v.

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED.

- 217

Trivett v. McDonald - - 156 Troy v. Wrigglesworth - 305

xviii.

Co. Ltd.

- 305 Wrigglesworth, Troy v. - - 305

Williamstown Corporation v.

Ltd. v. - - - -

[1918-1919.

- 377

103

TABLE OF CASES CITED.

		A		
A C Snelding & Brog a A	137			PAGE
A. G. Spalding & Bros. v . A. Gamage Ltd	. }	32 R.P.C., 273	•	442
Adam v. Maughan .		2 Tax Cas., 541	•	549
Ah Fan v. Sturt	-	2 V.L.R. (L.), 201		354
Alcock v. Delay		4 El. & Bl., 660 .		322
Alexandria (Borough of) v . Coop	er .	11 N.S.W.L.R. (L.), 166		403
Alison's Case		L.R. 9 Ch., 1		23
Allen v. Allen & D'Arcy .		2 Sw. & Tr., 107	·.•	409
Allen Taylor & Co., Ex parte		15 C.L.R., 586		543
Altham's Case		8 Rep., 148a		71
Amos v. Chadwick		4 Ch. D., 869		105
Anctil v. Manufacturers' Life In ance Co	sur-}	(1899) A.C., 604		355
Anderson v . Fitzgerald .	•	4 H.L.C., 484		236
Angell v . Duke		32 L.T. (N.S.), 320		323
Apollo (Owners of) v. Port Talbot	Co.	(1891) A.C., 499		155
Applebee v . Percy .		L.R. 9 C.P., 647		138
Ashling v . Boon		(1891) 1 Ch., 568		319
Atkin v. Kansas	•	191 U.S., 207		512
Attorney-General v . Birmingh Tame & Rea District Drain Board		(1912) A.C., 788	••	354
v. Brecon (Mayor of)		10 Ch. D., 204 .		528
v. Gaskill		22 Ch. D., 537		106
v. Glossop .	•	(1907) 1 K.B., 163 .		93
v. Leicester Corporatio	n.	9 Beav., 546		526
v. Scott		1 Tax Cas., 55		548
(Commonwealth) v. laide Steamship Co.	$Ade \cdot $	(1913) A.C., 781; 18 C.	L.R., 30	425
— v. Colonial Sugar Refi	ning {	(1914) A.C., 237; 17 C.L	.R., 644	24
Licence Holders' Association	toba) n.}	(1902) A.C., 73 .		512
(New South Wales Brewery Employees' Union New South Wales	n of }		* *•	572
v. Collector of Customs	s for }	5 C.L.R., 818		512

								PAGE
Attorney-Gov. Cura	eneral (New tor of Intest	South V tate Es	Vales) (tates)	(1907) A.C., 519)	•		532
City Co	Queensland) uncil	v. Bri	sbane)	(1909) A.C., 582	; 8 C.I	.R., 7	67 .	397
Auckland C v . Love	arters' Indu tt .	strial \	Union $\{$	3 N.Z. Gaz. L.I	ર., 3ા			543
Australasian tion Co	United Sterns v . Hiskens	eam Na	aviga- }	18 C.L.R., 646	•	•		337
vern Tr	tion $v.$ Prah ${ m amway}$ Trus	ıran & st .	Mal-	17 C.L.R., 680		•	• .	543
Australian V alists' F	Vorkers' Uni 'ederal Coun	on v . Pacil	astor-}	23 C.L.R., 22		•	302	, 543
				В				
Badge Case	. •		•	17 C.L.R., 680	·•	·		543
Baker v . Bal	ker .		•	6 H.L.C., 616				490
v. De	ale .	٠		1 F. & F., 271	•			319
v. Ea	ırl of Bradfo	$\mathbf{r}\mathbf{d}$	•	114 L.T., 1144	• •	:•		113
v. He	edgecock			39 Ch. D., 520	٠.			434
v. Ni	xon .			7 S.C.R. (N.S.W	.), 15		.,•	319
Balcombe v .	Balcombe	.•	. •	(1908) P., 176	•			353
Baldwin v . C	asella .			L.R. 7 Ex., 325			:•	138
Banbury v .	Bank of Mon	treal		(1918) A.C., 626		101,	335,	389
Bank of Nev			on .	(1900) A.C., 182				427
Barnes v. Nu	nnery Collie	ry Co.		(1912) A.C., 44				118
Baroness We	enlock v . Riv	er Dee	Co.	36 Ch. D., 674				105
Barree v. Cit	y of Cape G	irardea	u.	114 Am. St. Rep	., 763			536
Barrett v. M	arkham			L.R. 7 C.P., 405	•		. •	158
Barwick v. E	nglish Joint	Stock I	Bank	L.R. 2 Ex., 259	•			131
Batchelor v .	Smith .			5 V.L.R. (L.), 1	76; 1 A	.L.T.,	12	112
Bath's Case			.•	8 Ch. D., 334				106
Bathurst v. I	Macpherson			4 App. Cas., 256				542
Baxter v. Ah	Way .			8 C.L.R., 626				18
v. Cor (N.S.W.)		of Taxa	ation }	4 C.L.R., 1087	• .		305,	512
Baylis v . Wa	tkins .			33 L.J. Ch., 300			•	409
Beaudry v . B	arbeau			(1900) A.C., 569			•	295
Beaulieu v . F	`inglam		•	Y.B., 2 Hen. IV.	, 18, pl.	6	:•	115
Becquet v . M	acCarthy		. (2 B. & Ad., 951			:•	129
Bennett $v.\ \mathbf{L}_0$	ord Bury		. 3	5 C.P.D., 339		•		105
Bentley v . Ma	ackay .	•		4 D. F. & J., 279	1			433
Birchall v . B	allough		•)	(1896) 1 Q.B., 32	25			317
Black v. Chr	istchurch F	'inance	Co.	(1894) A.C., 48				111
Blovelt v . Sa	wyer .		. ((1904) I K.B., 27	71			128
В—п v. В-	—n.	•		l Sp. Eccl. & Ad	m., 248			2
Boden, In re	; Boden v . I	Boden		(1907) 1 Ch., 132		•		489
Bohemians C	Thub v . Actioner of Tax	ng Fed	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{leral} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right\}$	24 C.L.R., 334 .			. •	177

