

THE
TASMANIAN
REPORTS
1987

EDITOR

F. D. Cumbrae-Stewart, E.D.

Barrister-at-Law

ASSISTANT EDITORS

S. P. Estcourt

M. F. Lillas

C. A. Warner (Mrs.)

Barristers-at-Law

PUBLISHED IN HOBART FOR
THE COUNCIL OF LAW REPORTING
OF TASMANIA BY
THE LAW BOOK COMPANY LIMITED
1990

Published in Hobart by
The Law Book Company Limited
44-50 Waterloo Road, North Ryde, N.S.W.
490 Bourke Street, Melbourne, Victoria
40 Queen Street, Brisbane, Queensland
81 St George's Terrace, Perth, W.A.

ISSN 0085-7106

© 1990 The Law Book Company Limited

Wholly set up and printed by
North Western Printing Service Pty. Ltd.,
Burnie, Tasmania.

THE JUDGES
OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF TASMANIA
DURING THE PERIOD COMPRISED IN THIS VOLUME

The Hon. GUY STEPHEN MONTAGUE GREEN, K.B.E.,
Chief Justice

The Hon. FRANCIS MERVYN NEASEY, A.O.

The Hon. ROBERT RICHARD NETTLEFOLD

The Hon. HENRY EDWARD COSGROVE

The Hon. WILLIAM JOHN ELLIS COX, R.F.D., E.D.

The Hon. PETER GEORGE UNDERWOOD

The Hon. CHRISTOPHER REGINALD WRIGHT

ATTORNEY-GENERAL

The Hon. JOHN MYLES BENNETT

SOLICITOR-GENERAL

WILLIAM CHRISTOPHER ROBIN BALE Q.C.

REPORTERS

W. A. Ayliffe
A. M. Blow
J. F. Brady
W. G. Briscoe
S. J. N. Brown
D. F. Egan
L. D. Griggs
M. F. Lillas
M. A. Mackay
P. J. Mackey
A. G. Melick
F. V. Moore
R. W. Pearce
P. J. Quinn
A. C. Rae
P. W. E. Smith
M. A. Stoddart
C. A. Warner
S. R. Worsley

Barristers-at-Law

CORRIGENDA

1986 Tas.R.

Title Page — Omit "PART 2 Pages 84-246, Index"

Index — Insert—

CHARITIES — Visitors — Jurisdiction of — University of Tasmania — Not exclusive of Supreme Court's — Declaration of right — On pure questions of law — Other matters of internal administration — Left to Visitor — Tasmanian University Act 1951, s.16.

M. v UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA.....74

CRIMINAL LAW — Jurisdiction, practice and procedure — Judgment and punishment — Sentence — Juvenile offenders — Principles of sentencing — Rape of much younger child — No circumstances of aggravation.

GEORGE v THE QUEEN.....49

_____ — Particular offences — Offences against the person — Homicide — Murder — Alternative verdict of manslaughter on charge of murder — Provocation — What constitutes provocation — Insult — Criminal Code, s.160(3).

HUTTON v THE QUEEN.....24

INFANTS AND CHILDREN — Crimes and offences by and against — Neglected and uncontrollable children — Child subject to child protection order — Having suffered injury through cruel treatment — Application by Child Protection Assessment Board for further relief — Powers of magistrate — Not limited by ground of complaint — Child Protection Act 1974, s.11(1).

S. v DIRECTOR FOR COMMUNITY WELFARE.....17

_____ — Crimes and offences by and against — Neglecting, illtreating, abandoning, deserting a child — Application of rules of evidence to applications under Child Protection Act 1974, s.10

P. v CHILD PROTECTION ASSESSMENT BOARD.....65

_____ — Crimes and offences by and against — Neglecting, illtreating, abandoning, deserting a child — Child subject to child protection order — Having suffered injury through cruel treatment — Application by Child Protection Assessment Board for further relief — Powers of magistrate — Not limited by ground of complaint — Child Protection Act 1974, s.11(1).

S. v DIRECTOR FOR COMMUNITY WELFARE.....17

_____ — Crimes and offences by and against — Neglecting, illtreating, abandoning, deserting a child — Meaning of "injury as a result of cruel treatment" — Child Protection Act 1974, s.10.

P. v CHILD PROTECTION ASSESSMENT BOARD.....65

_____ — Protection of — In courts of summary jurisdiction — Child protection order — Application for — Evidence — Application of rules of — Child Protection Act 1974, s.10.

P. v CHILD PROTECTION ASSESSMENT BOARD.....65

_____ — Protection of — In courts of summary jurisdiction — Child protection order — Grounds for — "Injury as a result of cruel treatment" — Child Protection Act 1974, s.10.

P. v CHILD PROTECTION ASSESSMENT BOARD.....65

_____ — Protection of — In courts of summary jurisdiction — Child subject to child protection order — Having suffered injury through cruel treatment — Application by Child Protection Assessment Board for further relief — Powers of magistrate — Not limited by grounds of complaint — Child Protection Act 1974, s.11(1).	
<i>S. v. DIRECTOR FOR COMMUNITY WELFARE</i>	17
INSURANCE — Fire insurance — Conditions — Suspension of policy while house without an inhabitant.	
<i>PRYER v. MERCANTILE MUTUAL INSURANCE</i>	84
JUSTICES — Jurisdiction, powers and duties — Summary trial of indictable offences — Discretion of justices — Numerous counts — Committal mandatory on one — All so interrelated that one investigation and confession for all — Individual counts less than \$500 — Total amount involved more than \$500 — Justices Act 1959, s.17(1).	
<i>CAMPBELL v. MAXWELL</i>	45
PUBLIC SERVICE — Gratuities, retiring allowances and superannuation — Period of service necessary and computation — Retirement benefits — Time as engineering cadet — With Hydro-Electric Commission — Whether “employee” during term of cadetship — Whether “employed in permanent capacity” — Whether “required to give his full time to his duties” — Superannuation Act 1938, s.3 — Retirement Benefits Act 1982, ss.3, 77(4).	
<i>In re GOODWIN</i>	6
STATUTES — Interpretation — Interpretation Acts and clauses — Particular Acts and Ordinances — Tasmania — Acts Interpretation Act 1931 — Singular to include plural — Exclusion of rule — Acts Interpretation Act 1931, ss.4, 24(d) — Justices Act 1959, s.72(1).	
<i>CAMPBELL v. MAXWELL</i>	45
WORKERS’ COMPENSATION — Assessment and amount of compensation — In case of incapacity — Amount awarded — Deductions — Whether prior lump sum payments can be deducted — Workers’ Compensation Act 1927, Sch. 1, rr. 5.6.	
<i>TURNER v. AUSTRALIAN PULP & PAPER MILLS</i>	1
WORDS, PHRASES AND MAXIMS — “Employee” — “Employed in a permanent capacity” — “Required to give his full time to his duties” — Superannuation Act 1938, s.3.	
<i>In re GOODWIN</i>	6
_____ — “Cruel treatment” — “Injury” — Child Protection Act 1974, s.10.	
<i>P. v. CHILD PROTECTION ASSESSMENT BOARD</i>	65
_____ — “Inhabitant” of a dwelling.	
<i>PRYER v. MERCANTILE MUTUAL INSURANCE</i>	84
_____ — “Offence” — Justices Act 1959, s.72.	
<i>CAMPBELL v. MAXWELL</i>	45