	26 C.L.R.]	CASES	CITED.		xxj.
					PAGE
	Bonham, In re; Ex par master-General.	$\left. egin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Post-} \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{array} ight\}$	10 Ch. D., 595 .	474	, 537
	Bonnewell v . Jenkins		8 Ch. D., 70 .		186
	Borrow v . Howland		74 L.T., 787 .	•	504
	Boulcott's Settlement, Re v . Boulcott	; Wood }	104 L.T., 205 .		492
	Boulter v. Peplow .		9 C.B., 493 .		326
	Bouverie v . Bouverie		2 Ph., 349 .	• •	298
	Bowden Wire Ltd. v. Bowder Co	• • • • • •			442
	Bowles v. Federal Commis Taxation	sioner of	26 C.L.R., 205 .	•	228
	Bradley, In re .		(1912) 1 K.B., 415	·	233
	Bradshaw v. Bradshaw		(1897) P., 24 .		3
	Bright v. Rowe .		3 Myl. & K., 316		301
	Broken Hill Associated Proprietary Ltd. v. Co.	$\operatorname{Smelters}_{\operatorname{llector}}$	-		468
	Imposts (Vict.)	, ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .,			
	Broken Hill Proprietary parte	$\{0., Ex\}$	8 C.L.R., 419 .	•	571
	Brown v . Hodgson .		(1912) 2 Ch., 479		488
	v. Lewis .		12 T.L.R., 455		139
	v. Smith .		4 Tax Cas., 435 .	. ,	549
	v. Watts .		1 Taunt., 353 .		320
	Bruce v. Harcourt .		Par., 274 .		469
	Buckmaster v . Buckmaster		L.R. 1 P. & M., 7	13	357
	Builders' Labourers' Case		((1917) A.C., 528; (18 C.L.R., 22		;), 550
	Bullmaster v . City of Josep	h.	70 Mo. App., 60		536
· ·	Burgess v. Northwich Local	Board .	6 Q.B.D., 264 .		106
	Burton v . Reevell .		16 M. & W., 307		93
	Butler v. Fife Coal Co.	•	(1912) A.C., 149		550
			C		
	Cabell v . Vaughan		1 Wms. Saund., 2	91	139
	Campbell v. Rickards		5 B. & Ad., 840.		233
	Campbell's Case .		L.R. 9 Ch., 1 .	•	17
	Carmichael v . Gee $$.		5 App. Cas., 588		491
	Carslake v. Caulfield Shire		17 V.L.R., 560;		512
	Cazenove v. British Equitab ance Co.	ole Assur-		; 6 Jur. (N.S.),	233
	Chantler v . Chantler	• •	4 C.L.R., 585 .	•	352
	Charles R. Davidson & Co. v	. M'Robb	(1918) A.C., 304	. ,	112
	Charlesworth v . Mills		(1892) A.C., 231		271
	Charrington & Co. v. Woode	er .	(1914) A.C., 71 .		425
	Charter v . Charter .		84 L.T., 272 .	• •	2
	Cheshire v . Bailey .		(1905) 1 K.B., 23	<i>i</i>	118
	Christchurch United Tram Union of Workers <i>v</i> church Tramway Co.	way &c.	2 N.Z. Gaz. L.R.,	104	543
	VOL. XXVI.	••		C.	
				•	

xxii. CASES	CITED.	[1918-1	919.
		F	AGE
Christie v. Christie	25 V.L.R., 97; 21 A.L.	Т., 43 .	349
Citizens' Life Assurance Co. v. Brown	(1904) A.C., 423		117
Clancy v. Butchers' Shop Employees' Union	1 C.L.R., 181 .	•	543
Clunes United Co. v. Clunes Borough Council	2 W. W. & aB. (L.), 96		354
Cobar Corporation Ltd. v. Attorney- General for New South Wales . }	9 C.L.R., 378 .		319
Cochrane v. Federal Commissioner of Land Tax		. 341,	485
	11 Wall., 113 .		512
Collins, Ex parte; R. v. Collins .		.T 118	353
	14 App. Cas., 493		228
	6 App. Cas., 315		228
Commissioner of Land Tax v. Nathan		- <u>-</u>	161
Commissioners for Special Purposes of Income Tax v. Pemsel	(1891) A.C., 531		500
Commissioners of Inland Revenue v. Glasgow & South-Western Railway Co.		. ,	161
v. Muller & Co.'s Margarine Ltd.	(1901) A.C., 217	. 164,	438
Commissioners of Stamps v . Queens land Meat Export Co	(1917) A.C., 624		197
Commissioners of Taxation v. Teece	(1899) A.C., 254		496
Commonwealth v . Brisbane Milling Co	21 C.L.R., 559		371
v. New South Wales .	25 C.L.R., 325 .		512
Conway v. Pumpherston Oil Co	(1911) S.C., 660 .	. ,	119
·*	(1909) A.C., 506		543
Cooke v. Midland Great Western Railway of Ireland	(1909) A.C., 229		138
	9 App. Cas., 61.		512
Cooper v. Commissioner of Income Tax for Queensland	4 C.L.R., 1304 .		11
works	25 Ch. D., 472 .		167
	(1909) 2 Ch., 148; 25 T.I	L.R., 593	~
		158,	
-	(1918) A.C., 199.	•	500
	(1899) 1 Q.B., 145		138
	(1913) V.L.R., 304; 35 (1903) 2 Ch., 337	а.ы.т., 1	$\frac{349}{379}$
-	12 Q.B.D., 126 .	•	368
		•	
	8 N.S.W.L.R., 255	• •	320
	1 Salk., 192 .	•	526
Currie & Co. v. Currie	15 R.P.C., 339 .	•	425

Darby v.Ouseley		26 C.L.R.]	CASES	CITED.		X	xiii.
Dallmore v. Williams 29 T.L.R., 67 544				D			
Davis v. Davis Colore Co		Darby v.Ouseley . Davidson (Charles R.) & M'Robb .		1 H. & N., 1 (1918) A.C., 30	4 .		544 334 112 340
Deputy Federal Commissioner of Land Tax (N.S.W.) v. Hindmarsh 14 C.L.R., 334 488		ance Co. of New Zealar Davis v . Davis . Dawes v . Quarrel . Dawkins v . Dawkins De Laubenque v . De Laube	nd	(1918) P., 85 0 S.A.L.R., 1 8 A.L.R. (C.N. (1899) P., 42			233 3 58 353 3 , 512
Co. \$ (1886) 2 Q.H., 406		Deputy Federal Commiss Land Tax (N.S.W.) marsh . Dixon Crucible Co. v. Gugg	v. Hind enheim .	14 C.L.R., 334 2 Brews. (Pa.),			150 489 425
EARLE v. Bellingham [No. 1]		Co. Dobson v. Sinclair Drake v. Drake Dred Scott v. Sandford Dubowski & Sons v. Goldste Dunn v. The Queen		8 V.L.R. (L.), 22 V.L.R., 391 19 How., 393 (1896) 1 Q.B., (1896) 1 Q.B., (1906) V.L.R.,	69; 3 A. : 18 A.L 478 116 493; 28	.T., 149 .	337 349 3 545 425 59 363 116
Edwards, In re; Jones v. Jones (1906) 1 Ch., 570			:	E			
Essex & Suffolk Accident Indemnity Society, In re	*	Edwards, $In \ re$; Jones v . J Elder v . Definis Emperor v . Rolfe . Equitable Life Assurance S	ones	(1906) 1 Ch., 5 22 V.L.R., 125 1 Ves., 208	; 18 A.L		489 295 92 297 355
		Society, In re. Etherington, In re.	§ 	(1909) 1 K.B.,			233 233 337
Farnell v. Bowman					•		345
		Farnell v . Bowman . Farrer v . Barker .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100 12 App. Cas., 6- 9 Ha., 737	43	• •	531 301

xxiv.	CASES	CITED.		[19	918-1	919.
					1	PAGE
Federated Amalgamated ment Railway & Tra						
vice Association v . I	New South }	4 C.L.R., 488			463,	511
Wales Railway Traployees' Association	affic Em-					
Federated Engine-Drivers						
men's Association of A		12 C.L.R., 398			462.	512
[No. 1]	ictary Co.	•				
v [No	. 2]	16 C.L.R., 245		•	:•	512
Federated Saw Mill &c. E						
Association of Aust James Moore & Sons P		8 C.L.R., 465				543
Ltd)					
Fengl v . Fengl .		(1914) P., 274	•		•	318
Fielder v . Ray .		6 Bing., 332	•	•	•	320
Fielding v . Thomas	• •	(1896) A.C., 600		•	•	18
Filliter v. Phippard		11 Q.B., 347	•	.•		112
Fitzgerald v . Fitzgerald		1 P. & M., 694		•		3
Flint v. Stone Tracy Co.		220 U.S., 107	•	•	462,	512°
Florence Land & Public W In re	Vorks Co.,}	10 Ch. D., 530		•	•	67
Follit v . Koetzow .		2 E. & E., 730;	29 L.J.	M.C.,	128	360
Forbes v. Watt	1	L.R. 2 H.L. (Sc.), 214		•	436
Forster v. Forster .	1	l Hag. Con., 144		•		3
	1	15 L.J. Ch., 183			•	489
Fowkes v. Manchester & Life Assurance &c. A	$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{London} \\ \operatorname{ssociation} \end{array} \right\}$	3 B. & S., 917		٠.	•	233
Fowle v . Park .		31 U.S., 88				434
Fowles v. Eastern & A Steamship Co.	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{ustralian} \\ \cdot \end{array} \right\}$ 1	7 C.L.R., 149	-			512
Fram-Jee Cowas-Jee v. Tho	mpson . 5	Moo. P.C.C., 1	73			226
Fraser v. City of Fraserville	. (1917) A.C., 187				165
Freehill, In re; Ex parte Mo	Laughlin 8	8 S.R. (N.S.W.),	158			409
Frith, In re	1	7 N.S.W.L.R. (L.), 421	:0	•)	267
Fusilier, The .	3	4 L.J. P. M. &	A., 27	. •	1	573
	G	;				
GARFITT v. Allen .	3	7 Ch. D., 48 .			. 9	345
~ D 11		App. Cas., 944				7
Gautret v . Egerton .		.R. 2 C.P., 371				152
Gee v. Mahood .	1	1 Ch. D., 891 .		•	. /	490
Gibbons v , Ogden		Wheat., 1 .				546
Gilbert v . Corporation of House	Trinity 1	7 Q.B.D., 795 .		•	. 4	512
Glendarroch, The	(1	1894) P., 226 .			. ;	337
Golding v. Royal London A Insurance Co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 T.L.R., 350		-		233
Gordon v. Bank of New Sou	th Wales 7	N.S.W.L.R., 12	2			320·
		C.L.R., 316 .				321

26 C.L.R.]	ASES	CITED.		xxv.
				PAGE
Gorman v. Wills		4 C.L.R., 764	_	. 137
Gould v. Stuart		(1896) A.C., 575	•	. 59
Graham v. Graham .		25 V.L.R., 101; 21 A.L.	Т., 63	. 353
Grant, Ex parte		9 N.S.W.W.N., 77		. 19
Graves v. Graves		3 Sw. & Tr., 350		. 2
Gray v. Smith		43 Ch. D., 208 .		. 439
Greig v. University of Edinburg	h .	L.R. 1 H.L. (Sc.), 348	·•	. 538
	•			. 360
Guarantee Co. v. Title Guarant	y Co.			. 532
	E			
TT				o ari
HADLEY v. Perks	Withans	L.R. 1 Q.B., 444	•	. 267
Hairdressers' &c. Union v. E	snek (3 N.Z. Gaz. L.R., 267	•	. 544
Hallihan v. Hallihan .		(1913) V.L.R., 443; 35 A	A.L.T., 70	0 353
Hamilton, Fraser & Co. v. Par & Co	$\frac{1}{2}$	12 App. Cas., 518		. 337
Hamlet, In re; Stephen v. Cum ham	ning-}	39 Ch. D., 426 .		. 295
Hamlyn v . Houston & Co	٠.	(1903) I K.B., 81		. 116
Harcourt v. Fox		1 Show., 426; 506		. 42
Hardaker v. Idle District Counc	il .	(1896) 1 Q.B., 335		. 112
Harding v. Brynddu Colliery Co		(1911) 2 K.B., 747	•	. 114
Harnor v. Groves		15 C.B., 667		. 322
Harper, Ex parte; In re McCulle	och .	8 N.S.W.L.R. (L.), 167		. 409
Hart's Registered Trade Mark,	$In \ re$	(1902) 2 Ch., 621		. 425
Hatmaker v. Nathan & Co.		34 R.P.C., 317 .	•	. 425
Hayward v. Drury Lane The Ltd	eatre)	(1917) 2 K.B., 899		. 148
Heiner v. Scott		19 C.L.R., 381 .		. 512
Henderson v . Arthur .	•	(1907) 1 K.B., 10		. 323
Henry v . Harper		29 V.L.R., 667; 25 A.	L.T., 228	354
Henry Leetham & Sons Ltd Johnstone-White	v. }	(1907) 1 Ch., 322		425
Herbert Morris Ltd. v. Saxelby		(1916) 1 A.C., 688		434
Hern v. Nichols	•	1 Salk., 289 .		117
Hill v. Boston		122 Mass., 344 .		535
—— v. Fearis	•	(1905) 1 Ch., 466		438
Hodge v . The Queen .		9 App. Cas., 117	· 5	.85
$\operatorname{Holmes} v.$ North-Eastern Railwa	y Co	L.R. 4 Ex., 254; L.R. 6	Ex., 123	3 148
Hooper, $In \ re$; Baylis v . Watki		33 L.J. Ch., 300		409
Hopkins v. Swansea .	•	4 M. & W., 621	. , .	526
Horton v. Hall	•	L.R. 17 Eq., 437		489
${\bf Houldsworth} v. {\bf City} \ {\bf of} \ {\bf Glasgow} \ {\bf F}$	Bank	5 App. Cas., 317		117
Howard v . Worcester .		153 Mass., 426 .		542
Howard Smith & Co. v . Varawa	•	5 C.L.R., 68		185
Howarth, In re; Howarth v. Ma	kin-}	(1909) 2 Ch., 19	• , •	489

xxvi.	CASES	CITED.	[1918-1	919.
94			:- 1	PAGE
Howgrave v. Cartier		3 V. & B., 79 .		292
TT		8 Dowl. P.R., 478		319
——— v. Co. of Munroe		147 U.S., 49 .		541
Hunt v. Hunt		31 L.J. Ch., 161		353
Hunter v. Parke .		7 M. & W., 322		327
Hutchins v. London County	Council	85 L.J. Q.B., 1177	. :	118
•				
		I		
IMPERIAL Hydropathic Ho Blackpool, v. Hampson	otel Co.,}	23 Ch. D., 1		18
Income Tax Commissioners		(1891) A.C., 531		500
Indermaur v. Dames		L.R. 1 C.P., 274; L.R. 2	2 C.P., 311	137
.0	•	15 C.L.R., 267 .		7
Inglis v . Buttery .		3 App. Cas., 552	. 323	, 427
Inland Revenue Commissi Glasgow & South-West way Co.	J			161
	Iargarine)	(1001) A CL 1015	164	. 438
Ltd		(1901) A.C., 217	. 104	, 430
Interleaf Publishing Co. v. P				319
Ivay v . Hedges	•	9 Q.B.D., 80 .		137
	J	Г		
J. C. Williamson Ltd., Ex pe	arte .	15 C.L.R., 576 .		543
Jackson v. Dover .		2 Hem. & M., 209		295
Jenkins v. Great Western R	ailway .	(1912) 1 K.B., 525	, .	140
Joel v. Law Union & Crow ance Co.	n Insur-}	(1908) 2 K.B., 863	• •	233
John Stewart & Son (1912) Longhurst	C in B+T	(1917) A.C., 249		121
Johnson v . Donaldson	•,	6 V.L.R. (Eq.), 121; 2	A.L.T., 12	451
——— v. Lindsay		(1891) A.C., 371		567
Jones v. Commonwealth Conciliation and Arbitr		(1917) A.C., 528; 24 C.I 18 C.L.R., 224	L.R., 396 ; 549,	550
——— v. Festiniog Railway	•	L.R. 3 Q.B., 733		114
v. Jones .	. :-	1 C. & M., 721 .		329
; In re Ed	lwards .	(1906) 1 Ch., 570		295
v. Tatham .		20 Pa. St. Rep., 398		532
Jordeson v . Sutton &c. Gas.	Co	(1899) 2 Ch., 217		379
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Joseph } v. \ \textbf{Colonial Treasure} \\ \textbf{South Wales} \end{array}$	r of New }	25 C.L.R., 32		462
Joseph Rand Ltd. v. Craig .		(1918) W.N., 290; 312		113
Jumbunna Coal Mine, No l v. Victorian Coal Min sociation	$\left. egin{array}{ll} ext{Liability,} \ ext{ners'} & ext{As-} \ ext{.} \end{array} ight.$	6 C.L.R., 309 .		512
	1	ζ		
KAIN v. Old		2 B. & C., 627 .		323
Keane v. Janes	•	2 Car. & K., 725	•	$\frac{323}{319}$
ixeano o, gantes .	•	2 Out to 11., 120	•	OLO

26 C.L.R.]	CASES	CITED.		XΣ	xvii.
					PAGE
Keates v. Lewis Merthyr dated Collieries	$\left. egin{array}{c} \operatorname{Consoli-} \ . \end{array} ight. ight.$	(1911) A.C., 641	٠	٠.	550
Kelly v. Hayes .		22 N.Z.L.R., 429	•	:•	114
Kennedy v. Kennedy		(1907) P., 49			353
Keyes, In re .		5 N.S.W.L.R. (L.), 359			267
Killeen, Ex, parte .		18 C.L.R., 586 .			382
Killen, Ex parte .		1 N.S.W.W.N., 15			388
King v. Cole .		2 Ex., 628			317
Knight v. Barber .		16 M. & W., 66	•		320
Knowles, In re; Nottage	v. Buxton	21 Ch D., 806 .			295
Kopitoff v. Wilson .	•	1 Q.B.D., 377 .	4.	:•	337
	3	L *			
Lalit Mohun Singh Roy v . Roy	Chukkun }	24 Calc., 846; L.R. 24	Ind. Ap	р.,	93
Lancashire & Varkshire			•	•	
Lancashire & Yorkshire Insurance Co., In re		(1909) 1 K.B., 591			233
Lancashire & Yorkshire Re v . Highley	ailway Co. }	(1917) A.C., 352			117
Land Tax Commissioner v .	Nathan .	16 C.L.R., 654		:•	161
Langston v. Langston		2 Cl. & F., 194 .			67
Larkin v. Long .		(1915) A.C., 814	•		543
Latham v. R. Johnson & Ltd	Nephew }	(1913) 1 K.B., 398			137
Leader v . Duffey .		13 App. Cas., 294			301
Leather Cloth Co. v. Lorson	nt	L.R. 9 Eq., 345.			42
Lee v . Lee		1 Drew & Sm., 85		·	298
Leetham & Sons Ltd. v. J White		(1907) 1 Ch., 322			425
Lennard's Carrying Co. Petroleum Co	v. Asiatic		1915) A	.C.	337
Leonard v . Federal Commi	issioner of)	705 .	•	•	
Taxation .	}	26 C.L.R., 175	•	•	496
Lewis v . Brass .		3 Q.B.D., 667 .	/-		185
Lewis & Sons v. Mayor of S	Swansea	4 T.L.R., 706 .	.•		337
Limpus v . London General Co.	$\left\{\begin{array}{cc} Omnibus \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{array}\right\}$	1 H. & C., 526 .		•	112
Lion, The		L.R. 2 P.C., 525.			271
Lisson, Ex parte .		2 S.R. (N.S.W.), 373			272
Lloyd v. Grace, Smith & Co	o	(1912) A.C., 716			112
London & Lancashire Insu v . Honey .	rance Co.	2 V.L.R. (L.), 7			233
London Association of S. & Brokers v. London Docks Joint Committee	a & India }	(1892) 3 Ch., 242			526
London Assurance v. Mans	el .	11 Ch. D., 363			233
London Marine Insurance tion, In re (Smith's Ca	Associa- \	L.R. 4 Ch., 611	•		330
Lord Advocate v. Stewart		(1902) A.C., 344			0.2
Louis v. Grigg	•	14 S.R. (N.S.W.), 78		•	93
		1 x N. 10. (13. 13. 14), 10	•	•	318

xxviii.	CASES	CITED.	[1918-1919), . .
			PAG	E
Lovegrove v. London, Bri South Coast Railway C	$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{ighton} & \& \\ \operatorname{o.} & . \end{array} \right\}$	16 C.B. (N.S.), 669		8
Lundon & Whitaker Cla 1871, In re	$\left. egin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Act} \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right\}$	2 N.Z. App. Cas., 41	. 1	8
<u>.</u>	114	τ		
McCulloch v. Maryland		4 Wheat., 316	51	2
, In re; Ex parte			. 40	
McEllistrim v. Ballymacell operative Agricultural a Society	igott Co-)		44	
McFadden v. Blue Star Line	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	/1005) 1 K P #07	. 35	7
Mackenzie v. Coulson		(1905) 1 K.B., 697	. 42	
v. Mackenzie		L.R. 8 Eq., 368.		3
McLaughlin, Ex parte; In r		(1895) A.C., 384	40	
Maclenan v. Segar				
Macland a Attornov Canara	I for Now 4	(1917) 2 K.B., 325	14	·U
Macleod v. Attorney-General South Wales		(1891) A.C., 455		2
Madden v. Rhodes .		(1906) 1 K.B., 534	13	i8
Magnay v. Knight .		1 Man. & G., 944	32	20
Magnolia Metal Co.'s Trad $In \ re$	e Marks, }	(1897) 2 Ch., 371	42	25
Male v. Male .	§		4 A.L.T.,	
		123	35	_
Marais, Ex parte .		(1902) A.C., 51 .		.9 .
Marine Investment Co. v. H			. 35	
Marshall v. Berridge	•	19 Ch. D., 233 .	42	
Martin's Claim		L.R. 14 Eq., 148		
Matheson v. Ross .	• . •		. 3	
Matthews v. Forgie		(1917) N.Z.L.R., 921	11	.3
Maynard v. Consolidated I lieries Corporation Ltd	j	(1903) 2 K.B., 121	. 3	
Mayor v. Ray .		19 Wall., 468; 86 U.S.,		
Mercantile Bank of Sydney		(1893) A.C., 317	42	27
Merchant Service Guild of asia v. Newcastle & River Steamship Co.		7 C.A.R., 25 .	. 5	76
v [No.	1] .	16 C.L.R., 591 .	4	7
v [No.	2] .	16 C.L.R., 705 .		13
Meriwether v. Garrett		102 U.S., 472	5	2
Mersey Docks v. Cameron		11 H.L.C., 443; 11 Eng. I	Rep., 1405 5	12
Middlesex County Counce George's Union As Committee .	$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} v. & ext{St.} \\ ext{ssessment} \end{array} ight\}$	(1896) 2 Q.B., 143; (189	7) 1 Q.B.,	3
Miller v . Hancock .		(1893) 2 Q.B., 177	13	37
v. Haweis .		5 C.L.R., 89		05
Minister of Stamps v. Town	end .	(1909) A.C., 633		19
Mitchell v. Hart .		19 C.L.R., 33		92
Morris (Herbert) Ltd. v. Sa	exelby .	(1916) 1 A.C., 688		34
Mortimer v. Shortall		2 Dr. & War., 363		33

26 C.L.R.] CASES CITED.	xxix.
	PAGE
Mumford v . Gething 7 C.B. (N.S.), 305	. 428
Murray v. Wilson Distilling Co 213 U.S., 151 .	. 462
Musgrove v. McDonald 3 C.L.R., 132 .	371
v. Pandelis	. 129
N	
NATIONAL Protector Fire Insurance)	
NATIONAL Protector Fire Insurance Co. v. Nivert (1913) A.C., 507	244
New Trinidad Lake Asphalt Co. v. (1904) A.C., 415 Attorney-General	269
Nicholls v. Maynard 3 Atk., 519 .	94
Nolan v. Clifford 1 C.L.R., 429 . Nordenfelt v. Maxim Nordenfelt	101
Nordenfelt v. Maxim Nordenfelt Guns & Ammunition Co.	. 440
Norman v. Great Western Railway (1915) 1 K.B., 584	. 146
Northumbria The (1906) P 202	337
North-Western Salt Co. v. Electro- lytic Alkali Co. (1914) A.C., 461	. 428
Norwich Provident Insurance (8 Ch. D. 334	106
Society, In re; Dath's Case	
Nottage v. Buxton . 21 Ch. D., 806 .	295
O	
Оню v. Thomas	533
Orchard v. Simpson 2 C.B. (N.S.), 299	219
Orme v. Orme 2 Add., 382	3
Ottawa v. Carey 108 U.S., 110 .	
Owen v. Thomas 3 Myl. & K., 353	. 325
Owners of Apollo v. Port Talbot Co. (1891) A.C., 499	155
P	
_	
PAPE v. Pape 20 Q.B.D., 76 .	357
Parr v. Lancashire & Cheshire Miners' (1913) 1 Ch., 366	571
Patent Invert Sugar Co., In re . 31 Ch. D., 166 .	18
Payme v Fodoile 13 Ann Coc 613	492
Pensacola Telegraph Co. v. Western 96 U.S., 1	
Union Co	575
Perfect v. Lord Curzon . 5 Madd., 442 .	295
Perrins v. Marine & General Travellers' Insurance Society 2 E. & E., 317	233
Perry v. Eames (1891) 1 Ch., 658	. 512
— v. Suffields (1916) 2 Ch., 187	
Phillips v. Eyre L.R. 6 Q.B., 1 .	51
Pickering v. Ilfracombe Railway Co. L.R. 3 C.P., 235	. , 425
Pile v. Pile 3 Ch. D., 36 .	164
Plumb v. Cobden Flour Mills Co (1914) A.C., 62	113
	512
Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co. 157 U.S., 429	

	CITED.	[919.
		1	AGE
Pope v. Franklin	26 A.L.T., 170 .		7
Postmaster-General, Ex parte; In re Bonham	} 10 Ch. D., 595 .	. 474	537
Potter v . Ridsdale	13 N.S.W.L.R. (L.), 248	3 .	368
Powell v . Apollo Candle Co	10 App. Cas., 282		512
v. Smith	L.R. 14 Eq., 85		451
Prior v . Sherwood	3 C.L.R., 1054 .		368
Professional Officers' Association, In	} 15 Arb. Rep. (N.S.W.),	401	543
Purcell v . Sowler	2 C.P.D., 215 .		512
•	Q		
QUARMAN v. Burnett	6 M. & W., 499	i	118
	V		543
	R		
se .			
R. v. Barger	6 C.L.R., 41	•	512
-v. Baxter	5 S.R. (N.S.W.), 134		371
— v. Bernasconi	19 C.L.R., 629 .	•	371
-v. Burah	3 App. Cas., 889	. 64	, 534
-v. Carter	12 Q.B.D., 52?		273
-v. Castle Moreton (Inhabitants of)	3 B. & Ald., 588	•	329
-v. Collins; $Ex parte$ Collins .	7 V.L.R. (L.), 74; 2 A	.L.T., 118	353
— v. Commissioners of Income Tax	22 Q.B.D., 296		93
-v. Commonwealth Court of Conciliation & Arbitration; Ex parte Allen Taylor & Co.			54 3
v. ———; Ex parte Brisbane Tramways Co. Ltd. & Municipal Tramways Trust, Adelaide [No. 2]	19 C L B 3	• •	543
— v. ——— ; Ex. parte Broken Hill Proprietary Co	8 C.L.R., 419 .		571
_ v; Ex parte G. P. Jones .	2 0.12.10,, 000	A.C., 528; . 549	, 550
- v. Commonwealth Public Service Commissioner; Ex parte Killeen	} 18 C.L.R., 586 .	•	382
 v. Deputy Industrial Registrar; Ex parte J. C. Williamson Ltd 	} 15 C.L.R., 576 .		543
— v . Drage	14 Cox C.C., 85		272
-v. Exminster (Inhabitants of) .	12 A. & E., 2 .		529
-v. Gompertz	9 Q.B., 824 .		330
- v. Guardians of the Poor of St. Nicholas, Rochester	} 4 M. & S., 324 .		19
-v. Hall	1 B. & C., 123 .		271
- v. Holy Trinity (Inhabitants of),			318
	1		
Kingston-upon-Hull	9 A. & E., 435		529
	9 A. & E., 435 . L.R. 3 Q.B., 677	•	529 512

26 C.L.R.J	.(ASES	CITED.		XXXI.
D = A.J.I.S. i grz.	77	. 33711 5			PAGE
R. v. Oakleigh Shir son .	e ; Ex part	e W11- {	10 V.L.R. (L.), 67; 5	A.L.T., 1	95 512
-v. Pilgrim	• •	v.•	L.R. 6 Q.B., 89 .		. 354
— v . Saddlers' Co.		.•	3 E. & E., 72 .		. 67
- v. St. Paul's I tants of)	Bedford (I	nhabi-}	6 T.R., 452 .	•	. 328
— v . Sheffield (May	or of) .	1,0	L.R. 6 Q.B., 652	•	. 528
— v. Slator		•	8 Q.B.D., 267 .	**	. 371
— v. Snow			20 C.L.R., 315 .	•	. 371
- v. Sutton	•		5 C.L.R., 789 .	•	. 512
— v. Tomlinson	·• >•		(1895) 1 Q.B., 706	•	. 7
- v. Truscott	•		81 L.T., 188	•	. 158
-v. Watts $-v$. Whelan			1 Dears. C.C., 326	⊹	. 327
- v. York (Mayor o	of)		5 W. W. & àB. (L.), 7 6 A. & E., 419	·	. 51 . 529
Railway Servants'	•		4 C.L.R., 488 .	. 4	63, 511
Rand (Joseph) Ltd			(1918) W.N., 290; 31:		. 113
Rani Chandra Kur Narpat Singh		- /11. 25	L.R. 34 Ind. App., 27		. 319
Rayner v . Mitchell			2 C.P.D., 357 .	•	. 121
Read v. Legard			6 Ex., 636 .		. 362
Renton v. Renton			25 C.L.R., 291 .		. 353
Richards v. Atto	rney-Gener	al of \	6 Moo. P.C.C., 381		. 67
Rickards v. Bartran	n .		25 T.L.R., 181	•	. 544
Roberts v. Ahern			1 C.L.R., 406 .	e.	. 305
Robertson v. Down	ing .		127 U.S., 607		. 219
Robinson v. Reynol			Mac. N.Z.R., 562		. 58
Roderick v. Hovil			3 Camp., 103 .		. 328
Rolls v. Miller			27 Ch. D., 71	•	. 544
	 Iovila		21 On. D., 11 .	:•	. 442
E COORTONO I tol M			25 T T D 905		
	e Co. v. Co	mmis- (35 T.L.R., 285 3 C.L.R., 393	•	. 161
	e Co. v. Co	mmis- } .S.W.)}	3 C.L.R., 393 .	30 A.L.J	. 161
Rosehill Racecourse sioner of Stamp	e Co. v. Co	mmis- } .S.W.)}	3 C.L.R., 393 . (1909) V.L.R., 318;	•	. 161 ^r .,
Rosehill Racecours sioner of Stamp Ross v . Ross	e Co. v. Co Duties (N	mmis-} .S.W.)}	3 C.L.R., 393 . (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220	•	. 161 C., . 353
Rosehill Racecourse sioner of Stamp Ross v . Ross Rossiter v . Miller Rowson v . Atlantic	e Co. v. Co p Duties (N	ommis-} .S.W.)} .{	3 C.L.R., 393 . (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220 . 3 App. Cas., 1124 (1903) 2 K.B., 666	•	. 161 F., . 353 186, 322 . 337
Rosehill Racecours sioner of Stamp Ross v . Ross Rossiter v . Miller	e Co. v. Co p Duties (N	cmmis-} .S.W.)} . {	3 C.L.R., 393 . (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220 . 3 App. Cas., 1124	•	. 161 C., . 353 186, 322
Rosehill Racecourse sioner of Stamp Ross v. Ross Rossiter v. Miller Rowson v. Atlantic Royal Insurance Co Ruddiman & Co. v. Rural Workers' U	e Co. v. Co p Duties (N	(Co	3 C.L.R., 393 (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220 3 App. Cas., 1124 (1903) 2 K.B., 666 26 N.Z.L.R., 526	•	. 161 C., . 353 186, 322 . 337 . 243
Rosehill Racecourse sioner of Stamp Ross v. Ross Rossiter v. Miller Rowson v. Atlantic Royal Insurance Co Ruddiman & Co. v. Rural Workers' U Branch of A	e Co. v. Co p Duties (N	mmis- .S.W.) { Co n Gildura Dried	3 C.L.R., 393 (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220 3 App. Cas., 1124 (1903) 2 K.B., 666 26 N.Z.L.R., 526 60 L.T., 708	. 1	. 161 C.,
Rosehill Racecourse sioner of Stamp Ross v. Ross Rossiter v. Miller Rowson v. Atlantic Royal Insurance Co Ruddiman & Co. v. Rural Workers' U Branch of A Fruits Associat	e Co. v. Co p Duties (N	ommis- .S.W.)} Co. .n	3 C.L.R., 393 . (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220 . 3 App. Cas., 1124 (1903) 2 K.B., 666 26 N.Z.L.R., 526 60 L.T., 708 . 6 C.A.R., 61 . (1909) V.L.R., 78; 30 4		. 161 C., . 353 186, 322 . 337 . 243 . 112 . 577
Rosehill Racecoursesioner of Stamp Ross v. Ross Rossiter v. Miller Rowson v. Atlantic Royal Insurance Co Ruddiman & Co. v. Rural Workers' U Branch of A Fruits Associat Russ v. Carr	e Co. v. Co o Duties (N Transport (v. Colema Smith nion v. M nustralian cion deigh	ommis- .S.W.)} { Co. .n Dried	3 C.L.R., 393 (1909) V.L.R., 318; 220 3 App. Cas., 1124 (1903) 2 K.B., 666 26 N.Z.L.R., 526 60 L.T., 708 6 C.A.R., 61		. 161 C., . 353 186, 322 . 337 . 243 . 112 . 577

,

xxxii.	CASES	CITED.	[1918-1	919
		s	ĝ	P A G.
SCHUMACHER Mill Furnish Proprietary Ltd. v. S	ing Works) Smail . }	21 C.L.R., 149 .		47
Scott v. Wilson .		9 T.L.R., 492 .		15
Scottish Provident Inst Boddam .	$\left. egin{array}{ccc} ext{itution} & v. \ ext{.} \end{array} ight.$	9 T.L.R., 385 .	• ;	23
Scottish Shire Line Ltd. v. Provincial Marine &c. Co	London & Insurance	(1912) 3 K.B., 51	•	23
Shelfer v. City of Londo Lighting Co.	n Electric	(1895) 1 Ch., 287		37
Sheppard v. Sheppard	4	32 Beav., 194 .		48
Shipwright v. Clements		19 W.R., 599 .		42
Shore v . Wilson .		9 Cl. & Fin., 355		44
Simons v. Simons .		24 V.L.R., 348; 20 A.I	L.T., 90 .	
Classes Davis	:	6 M. & W., 664; H. &	w., 18;	
Slatterie v . Pooley .		10 L.J. Ex., 8		31
Smith v. Hughes .	•	L.R. 6 Q.B., 597		42
v. London & St.	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Katherine} \\ \cdot \end{array}\right\}$	L.R. 3 C.P., 326		13
Smith (Howard) & Co. v. V	Varawa .	5 C.L.R., 68 .		18
Smith's Case .		L.R. 4 Ch., 611		3:
South Carolina v. United	States .	199 U.S., 437 .	. 462	, 5
Southwick v. Southwick	•	97 Mass., 327 .		
Spalding & Bros. v. A. W. Ltd.	V. Gamage }	32 R.P.C., 273 .		44
Spear v. Williamstown Cor	poration .	(1916) V.L.R., 96; 37 A	L.T., 170	10
Stamps Commissioners v. G Meat Export Co.	Queensland }	(1917) A.C., 624		19
Stamps (Minister of) v. To		(1909) A.C., 633		3
Steel v. State Line Steams	hip Co	3 App. Cas., 72		3
Steers v. Rogers .		(1893) A.C., 232		4
Stenhouse v . Forth .		(1908) S.R. (Qd.), 226		47
Stephen v. Cunningham		39 Ch. D., 426 .		29
Stevens v. Pinney .		8 Taunt., 327 .		32
v. Woodward		6 Q.B.D., 318 .		11
Stewart (John) & Son (19 Longhurst	12) Ltd. v.	(1917) A.C., 249	•	1:
Stibbard v. Standard &c. Co. of New Zealand	Insurance }	5 S.R. (N.S.W.), 473		2
Stoddart v. Sagar .		(1895) 2 Q.B., 474		36
Stoutenburgh v. Hennick		129 U.S., 141 .		51
Stowe v. Querner .		L.R. 5 Ex., 155.		33
Strode v. Parker .		2 Vern., 316 .		ę
Swallow v. Binns .		1 Kay & J., 417		29
Sweeting v . Halse .		9 B. & C., 365 .		31
Swift, The		1 Dods., 320 .		46
Sydney Municipal Council	v. Bourke	(1895) A.C., 433		54
v. The Commonw	vealth .	1 C.L.R., 208		51
Synge v. Synge .		(1900) P., 180 .		

26 C.L.R.]	CASES	CITED.		хx	xiii.			
· T								
TAFF Vale Railway Co gamated Society of Servants	$\left. egin{array}{ll} v. & ext{Amal-} \ ext{Railway} \end{array} ight\}$	(1901) A.C., 426			571			
Tatchell v. Lovett Taxation Commissioners	v. Teece .	(1908) V.L.R., 645; (1899) A.C., 254	30 A.L.T.,	38	$\frac{266}{496}$			
$egin{array}{ll} ext{Taylor} & v. & ext{Attorney-0} \ ext{Queensland} & . \end{array}$	}	23 C.L.M., 457 .	⊙•		17			
v. Pilsen Joel Electric Light Co.	1				17			
v. Taylor; In Estate Act .		11.10. 17 Eq., 524		•	489			
Taylor (Allen) & Co., Ex	-	15 C.L.R., 586 .	•		543			
Teignmouth & General N ping Association, I tin's Claim)	n re (Mar-	L.R. 14 Eq., 148			331			
Thames & Mersey Marin Co. v. "Gunford" S		(1911) A.C., 529			234			
v. Hamilton, F		**	•	٠.	337			
Thomas Wilson, Sons & C of Cargo per Xantho		12 App. Cas., 503	٠		337			
Thursby's Settlement, In	re .	(1910) 2 Ch., 181			74			
Thynne v . Shove \cdot	•	45 Ch. D., 577 .	•	•	439			
Toronto Corporation Railway Co	$v. ext{Toronto} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	(1907) A.C., 315	••		7			
Torres v . Franco .		1 Russ. & My., 649	•		295			
Tramways Case [No. 2]	•	19 C.L.R., 43 .			543			
Trego v . Hunt .	•	(1896) A.C., 7 .	•		440			
Trenchard, $In re$; Trenchard .	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{cenchard} & v. \\ & \cdot & \cdot \end{array}$	(1905) 1 Ch., 82			489			
Turberville v . Stampe		1 Ld. Raym., 264	4•		118			
Turner v . Power .		7 B. & C., 625		•	319			
Tyne Improvement Co v . Chirton Overseers		1 E. & E., 516 .			512			
		U						
Ullmann & Co. v. Cesar	Leuba .	(1908) A.C., 443			439			
United States v . Healey		160 U.S., 136 .	•	•	219			
v. Railroad Co.		17 Wall., 322 .		•	512			
Usher v. Usher		27 V.L.R., 163; 22	A.L.T., 231		353			
		v						
37 0 O T 1	La Service of Co. N							
VACHER & Sons Ltd. Society of Composite	ors	(1915) A.C., 101	•	*	45			
Van Brocklin v. Tennesse	e	117 U.S., 151 .	•		512			
Veazie Bank v . Fenno		8 Wall., 533 .	••		512			
Vernon v . Watson .	•	(1891) 2 Q.B., 288	•	:•	158			
Vilas v . Manila .		220 U.S., 345 .			512			
Virginia, Ex parte .		100 U.S., 339 .	•	•	534			

	xxxiv.	CASES	CITED.	[1918	3-19	19.
			w		P	AGE
	WAKE v. Harrop		6 H. & N., 768 .			323
	Wakefield v. Maffet .		10 App. Cas., 422	_		295
	Walker v. Midland Railway		2 T.L.R., 450	•	·	140
	— v. Mower		16 Beav., 365 .	•	•	295
	v. Simpson .		1 Kay & J., 713	•	•	295
	Walla Walla City v. Walla Water Co.	TT7-11. S	172 U.S., 1			535
	Wallingford v. Mutual Socie	ety .	5 App. Cas., 685			92
	Watcham v. Attorney-General		87 L.J. P.C., 150		197	433
	Waterside Workers' Federa Australia v. J. W. Al Ltd.	tion of		•		474
	Watkins' Settlement, In rev. Spence	; Wills	(1911) 1 Ch., 1	>•		489
	Waverley (Borough of) v. Sn	nart .	S.M.H., June 6, 1875			399
	Webb v. Outtrim		(1907) A.C., 81; 4 C.L.	R., 356	•	19
	Weighill v. South Hetton Coa			, 00.0	•	113
			25 C.L.R., 109	•	•	353
	Wenlock (Baroness) v. River		·	•	•	105
	West India & Pacific Steams In re	hip Co.,	L.R. 9 Ch., 11 .	•		36
	West Leigh Colliery Co. v. cliffe & Hampson Ltd.	Tunni)	(1908) A.C., 27 .	:•		379
	Western Assurance Co. v. Ha	rrison	33 Can. S.C.B. 473			232
	Whatford v . Moore		3 My. & C., 270	-	•	295
	Whinfield v. Lands Purc Management Board of	hase &)	18 C.L.R., 606 .	•		113
	White v. France		2 C.P.D., 308 .	_		137
	Whitehead v. Reader .		(1901) 2 K.B., 48	-		119
*	Whiteley Ltd. v. Hilt .		(1918) 2 K.B., 808		•	101
	Whiteman v . Sadler .		(1910) A.C., 514	•	•	62
	Whiting to Loomes .		17 Ch. D., 10	•	•	328
	Wildcroft, The		001 TI C 000	•		337
			201 U.S., 378 . L.R. 14 Eq., 572; affe	1 T.B		904
	William R. Watson .	• {	Ch., 473 .	. 12.13.		451
		• • • •				106
	- v . Eggleston .		170 U.S., 304 .	•		522
	v. Gerry	*•	10 M. & W., 296	•		319
	——— v. Haythorne .		L.R. 6 Ch., 782	•	•	292
	——— v. Howarth .	4.	(1905) A.C., 551	•		533
	v. Jones . ,		3 H. & C., 256; 602		:•	113
	Williamson (J.C.) Ltd., Ex 1	oarte .	15 C.L.R., 576 .	-	٠.	543
	Willoughby Municipal Cou Halstead	$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} v \\ \cdot \end{array} \right\}$	22 C.L.R., 352 .			542
	Wills v . Spence	•	(1911) 1 Ch., 1	•		489
	Wilson v. Ford		L.R. 3 Ex., 63 .			4 09
						567

26 C.L.R.]	CASES	CITED.		X	xxv.			
				1	AGE			
Wilson, Ex parte; R. v. Shire	Oakleigh \	10 V.L.R. (L.), 67;	5 A.L.T.,	195	512			
Wilson, Sons & Co. v. Barr	y Railway	86 L.J. K.B., 432			140			
Wilson (Thomas), Sons Owners of Cargo per 2	& Co. v . Xantho . $\}$	12 App. Cas., 503	•	•	337			
Winn v. Bull .		7 Ch. D., 29	•	>•	322			
Wirth v. Wirth .		25 C.L.R., 402 .			355			
Wood v. Boulcott .		104 L.T., 205 .	•		492			
Woodstock Central Dairy Commonwealth	$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Co. } v. \text{ The } \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{array}\right\}$	15 C.L.R., 241 .	.•		19			
Wright v. London & Nort Railway Co	$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} h ext{-Western} \ . \end{array} ight\}$	1 Q.B.D., 252 .		<.	148			
		x						
Xantho Case .	· ·	12 App. Cas., 503	·•	•	337			
Y								
YEATMAN v. Yeatman		1 P. & M., 489			3			
Yorke v. Smith .		21 L.J. Q.B., 53	:•	-	319			
Yorkshire Insurance Co v	Campbell	(1917) A.C., 218	•		232			
Young, In re; Brown v. H	odgson .	(1912) 2 Ch., 479		r. s	488			

CORRIGENDUM.

Page 246.—In line 5 from bottom insert the word "dealt" before the word "with."